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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAP</td>
<td>American Academy of Paediatrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMTSL</td>
<td>Active management of third stage of labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC</td>
<td>Antenatal clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>delayed cord clamping;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC</td>
<td>early cord clamping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT</td>
<td>Haematocrit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>immediate cord clamping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDHS</td>
<td>Kenya Demographic and health survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNH</td>
<td>Kenyatta National Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCC</td>
<td>late cord clamping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV</td>
<td>Mean corpuscular volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCV</td>
<td>Packed cell volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPH</td>
<td>Postpartum haemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCOG</td>
<td>Royal college of Obstetricians and gynaecology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>randomized controlled trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>Rhesus factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDRL</td>
<td>Venereal Disease Research Laboratory test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEFINITIONS AND OPERATIONAL TERMS

- **Anaemia**: Hb concentration more than two standard deviations (SD) below the mean of similarly aged infants from an iron-supplemented reference population.
- **Anaemia in pregnancy**: defined as Hb < 11 g/dl. (RCOG)
- **AMTSL**: Active management of third stage of labour.
- **Delayed cord clamping (Late cord clamping)**: clamping the umbilical cord > 120 seconds after birth. (RCOG)
- **Early cord clamping/ Immediate cord clamping**: Clamping of the umbilical cord within 30 seconds of birth. (RCOG)
- **Fetal anaemia**: Central venous haemoglobin < 13 g/dL or capillary haemoglobin < 14.5 g/dL in infant. (AAP)
- **Low risk pregnancy**: Refers to a woman aged 18-39, with no previous diagnosis of essential hypertension, renal disease, collagen-vascular disease, liver disease, cardiovascular disease, placenta previa, multiple gestation, intrauterine growth retardation, smoking, pregnancy-induced hypertension, premature rupture of membranes, or other previously documented condition that poses a high risk of poor pregnancy outcome.
- **Neonatal Haematocrit**: Range of normal between 45-65% (AAP)
- **Placental transfusion**: The transfer of residual placental blood to the baby during the first few minutes of age.
- **Polycythaemia**: Haematocrit levels above 65% (AAP)
- **Term infant**: Greater than 37 weeks gestation to 42 weeks gestation (RCOG)
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ABSTRACT

Background: The umbilical cord is usually clamped immediately after birth. There is no sound evidence to support this approach, which might deprive the newborn of benefits such as an increase in iron storage during the neonatal period. The negative effects of this are more profound in lower socioeconomic groups.

Objective: To determine the effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping on haemoglobin and haematocrit level of term newborns.

Methodology: This was to be a randomized controlled trial that included 96 mother-neonate pairs at term who met the selection criteria, 48 in the delayed cord clamping group (>120 seconds) and 48 in the immediate cord-clamping group (<30 seconds).

Setting: Kenyatta National Hospital, Labour ward, Nairobi, Kenya.

Populations: Full term newborns undergoing spontaneous vertex delivery of low risk pregnancy mothers.

Variables:
Primary dependent variables the infants’ venous haemoglobin and haematocrit value measured between 6-24 hours after birth.
Secondary dependent variables included early respiratory distress symptoms, neonatal jaundice that necessitated for phototherapy and polycythemia.
Independent variables: Socio-demographic and medical characteristics of the mothers.

Analysis plan: Levels of haemoglobin and haematocrit of newborn infants following delayed umbilical cord clamping were compared to those of newborns who undergo immediate cord clamping using unpaired students T-test and a P value <0.05 (<0.025 at interim analysis) for significance. Multiple linear regressions were done to analyze maternal characteristics as confounding factors to neonatal haemoglobin and haematocrit values.

Results: The mean haemoglobin level in the DCC group was 17.19g/dL and 15.63g/dL in the ICC group, a mean difference of 1.56g/dL. The mean haematocrit levels in the DCC and ICC groups were 53.44% and 47.63% respectively, a mean difference of 5.81%. A statistically significant difference in both Hb and Hct (P value <0.001). There was no significance of maternal characteristics on neonatal Hb and Hct values. Haemoglobin in neonates that underwent DCC did not depend on the mother’s haemoglobin, however among the neonates that underwent ICC, the haemoglobin level appeared to increase gradually with increase in the mother’s haemoglobin, and thus ICC is more detrimental in a population that suffers from anaemia.

Conclusion: DCC is an easy procedure that can be incorporated into AMSTL as it leads to increased levels of Hb and Hct levels in the neonates and thus reducing complications that occur secondary to anemia in early childhood, especially in resource limited settings.
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

The optimal time for cord clamping has been under debate for decades. There are advocates for both immediate and delayed clamping, those that support Immediate cord clamping (ICC), report that delayed cord clamping (DCC) can be physiologically distressing to the infant’s circulation and increase the risks of polycythaemia and jaundice. Another recommendation for ICC was to facilitate active management of third stage of labour (AMTSL) where analysis of arterial blood samples immediately after birth was carried out.

Observational studies carried out in Sub-Saharan Africa note ICC is commonly used in health care facilities, while the developed world is adopting DCC (Figure 1). Advocates for DCC argue that it is the natural way of terminating delivery. Moreover studies done after 1997 that included a 2-month follow up of babies who underwent DCC support the finding that large transfusion to the newborn could prevent iron deficiency anaemia, this has important health implications especially in low-income countries with high prevalence of anaemia such as Kenya.

The effects of early onset anaemia have a far-reaching negative effect on the overall health of a child. Young children are at a higher risk of iron deficiency because of high iron requirements due to rapid tissue growth in the first year of life during which period their body weight and blood volume nearly triple, and the circulating haemoglobin mass approximately doubles.
Figure 1: Reported practice of Immediate cord clamping, by interview or observed practices.
LITERATURE REVIEW

One of the goals of neonatal critical care is to deliver adequate oxygen to meet tissue demand. Increasing fetal haemoglobin by placental transfusion is an extremely effective method of enhancing arterial oxygen content, increasing cardiac output and improving oxygen delivery(1,8).

DCC is one way of increasing transfer of red blood cells to the newborn, which interprets as an increase in the oxygen carrying capacity(9).

In some studies, it was observed that delayed cord clamping could contribute to preventing iron-deficiency anaemia in the first year of life(10–12).

Figure 2: Distribution of blood volume (mls) in placenta (Red) and fetus (Blue) before and after birth.(13)

A recent systematic review confirms this benefit of delayed cord clamping(14). It’s based on the fact that after birth the newborn is delivered with a placental transfusion of 80 mL of blood at 1 minute and 100 mL at 2 minutes after birth(Figure 2) (15). For
a 3kg infant with a packed cell volume (PCV) of approximately 0.50 at birth, this amounts to an additional 45 mg of iron added to iron stores.

Theoretically this amount of iron should be sufficient to meet the requirements of an infant for more than 3 months. Previous trials indicated that DCC prevents a steep decline in Hb concentration at 2–3 months of age, especially in infants born to anemic mothers(15,16).

Similar well-conducted observational studies found that DCC is associated with improved cardiopulmonary adaptation after birth, better cutaneous perfusion and higher skin temperature, increased renal blood flow and more urine output, and increased blood flow to the brain and gut(17).

Older physiologic studies of human newborns supported animal studies that showed the newborn responds with a profound bradycardia if ICC occurs before the first breath is taken(18).

Conversely, some observational studies suggest that delayed umbilical cord clamping puts newborns at higher risk of suffering from polycythaemia, respiratory distress symptoms, hyperbilirubinaemia, and other neonatal disorders(19). However, there have been no randomized, controlled trials showing the risk of these harmful effects on the newborn(20–22).

Some studies have shown no increase in the incidence of postpartum haemorrhage from delayed umbilical cord clamping. However, this remains a theoretic concern because blood flow through the spiral arteries and veins in a term uterus is approximately 600 mL/min. Concerns regarding maternal risks become particularly relevant in special circumstances in which the benefits of DCC need to be balanced with the timely resuscitation of the woman e.g., in cases of haemorrhage from placenta previa or placental abruption after delivery of an infant(23).
A study carried out in Kenya looking into the prevalence of anemia in the rural population estimated prevalence of anaemia in children at 28.8% with those less than one year being 2 times more susceptible to anaemia as compared to their older counterparts(24)(25).

Thus far, improving iron status via interventions during gestation and early infancy have proven to be a challenge because maternal supplemental iron is not likely to have a strong effect on breast milk iron concentration(26).

Direct supplementation of infants has poor compliance coupled with the risk of accidental poisoning, impaired absorption of other micronutrients and may worsen outcome of infectious disease(15,16,27).

Furthermore anaemia is directly linked to increased infant mortality, as it is associated with diarrheal and respiratory diseases. Another important reason for addressing iron deficiency anaemia during the neonatal period is it’s relation to neurodevelopment. Iron deficiency anaemia has been associated with cognitive delays and long-term behavioral deficits(28,29).

Given the high percentage of those affected in the developing world, with its financial constraints, implementation of cost effective intervention such as DCC will be a sustainable measure in addressing the scourge(30,31).

Moreover in traditional African home deliveries the umbilical cord is cut after placental descent into the vagina, Hospital deliveries however, especially in resource poor settings, immediate cord clamping (ICC) is the routine standard of care, due to lack of information about benefits of DCC, concerns about the practice and low human resource within public hospitals. In 2014 the nurse to patient ratio in public health facilities in Kenya ranged between 1.2-0.08 per 1,000(32).
CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS
- Age
- Education level
- Employment
- Socioeconomic status

CLINICAL FACTORS
- Obstetric history
- Maternal comorbidities
- Fetal factors

ANTENATAL HISTORY
- Attendance
- Hb levels in pregnancy
- Iron supplementation
- Infections in pregnancy

ICC GROUP
- ICC /AMTSL Cord blood collected > 6 hours

MATERNAL OUTCOMES
- PPH
- Maternal sepsis

NEONATAL OUTCOMES
- Neonatal Hb and Hct
- Polycythaemia
- Early respiratory distress symptoms
- Hypothermia

DCC GROUP
- DCC/AMTSL Cord blood collected >6 hours
CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK NARRATIVE

Factors such as age, education level and socio economic status have a direct effect on the patient’s health seeking behavior during pregnancy, attendance of antenatal care as well accessing the hospital at time of delivery.

Maternal co-morbidities as well as fetal complications will have an influence on ICC/DCC or exclusion from the study.

DCC is recommended compared to ICC, currently home deliveries tend towards DCC whereas those accessing highest attainable healthcare in the country (KNH) are undergoing ICC as per an observational study done at the same facility.

Theoretically undermining the iron status of the neonate and exposing them to complications that come with anaemia at an early age.

The study seeks to compare the neonatal hemoglobin and haematocrit status between the two groups, and further analyze any connection with other socio-demographic factors.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

According to current literature, delayed cord clamping has been shown to have a positive health impact on neonates in averting risk of anemia in early life, the current practice in our setting is immediate cord clamping with no literature to support this.

Midwives stated the low midwife-patient ratio as the cause of hastening cord clamping. A need was identified to conduct a study comparing the effect of cord clamping on neonatal haemoglobin, haematocrit values and assessing for any adverse outcomes to aid in educating and creating guidelines for standard practice.
**JUSTIFICATION**

Anaemia has a high morbidity and particularly affects young children and pregnant women(33).

At the present time, both immediate and late clamping procedures are standard practices with some obstetrical textbooks recommending immediate clamping while others propose delayed clamping(15,34). Finally, others give no clear recommendation for either immediate or delayed clamping, citing a lack of sufficient evidence(35,36). In our delivery center there is no specific guideline for the time of cord clamping, to date. A recent unpublished study done in Kenyatta National Hospital averaged the cord-clamping time to 20 seconds.

The cause of ICC in our set up may be attributed to a poor nurse patient ratio as well as high patient turnover with the advent of free maternity care. The nurses in most cases have to fast track delivery. If there is no significant difference in Hb and Haematocrit levels between infants who undergo ICC as compared to DCC, it would support current practice, or support ICC in situations of high patient turnover and poor nurse patient ratio.

DCC on the other hand is a cost effective intervention that is thought to allow for a placental transfusion of up to 30% of the total fetal–placental blood volume. This transfusion includes many types of pluripotent stem cells that may have significant long-term value for the child. These additional volumes can supply extra iron amounting to 40-50mg/kg(14).

When DCC occurs at 2 minutes after the birth or later, benefits include a 47% reduction in the risk of iron-deficiency.
anaemia(16,17,28)(11,12,16)(10,11,15)(9,10,14) and a 33% reduction in the risk of
having deficient iron stores at 2 to 3 months of age(16,17,28,38).
Some of the theoretical concerns from different studies are that DCC may have
adverse neonatal effects with increased risk of respiratory symptoms, polycythemia,
hyperbilirubinaemia and need for phototherapy(14,15,18,21,39).
Most studies have been done in the developed world and Asia subcontinent,
The study seeks to replicate similar or better outcomes of Hb levels among a
population with higher incidence of anaemia.
The study is to inform if there is a difference in haemoglobin and haematocrit level of
term infants in women who undergo either ICC or DCC. This can then be used to
formulate policy guidelines on the appropriate timing of cord clamping during delivery
in our setting.

**NULL HYPOTHESIS**

There is no difference in neonatal haemoglobin and haematocrit status of term
infants of women who undergo DCC compared to ICC.

**ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS**

There is a difference in neonatal haemoglobin and haematocrit status of term infants
of women who undergo DCC compared with ICC

**OBJECTIVES**

**BROAD:**

To evaluate the effect of umbilical cord clamp timing on term infant’s haemoglobin,
haematocrit levels, development of respiratory distress, neonatal jaundice and
polycythemia born at Kenyatta National Hospital, Kenya.
SPECIFIC:
Between term infants undergoing ICC or DCC;

1. To compare the cord blood haemoglobin and haematocrit levels
2. To compare proportion of infants who develop respiratory distress in the first 6 hours.
3. To compare development of neonatal jaundice at day 3 and 7 of life.
4. To compare neonates who develop polycythaemia.
CHAPTER 2. METHODOLOGY

STUDY DESIGN:
This was a randomized control trial (Parallel-group 1:1 randomization) comparing delayed cord clamping (DCC) with immediate cord clamping (ICC) and its effects on neonatal Hb, Hct, development of neonatal respiratory distress, neonatal jaundice and polycythemia.

STUDY SITE/SETTING:
The study was carried out in The Labour and Postnatal wards (Ward GFA, GFB, and 1A) at The Reproductive Health Unit in Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH). KNH is the country’s largest public referral and teaching hospital to the University of Nairobi and Kenya Medical Training College. It receives participants from Nairobi and its environs as well as referrals from all other hospitals in Kenya. It has a bed capacity of 1800 beds and is located 2km southwest of the Nairobi Central Business District (CBD).
It has an average of 1,000 deliveries in a month. These deliveries occur among mothers of varying socioeconomic spheres.
SAMPLE SIZE

The initial sample size calculation necessary for comparing proportions proportion \( p_1 \) being the estimated proportion of infants with good Hb after delayed cord clamping and \( p_2 \) being the estimated proportion of infants with good Hb after immediate cord clamping. (40). However as part of data monitoring an interim analysis of data was carried out at the midpoint.

\[
n = \left( \frac{r+1}{r} \right) \frac{(\bar{p})(1-\bar{p})(Z_{\beta} + Z_{\alpha/2})^2}{(p_1 - p_2)^2}
\]

ratio of delayed cord clamping : immediate cord clamping (r) 1

Estimated proportion with Good Hb among Delayed CC \( p_1 \) 88.8%

Estimated reduction in poor outcome 2

Estimated proportion with Good Hb among Immediate CC \( p_2 \) 77.5%

Power (1-\( \alpha/2 \)) 95%

Power (1-\( \beta \)) 80%

Sample size per group 87

Adjusting upwards by 10% for incomplete data, sample size per group 96

Total sample size 192
STUDY POPULATION

INCLUSION CRITERIA:
1. Women willing to provide consent, delivering at Kenyatta National Hospital are candidates for inclusion in the study. Following the criteria below:
2. Full-term infants (>37 weeks gestation) of singleton pregnancies,
3. Reassuring fetal status,
4. Spontaneous vertex delivery
5. Low risk pregnancies i.e. women aged 18-39, with;
   • No previous diagnosis of essential hypertension, renal disease, collagen-vascular disease, liver disease, cardiovascular disease,
   • No placenta previa,
   • No multiple gestation,
   • No intrauterine growth restriction,
   • No history of smoking,
   • No pregnancy-induced hypertension,
   • No other previously documented condition that pose a high risk of poor pregnancy outcome.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA:
Women who come in with:
1. Twin pregnancy,
2. History of post-partum haemorrhage (PPH),
3. Medical complications e.g. Pre-eclampsia, diabetes, renal disease, chronically medicated e.g. anticonvulsants, antidepressants, thyroid hormone etc,
4. Abruptio placenta,
5. Caesarean section,
6. Tight nuchal cord necessitating early cutting,
7. Need for neonatal resuscitation
8. Major congenital abnormalities (e.g. neural tube defects).
9. Infants who were preterm or weighed <2500 g.

**INTERVENTION**

Written informed consent was obtained following a clear explanation of what the study entails. When delivery was imminent (expected within 10 minutes), the midwife opened a sealed, numbered, opaque envelope containing the treatment allocation. Two interventions for the newborns were immediate umbilical cord clamping (within the first 30 seconds after birth) and delayed umbilical cord clamping (greater than 120 seconds after birth). The cord-clamping technique used in the 2 groups was similar. Use of sterile delivery packs that were ready packed with plastic clamp and cutter. The first clamp was placed 5-7.5 cm away from the baby, the second about 5 cm away from the first with the cutter. Timing was done using a stopwatch and was started at the point of delivery of baby’s feet and end at the point of clamping.

ICC was done within 30 seconds of birth and apgar scoring of newborn at 1, 5 and 10-minute mark. Milking of the cord was not done. 10iu of oxytocin was administered intramuscularly as is the standard procedure in active management of third stage and after confirmation of no second fetus. The newborn was reevaluated for development of respiratory symptoms before transfer to the ward i.e. within the first 6 hours of life. Continuous pad monitoring of the mother was done as well to rule out development of PPH.
For DCC all infants were placed between the legs of the mother (approximately 10 cm below the vaginal introitus), dried and wrapped in a warm towel. The infants remained in this position until the cord was clamped. Apgar scoring was also done at 1, 5 and 10-minute mark. 10iu of intramuscular oxytocin was administered to the mothers immediately after cord clamping and confirmation of no second fetus. The newborn was reevaluated for development of respiratory symptoms before transfer to the ward i.e. within the first 6 hours of life. Continuous pad monitoring of the mother was done as well to rule out development of PPH.

Newborns without spontaneous breathing during the first 10 seconds of life, with major congenital malformations diagnosed at birth, with estimated neonatal birth weight in the 10th percentile, and/or with tight nuchal cord were subjected to early cord clamping based on need for resuscitation, regardless of the assigned intervention.

Blood sample collection was done between 6-24 hours of birth into an edta-microtainer. In case blood was drawn for any other reason, the testing was done on the first sample collected from the baby. The log available in the labor ward had details of patient’s location if transferred to a different ward.

A follow up telephone call was made to participants on day 3 and 7 postpartum to follow on any neonatal complications that may have developed. A clear definition of neonatal sepsis and jaundice was explained to the mothers including yellowness of eyes, refusal to breast-feed, excessive irritability, increased body temperatures, reduced urinary output and umbilical discharge.
DATA COLLECTION AND MANAGEMENT

RANDOMIZATION

The statistician used block randomization; in equal blocks of 16 until the total sample size was achieved. For allocation concealment, the randomization instructions were given to the investigator and research assistants in sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed identical envelopes with unpredictable allocation code.

Randomization occurred when delivery was imminent, reason being, the caesarian section rate in Kenyatta National hospital stands at nearly 40% this translates to a loss of almost half of the potential participants, as the study included only those who deliver via spontaneous vertex delivery.

RECRUITMENT

Patient files identified the potential participants i.e. participants in active labour (4-6cm dilatation) and fulfilling the inclusion criteria (women aged 18-39, with no previous diagnosis of chronic disease, complications of pregnancy or other previously documented condition that pose a high risk of poor pregnancy outcome) at the time of admission to the labour ward, in KNH.

CONSENTING

The principal investigator or research assistant briefed the participants on the study and verbal consent was given following this. Written informed consent was obtained following a clear explanation of what the study entailed. The details of the study were also laid out in the consent form. This process was free from coercion and was explicitly voluntary.
A log was available in Labour ward, whomever obtained consent (the investigator or any of the research assistants) from the participants, observed the intervention and collected samples were required to sign the book at every point.

The investigator or research assistant countersigned the consent form. A copy of the signed consent form was given to the participant. All consenting participants who meet the inclusion criteria were allowed to progress in labor until the second stage when they were randomized into the two different intervention groups.

**BLINDING**

Although study staff did not inform the mothers of their assignment, the nature of the intervention made it impossible to blind them. If an already randomized mother became ineligible, the assigned allocation code was not re-used. The principal investigator or either one of the research assistants monitored the delivery procedure, and were therefore not blinded to treatment assigned, however they were blinded to the results of neonatal haemoglobin and haematocrit levels.

The laboratory staff that performed analyses of the blood samples were blinded to the infants allocation group.

**VARIABLES**

- **Primary dependent variables:** the infants’ venous haemoglobin and haematocrit value will be measured between 6-24 hours after birth.
- **Secondary dependent variables:** neonatal anaemia, polycythaemia, early respiratory symptoms and need for phototherapy.
• **Independent variables:** Maternal Socio-demographic and medical characteristics.

**Maternal data**

Some of the obstetric and medical information was collected from maternal health care records taken at the time of admission to antenatal care these included reported illness, medication, parity, blood group, Rhesus factor status, and Hb value. After giving birth, the estimated maternal postpartum blood loss was recorded by the midwife within two hours after delivery. After 3rd and 4th stage of labour, mothers were interviewed further to complete the questionnaire.

**Neonatal data**

The research assistants measured the time from complete delivery of the baby to the first clamp on the umbilical cord with a stopwatch. The infant was assessed at 1 and 6 hour mark by the midwife, who recorded if the baby had been breastfed and the presence of respiratory symptoms, i.e. respiratory rate above 60, presence of nostril flaring, grunting or intercostal recession.

**Blood samples**

All neonatal blood samples were collected using a 25-gauge needle from the umbilical vein, amounting 0.5mls. It was transferred to the laboratory and analysed for ‘complete blood count’: Hb, Hct, MCV, MCHC (mean cell haemoglobin concentration.) Blood was collected in EDTA tubes (BD microtainers)
Blood samples were stored for a maximum of 1 hour in room temperature and then transported to the hospital’s laboratory where analyses were performed. Complete blood counts were analysed using the automated haematology analyser.

As part of data monitoring, analysis was done at the midpoint and the statistician alerted the principal investigator of any overt differences in the two groups. The study was halted due to evidence of significant statistical advantage of DCC over ICC, to avoid denying the ICC group an advantageous intervention.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

As part of data monitoring, analysis was done at the midpoint and the statistician alerted the principal investigator of any overt differences in the two groups. The study was halted due to evidence of significant statistical advantage of DCC over ICC, to avoid denying the ICC group an advantageous intervention.

Data was exported to Stata for analysis. For descriptive statistics, differences in proportions for categorical variables were compared using a t-test, while differences in means were compared using chi squared ($X^2$) test. Mean, standard deviation and 95% confidence interval were used for continuous variables.

Multiple linear regressions were done to evaluate the effect of the maternal characteristics on neonatal haemoglobin and haematocrit levels.

**ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Permission was sought from the Kenyatta Hospital- University of Nairobi Ethics and Research Committee (KNH-UON ERC) to collect and analyze the data as part of the
Thesis Dissertation. Authorization was obtained from KNH administration.

The recruitment process was free from coercion and was explicitly voluntary. No personal identifiers were employed for participants. A code was assigned to each, for purposes of identification. The key, linking the participant to the identifying code was stored separately from the research data, in a password-protected database. This was accessible only to the statistician.

**CHAPTER 3. RESULTS**

The trial profile was as below:

**MOTHERS ASSESSED FOR ELIGIBILITY BEFORE DELIVERY AND RANDOMIZED (n=116)**

- CONTROLS EARLY CLAMPING <30 SEC (n=58)
- DELAYED CORD CLAMPING >120 SEC (n=58)

**Excluded**

1. Birth weight <2500 g
2. Major congenital Abnormalities
3. Unexpected twin
4. Tight nuchal cord
5. Need for resuscitation

**Excluded**

1. Birth weight <2500 g
2. Major congenital Abnormalities
3. Unexpected twin
4. Tight nuchal cord
5. Need for resuscitation
An interim analysis done using information obtained from the first 96 mother-neonate pairs in the study; each arm comprising 48 pairs.

There was no statistical difference in the maternal socio-demographic characteristics between the two groups.(figure 3, table 2 and 3) and thus randomization was effective.

DESCRIPTIVE CHARACTERISTICS
Figure 3: Distribution of mothers' age by group (DCC/ICC)

Seventy five percent of the mothers in both arms were younger than 30 years.
The distribution of mother’s age, marital status, educational level, employment status was similar between the two groups as seen in table 1. More than 70% of the mothers had attained at least secondary level education.

**Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the mothers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>ICC n (%)</th>
<th>DCC n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>Married</td>
<td>38 (79.2)</td>
<td>38 (79.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>9 (18.8)</td>
<td>9 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>14 (29.2)</td>
<td>11 (22.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>21 (43.8)</td>
<td>21 (43.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>13 (27.1)</td>
<td>16 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>Formal employment (salary)</td>
<td>10 (20.8)</td>
<td>9 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self employed</td>
<td>9 (18.8)</td>
<td>12 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>29 (60.4)</td>
<td>27 (56.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARIABLE</td>
<td>CATEGORY</td>
<td>ICC n (%)</td>
<td>DCC n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>Primigravida</td>
<td>28 (58.3)</td>
<td>28 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multigravida</td>
<td>20 (41.7)</td>
<td>20 (41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC attendance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility attended</td>
<td>KNH</td>
<td>5 (10.4)</td>
<td>5 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>43 (89.6)</td>
<td>43 (89.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of visits</td>
<td>&lt;4 visits</td>
<td>26 (54.2)</td>
<td>31 (64.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 4 visits</td>
<td>22 (45.8)</td>
<td>17 (35.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood group</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>12 (25.0)</td>
<td>11 (22.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>13 (27.1)</td>
<td>14 (29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>22 (45.8)</td>
<td>22 (45.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhesus factor</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>6 (12.5)</td>
<td>4 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>42 (87.5)</td>
<td>44 (91.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRDL results</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV status</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
<td>48 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron supplements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36 (75.0)</td>
<td>39 (81.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12 (25.0)</td>
<td>9 (18.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The obstetric characteristics i.e. Parity, ANC attendance, blood group, HIV status, iron supplementation were similarly distributed between the two groups (table 2)
### NEONATES’ CHARACTERISTICS AT BIRTH

#### Table 3: Neonates' gender and APGAR score distribution by group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>ICC n (%)</th>
<th>DCC n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>19 (39.6)</td>
<td>22 (45.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29 (60.4)</td>
<td>26 (54.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apgar score at 5 minutes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3 (6.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17 (35.4)</td>
<td>19 (39.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28 (58.3)</td>
<td>29 (60.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The neonatal characteristics i.e. sex, apgar score, birth weight, length and head circumference in both groups were similarly distributed (Table 3 and 4)

#### Table 4: Neonates characteristics at birth by group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>IQR</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Pearson Chi2</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gestation age at delivery</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38-40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37-41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (grams)</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>2825-3400</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>2.050</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>2700-3200</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth length</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48-56</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49-58</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.263</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28-33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4: Mother's gestation age (week) at first ANC visit**

Most of the first time ANC visits occurred in the second trimester. 75% of the mothers attended their first ANC session at 18 weeks gestation.
**PRIMARY RESULTS**

Figure 5: Distribution of Neonatal haemoglobin by group (DCC/ICC)

Figure 7 shows the distribution of haemoglobin level in neonates in the DCC and ICC groups. The confidence interval of Hb level was 16.87g/dl to 17.50g/dl in the DCC group and between 15.27g/dl to 15.98 g/dl in the ICC group. Fifty percent of the neonates who underwent DCC had less than 17.45g/dl whereas among the neonates that underwent ICC, 50% had less than 15.6g/dl.
Figure 8 shows the distribution of haematocrit level in neonates in the DCC and ICC groups. The confidence level of haematocrit was 52.03% to 54.85% with a median of 52.7% in the DCC group and from 46.48% to 48.75% in the ICC group with a median of 47.6.

Table 6: Comparison of Haemoglobin and haematocrit distribution between DCC and ICC groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>[95% CI]</th>
<th>t-statistic</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC Haemoglobin</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>17.19 (g/dl)</td>
<td>1.07 (g/dl)</td>
<td>[16.87; 17.50]</td>
<td>6.666</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>15.63 (g/dl)</td>
<td>1.22 (g/dl)</td>
<td>[15.27; 15.98]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Haematocrit</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>53.44 %</td>
<td>4.85 %</td>
<td>[52.03; 54.85]</td>
<td>6.432</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two-sample independent t-tests were done to compare the means of haemoglobin and haematocrit between the DCC and ICC groups. Both tests showed that there was a highly statistically significant difference in the haemoglobin level (p<0.001) and haematocrit level (p<0.001) between neonates who underwent DCC and ICC (table 6).

SECONDARY RESULTS
Respiratory distress syndrome was noted in three neonates all in the ICC group. All three were discharged from newborn unit within 24 hours of admission.
None of the neonates during day 3 and 7 follow up developed jaundice requiring phototherapy.
None of the neonates had a haematocrit level above 65%, therefore no diagnosis of polycythaemia was made.
CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION

The sample size calculation was 192, however as part of data safety and monitoring, an interim analysis was done at the midpoint i.e. 96 participants. The study was to be halted if there was evidence of significant statistical advantage in either group, to avoid denying the corresponding group an advantageous intervention. The O’Brien Fleming design was used in the interim analysis, a p value of < 0.025 was significant.

PRIMARY OUTCOME

The study found that delaying clamping of the umbilical cord in term infants born of low risk mothers had significant effects on measured indicators of iron status haemoglobin and haematocrit. The analysis of this study showed a significant difference in the values of neonatal haemoglobin and haematocrit levels between the two groups. The average haemoglobin in DCC group was 17.19g/dL while in the ICC group it was 15.63g/dL. The average haematocrit level was 53.4 in the DCC group and 47.6 in the ICC group (Table 5). Similar findings were reported in studies conducted by Chaparro, Andersson, McDonald and Van rheenen (8-11).

Though many RCTs show no adverse effects of DCC, ICC is still being practiced in Kenya, as noted at the highest level of care hospital in the country- Kenyatta National Hospital. An observational study done in the labor ward showed the average cord clamping time to be 20 seconds as of 2016.

The study also showed that among the neonates that underwent ICC, the haemoglobin level appeared to increase gradually with increase in the mother’s haemoglobin. In our population that has high rates of anaemia among antenatal mothers; standing at 36.2% this is significant in that the infants of anemic mothers
are more prone to have low Hb and Hct from the onset if ICC is practiced, this effect was not noted in DCC(30)(41).

Similar studies done in Guatemala, Peru and Zambia not only showed higher haemoglobin and haematocrit levels six hours after birth but also the sustained effect of late clamping such as increased ferritin levels at 4 months of age (7)(42)(43). Our study however did not follow up the participants to analyze any further advantages of DCC. DCC has been documented to lead to 47% reduction in the risk of anaemia and a 33% reduction in the risk of having deficient iron stores at ages two to three months(9). This is of particular importance for developing countries in which anaemia during infancy and childhood is highly prevalent(16).

SECONDARY OUTCOMES

The three infants who were diagnosed to have respiratory distress were all in the ICC group and were admitted to the newborn unit, they were all discharged within 24 hours, and this is against the expectation that respiratory distress would be an outcome of the DCC group. There was follow up of all neonates to assess development of neonatal jaundice, by day 7 there was no noted jaundice that necessitated phototherapy and this corresponded to other RCTs. (7, 9, 11, 18)

It has been postulated that delayed cord clamping may increase the risk of neonatal polycythaemia and respiratory symptoms but we did not find any group differences in these outcomes, which is also consistent with Cochrane meta-analysis (10, 13).

Maternal outcomes were similar in both groups; there was no development of PPH secondary to either intervention. A similar study by Clausen found no increased risk in PPH with delayed cord clamping(44). This was thought to be one of the arguments for ICC as there was need to administer oxytocin, the study supports DCC as oxytocin can be administered 3 minutes post-delivery with no adverse outcome(15).
These findings support incorporation of DCC protocol as part of AMTSL as per current WHO protocol(45).

The main limitation of the study is it only recruited low risk deliveries by healthy mothers from a well-nourished population. The findings may not be reproducible to term infants with various perinatal risk factors such as maternal diabetes or intrauterine growth restriction. Haemoglobin and haematocrit are also indirect measures of iron status and as such further evaluations such as ferritin would show a definitive benefit of DCC.
CONCLUSION

The study concludes that delayed cord clamping resulted in improved Hb and Hct thus reducing the prevalence of neonatal anaemia at birth without increasing the rate of respiratory symptoms or need for phototherapy. This effect is clinically relevant and should lead to a change in practice. Further studies are needed to explore long term health effects of delayed and early cord clamping.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is vital to inform policy makers both at a facility and national level on the importance of in cooperating DCC as an easy and safe measure of reducing anaemia in the neonatal population as well as undertaking the education of midwives on the benefits of the practice.

Follow up study to review neonatal haemoglobin and haematocrit levels after one month of life.
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APPENDIX 1: CONSENT

Code number of mother-baby pair:

Date (dd/mm/yy): ______________________

**Study Title:** THE EFFECT OF TIMING OF CORD CLAMPING ON NEONATAL HAEMOGLOBIN AND HAEMOTOCRIT VALUES AT KENYATTA NATIONAL HOSPITAL

**Investigator:**

Dr. Achieng Aling (MBChB)
Department of Obstetrics and gynecology, University of Nairobi.
Tel Number: 0726-862227

**Investigator's Statement:**

We are requesting you and your newborn to kindly participate in this research study. The purpose of this consent form is to provide you with the information you will need to help you decide whether to participate in the study. This process is called ‘Informed Consent’. Please read this consent information carefully and ask any questions or seek clarification on any matter concerning the study with which you are uncertain. You are free to ask any questions about the study. The investigator will be available to answer any questions that arise during the study and afterwards.

**Introduction:**
Delayed cord clamping (DCC) improves both the short-term and long-term hematologic status for the newborn and does not have clinically significant adverse effects. The optimal duration of DCC appears to be >120 seconds, unless the cord stops pulsing sooner. In our setup, average cord clamping time is 20 seconds.

**Benefits:**

Delayed cord-clamping increases the transfer of Haemoglobin and consequently the iron stores of the neonate. This transfusion includes many types of pluripotent stem cells that may have significant long-term value for the child. When DCC occurs at 2 minutes after the birth or later, benefits include a 47% reduction in the risk of iron-deficiency anaemia, which is a common ailment in our country, this also reduces chances of infections.

**Risks:**

The possible adverse effects of DCC are thought to be secondary to over-perfusion leading to hyper-bilirubinemia and polycythaemia, these have been found to be theoretically and no statistical difference in the population has been documented between DCC and ICC.

Incase a baby requires resuscitation, ICC is considered so as not to delay resuscitative efforts and this will be given priority.

**Voluntariness:**

The study will be fully voluntary. There will be no financial rewards to you for participating in the study. One is free to participate or withdraw from the study at any point. Refusal to participate will not compromise you or your child’s care in any way.
Confidentiality:

All the information obtained from you will be held in strict confidentiality. Any information that may identify you or your child will not be published or discussed with any unauthorised persons. No specific information regarding you, your child or your family will be released to any person without your written permission. Your research number will be used in place of your names.

Intervention

A structured survey questionnaire will be used to gather your obstetrical and medical details and a venous blood sample will be taken for a full hemogram in the first stage of labour.

When delivery is imminent, the midwife will open a sealed, numbered, opaque envelope containing the treatment allocation. Two interventions for the newborns will be early umbilical cord clamping (within the first 30 seconds after birth) and delayed umbilical cord clamping (within 2 minutes after birth). The cord-clamping technique used in the 2 groups will be similar. After vaginal birth all infants will be placed between your legs (approximately 10 cm below the vaginal introitus), dried and wrapped in a warm towel. The infants will remain in this position until the cord is clamped. Intramuscular oxytocin will be administered immediately after cord clamping.

Newborns requiring resuscitation will undergo ICC regardless of the assigned intervention.
A follow up telephone call will be made to you two weeks postpartum to follow on any neonatal complications that may have developed.

Problems or Questions:

If you ever have any questions about the study or about the use of the results you can contact the principal investigator, Dr. Achieng Aling by calling 0726-862227

If you have any questions on your rights as a research participant you can contact the Kenyatta National Hospital Ethics and Research Committee (KNH-ESRC) by calling 2726300 Ext. 44355.
Consent Form: Participant’s Statement:

I ____________________________ having received adequate information regarding the study research, risks, benefits hereby AGREE / DISAGREE (Cross out as appropriate) to participate in the study with my child. I understand that our participation is fully voluntary and that I am free to withdraw at any time. I have been given adequate opportunity to ask questions and seek clarification on the study and these have been addressed satisfactorily.

Parents Signature: __________________ Date ______

I ____________________________ declare that I have adequately explained to the above participant, the study procedure, risks, benefits and given him /her time to ask questions and seek clarification regarding the study. I have answered all the questions raised to the best of my ability.

Interviewer’s Signature __________________ Date ______
APPENDIX 2: INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION SCREENING ENROLLMENT FORM

Date: (dd/mm/yy): ____________

Code Number of the Mother-Baby pair: ________________________________

Subject Initials: ____________

Inclusion Criteria:

1. Age of mother (years) ..................................................

2. Parity

3. Gestation >37 weeks at time of presentation

4. Birth plan spontaneous vertex delivery.

5. History of previous antepartum, intrapartum and postpartum complications
   Yes  No

If yes, expound ........................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................

Exclusion criteria: If any answer is yes, exclude from enrollment

1. Twin pregnancy,

2. History of post-partum haemorrhage (PPH),

3. Clinical disease e.g. Pre-eclampsia, diabetes, renal disease,
4. Chronically medicated e.g. anticonvulsants, antidepressants, thyroid hormone etc,

*Exclusions that may occur after randomization*

5. Placental separation before delivery,
6. Emergency caesarean section,
7. Tight nuchal cord necessitating early cutting,
8. Need for neonatal resuscitation
9. Major congenital abnormalities (e.g. neural tube defects).
10. Infants weighing<2500 g, or with gestational age below 37 weeks.
APPENDIX 3: DATA COLLECTION TOOL

BASELINE QUESTIONNAIRE

Part I: Socio demographics
Indicate all times using the 24 hour clock, and dates in this format dd/mm/yyyy.

Code number of mother-infant pair: ___________ Randomization arm: ___

Date of Signed Informed Consent: dd/mm/yy) ___/_____/_____

Copy given to patient: Yes / No       Mobile Phone Number:______________

1. Age of mother (years) .................................

2. Marital Status
   Single  □  Widowed  □
   Married □  Separated □
   Divorced □

3. Level of Education
   Primary □  Secondary □  Tertiary □

4. Employment status
   Self employed □  Salaried employment □  Unemployed □

Part II: Obstetric History

5. Parity .................................

6. Obstetric History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (Year)</th>
<th>Place Home or HF*</th>
<th>GA** at delivery</th>
<th>Mode of Delivery</th>
<th>Maternal Complications</th>
<th>Neonatal Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*HF-Health Facility    GA** Gestational age

7. ANC attendance  Yes □ No □
8. If yes, facility attended:   KNH □ Other facility □
9. Number of visits .................................
10. Date of first visit …/……/……
11. Gestational age at first visit (in completed weeks) ……./40
12. Iron supplementation during pregnancy  Yes □ No □
13. Duration of Iron supplementation............
14. ANC Profile:
    Haemoglobin ............
    Blood group:.......... Rh: ............
    VDRL: ........
    HIV: ........

Part III: Index Admission
15. Date of Admission ……./……./……
16. Time of admission ....................... 
17. Referral status
    Referred from other facility □
    Self referred □
    Booked for delivery at KNH □
18. Gestational age at delivery (in completed weeks) ……./40
19. Gestational age calculated by Dates □ Quickening □
    Ultrasound □ Fundal Height □
    First clinic visit estimate □

Part IV: Infant characteristics
20. Gestational age (weeks).................
21. Time of delivery ............
22. Time from admission to delivery (days)............ Or hours (if less than 24 hours)............
23. Live birth □ Still birth □
24. Admitted to NBU Yes □ No □
25. If Yes, diagnosis................................
26. Sex of infant: Males □ Female □

27. Apgar score at 5 minutes
< 7 □ >7-10 □

28. Birth weight (g).....................

29. Birth length (cm) ......................

30. Head circumference (cm)..............

31. Umbilical cord haemoglobin:

32. Umbilical cord packed cell volume:

**Part V: Follow up**

**MATERNAL COMPLICATIONS**

Post-partum haemorrhage Yes □ No □
Post-partum anaemia Yes □ No □
Post partum sepsis Yes □ No □

**NEONATE COMPLICATIONS**

Hyperbilirubinaemia Yes □ No □
Polycythaemia Yes □ No □
Neonatal sepsis Yes □ No □
APPENDIX 4: DATA AND SAFETY MONITORING PLAN

Data and Safety Monitoring Plan

Study Title: **THE EFFECT OF TIMING OF CORD CLAMPING ON NEONATAL AND HAEMATOCRIT VALUES AT TERM: AN RCT.**

Principal Investigator: Dr Carolyn Achieng’ Aling’

MEMBERS

Prof. Wamalwa- Acting chairman Pediatric department, UON.
Prof. James Kiarie- WHO, coordinator Human reproductive health.
Dr. Rose Kosgei- Obstetrician and Epidemiologist
Francis Njiiri- Statistician
Dr. Gwako- Obstetrician

BRIEF STUDY OVERVIEW

**Objective:** To determine the effects of delayed umbilical cord clamping on haemoglobin and haematocrit level of term newborns.

**Methodology:** This will be a randomized controlled trial that will include mother-baby pairs at term who meet the selection criteria, 96 newborns will be randomized to delayed umbilical cord clamping (≥120 seconds after delivery as the experimental group) and 96 newborns will be randomized to early umbilical cord clamping (≤30 seconds after delivery as the control group) and their haemoglobin and haematocrit level determined.

OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITIES

Oversight of the trial is provided by the DSMB

Given the short duration of data collection, it has been agreed that an interim meeting will not take place if the interim data analysis is significant.

The DSMB members will have a first meeting before study is commenced.

A meeting will be constituted in case of any adverse event and a final meeting on conclusion of the study.

MONITORING PROCEDURES

Dr. Aling will ensure that informed consent is obtained prior to performing any research procedures, that all subjects meet eligibility criteria, and that the study is conducted according to the ERC-approved research plan.

Study data are accessible at all times for the PI to review. The PI will review study conduct every alternate day. I.e. acquisition of consent, any dropouts, completeness of questionnaire. The PI will review AEs individually real-time and in aggregate on a daily basis.

The PI will ensure all protocol deviations, AEs, and SAEs are reported to the DSMB, ERC and KNH administration according to the applicable regulatory requirements.

COLLECTION AND REPORTING OF SAEs AND AEs

For this study, the following standard AE definitions are used:

**Adverse event:** Any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom or disease temporally associated with the use of a medical treatment or procedure, regardless of whether it is considered related to the medical treatment or procedure.

**Serious Adverse Event:** Any AE that results in any of the following outcomes:

- Death
• Life-threatening
• Event requiring inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization
• Persistent or significant disability/incapacity

AEs are graded according to the following scale:

**Mild:** An experience that is transient, & requires no special treatment or intervention. This includes transient laboratory test alterations.

**Moderate:** An experience that is alleviated with simple therapeutic treatments. Includes laboratory test alterations indicating injury, but without long-term risk.

**Severe:** An experience that requires therapeutic intervention. If hospitalization (or prolongation of hospitalization) is required for treatment it becomes an SAE.

The study uses the following AE attribution scale:

**Not related:** The AE is clearly not related to the study procedures (i.e., another cause of the event is most plausible and/or a clinically plausible temporal sequence is inconsistent with the onset of the event).

**Possibly related:** An event that follows a reasonable temporal sequence from the initiation of study procedures, but that could readily have been produced by a number of other factors.

**Related:** The AE is clearly related to the study procedures.

**MANAGEMENT OF RISKS TO SUBJECTS**

**Expected AEs**

Expected AEs associated with the delayed cord clamping include:

- **MATERNAL:** Postpartum haemorrhage (PPH)
- **NEONATAL:** Respiratory symptoms, polycythaemia, hyperbilirubinaemia and need for phototherapy

**AE Management**

**All adverse events will be reported to ERC within 24 hours.**

**Maternal AE:** To prevent PPH, AMTSL with administration of IM oxytocin will be included in all deliveries, labour ward is also equipped with other uterotonics and a theatre incase PPH still occurs.

Midwives in labour ward are well trained to manage PPH.

**Neonatal AE:** The infant will be assessed at 1 and 6 hour mark by the midwife, who will record if the baby had been breastfed and the presence of respiratory symptoms, i.e. respiratory rate above 60, presence of nostril flaring, grunting or intercostal recession. Mothers will be informed of Jaundice and continuous phone follow ups done post discharge.

**DATA ANALYSIS PLANS**

The statistician will not be blinded and data monitoring will be continuous, he will alert the principal investigator of any overt differences in the two groups at the mid-point of data collection. The study will be halted if there is evidence of significant statistical advantage in whichever group, to avoid denying the corresponding group an advantageous intervention.

In case of SAE, a report will be forwarded to ERC and study halted immediately pending clearance.

**PLAN FOR DATA MANAGEMENT**

Compliance of regulatory documents and study data accuracy and completeness will be maintained through the DSMB.
Confidentiality throughout the trial is maintained by assigning a code to each participant, for purposes of identification. The key, linking the patient to the identifying code will be stored separately from the research data, in a password-protected database. This will only be accessible to the principal investigator.

**APPENDIX 5: CALL LOGS OF FOLLOWUP INTERVIEWS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERIAL NUMBER</th>
<th>Code number for mother-baby pair:</th>
<th>MOBILE NUMBER/ NEXT OF KIN NUMBER</th>
<th>(Day 3) Date: R= Reached NR= Not reached</th>
<th>(Day 7) Date: R=Reached NR= Not reached</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>