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OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF TERMS

**Protein energy malnutrition**- Refers to a form of malnutrition where there is inadequate calorie or protein intake which manifests in form of Kwashiakor, marasmus and marasmic kwashiakor.

**Anti-nutrients**- are natural or synthetic compounds found in a variety of foods especially grains, beans, legumes and nuts that interfere with the absorption of vitamins, minerals and other nutrients. They can even get in the way of the digestive enzymes, which are key for proper absorption.

**Flakes**- A small thin, flat and dry sheet of food substance obtained through drying of a paste or slurry.

**Shelf stability**- Ability of a food to remain effective and free from deterioration and thus can be consumed without causing adverse health effects
GENERAL ABSTRACT

Cassava production in sub-Saharan Africa, has greatly increased. However, utilization of the roots is hindered by very short shelf life and presence of hydrogen cyanide a natural chemical hazard. Further, cassava roots are poorly endowed with quality protein and minerals despite its versatility to grow in marginalized soils. Consequently, this poses a problem of food loss, serious risk of acute and chronic cyanide poisoning and protein energy malnutrition especially to children under complementary feeding aged 2-5 years whose diets are solely cassava based. Conversely, soy bean has been profiled as a cheap source of quality protein and minerals. However, it also faces utilization challenges due to presence of anti-nutrients such as phytates, tannins and trypsin inhibitors that decreases bioavailability of minerals and palatability. These drawbacks have created a gap in knowledge and hence this study aimed at developing a safe, nutritious, acceptable and shelf stable flaked product by incorporation of soy bean in cassava.

A random sampling of the raw materials was done from Muthurwa market, City Park market in Westlands for cassava varieties and Nyamakima market for soy bean. Nutritional profiling of the raw materials was carried out as per standard methods. Further, an experimental design was set up to evaluate most appropriate methods of lowering cyanide in cassava roots to maximum allowable level of 10 mg/kg and anti-nutrients in soy bean to acceptable levels. The most appropriate methods were employed to process the two raw materials.

A single Pearson square was used as a tool of formulation to target half of required daily intake of protein requirement for children aged between 2-5 years as recommended by WHO. The following were the formulation with soy bean to cassava ratio respectively; 0:100= Treatment A, 15:85= Treatment B, 25:75= Treatment C, 35:65= Treatment D and 50:50= Treatment E. Descriptive sensory evaluation and overall acceptability was carried out for all the five formulations. In addition, most acceptable sample was packaged in kraft paper, laminated kraft
paper and plastic container and accelerated shelf life test, anti-nutritional properties, zinc and iron content were analyzed.

Results of proximate analysis for raw materials showed that most of nutritional components of soy bean were significantly higher (p<0.05) compared to the two cassava varieties with exception of carbohydrates. Combination of soaking cassava roots for four days using a ratio of cassava to water of 1:3, pulping and drying in an air oven at 550 C for 3 days (p<0.05) significantly lowered cyanide content by 81 % on average of the two cassava varieties to safe levels. Soaking soy bean for 24 hours in water at a ratio of 1:2, germination for 1 day, drying at 1000 C in an air oven followed by roasting at 2000 C for 5-8 min significantly (p<0.05) lowered anti- nutrients levels by 96.9 %, 20.5 % and 89.8 % for tannins, trypsin inhibitors and phytates respectively compared to control.

Proximate composition results of the formulation showed significant increase (p<0.05) in protein, ash, fat and fiber content in formulations as level of soy bean incorporation increased while cyanide content in all formulations was maximum allowable limit of 10 mg/kg. The formulation that had 35:65 soy to cassava ratio had highest scores of sensory evaluation attributes and overall acceptability at (p<0.05). Further, shelf stability, zinc and iron analysis for this formulation was determined. Among the packaging materials laminated kraft paper emerged the most appropriate in minimizing deteriorative factors and hence preserving quality and safety. Cassava soy bean flakes were termed shelf stable for a period of five months but probably could be longer as maximum allowable limits for peroxide values, acid value and microbial stability were not exceeded in the five days of accelerated shelf life test. Zinc and iron content were significantly higher for most acceptable sample compared to the cassava flakes. The current study therefore established that nutritious, safe, acceptable shelf stable can be developed into cassava soy bean flakes and can assist to solve PEM menace.
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Information

Cassava (\textit{Manihot esculenta}) is the third most crucial source of calories in the tropics, after maize and rice. In Africa, Asia and Latin America millions of people depend on cassava for food. Its ability to grow in a wide range of agro-ecological conditions and produce satisfactory yields where most common food crops are unable to grow gives it a competitive edge to fight food insecurity at the household level and to be an important source of dietary energy. More than half a billion people in the world depend on cassava as a source of livelihood especially for the farmers, processors and traders (Prakash, 2013). In sub-Saharan Africa, cassava is mainly grown in more than 40 countries with 70% being produced in Nigeria, Congo and Tanzania (IFAD and FAO, 2000). In Kenya, cassava is grown in Western, Eastern/Central and Coastal regions with Western region producing about 60% and coastal region producing about 38% (Obiero \textit{et al.}, 2007). According to Githunguri \textit{et al.}, (2007) throughout the country farmers produce cassava in small scale practicing traditional farming systems.

Nutritionally, cassava is generally known to contain high content of starch, dietary fiber, riboflavin, nicotinic acid and magnesium. Vitamin A and Iron are usually low in cassava. The roots are of low and poor quality protein especially with regard to limiting essential amino acids such as lysine, methionine and therefore protein from other sources needs to be included if cassava is to be part of a balanced diet (Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2003).

Cassava has a variety of uses compared to other starchy crops giving it a competitive edge. The uses include: food, livestock feed, brewing, textile Industries, ply wood and paper industries production of industrial starch. However it’s the most perishable root crop and readily deteriorates within 1-3 days after harvesting. Additionally, the root is naturally known to contain cyanogenic glucosides which needs to be reduced to safe levels of 10 mg/kg before
to contain cyanogenic glucosides which needs to be reduced to safe levels of 10 mg/kg before consumption (Bradbury & Gleadow, 2017). As a result this has prompted intensive research that began in 1985 that focuses on improving yields, processing control and new product development especially development of composite flours with high protein quality cereals for example Soy bean (Dufour et al., 2002).

Soy bean is a crucial legume crop in the world owing to its nutritional, economic and functional importance especially in sub-Saharan Africa where food insecurity and Protein Energy Malnutrition (PEM) is still a threat, case study of Kenya where about 30% of the children are malnourished. According to (Goyal et al., 2012), soy bean contains about 40-45% protein, 18-22% oil content and also a rich source of minerals and vitamins. Fabiyi and Hamidu (2011) reported that protein quality from soy bean can be compared to that of animal sources such as milk, eggs and meat with respect to lysine which is deficient in many cereals. Its protein profile also contains substantial levels of methionine which is higher than that of other cereals and vegetables. Consequently, it can be viewed as an excellent source of affordable protein for supplementation of predominantly cassava diets which are deficient in protein.

In Kenya soy bean is mainly grown in two regions, Central Highlands and western regions with the latter being the higher producer than the former. Coincidentally the western region is also the largest producer of cassava in the country with much of it being utilized locally by the communities and also as a weaning food. According to a study carried out to assess nutrition status of Children under five years in Cassava consuming communities in Nambale, Busia Western Kenya it was suggested that cassava helps to cushion hunger but however a need arises to improve it nutritionally with regard to protein content and quality (Nungo et al., 2012).

Previous research has attempted to improve cassava based products in other parts of the world where cassava is produced in large amount for example in Nigeria, enrichment of gari with soy
bean extract (Eke et al., 2008), nutritional and sensory properties of soy fortified fermented cereal gruel (ogi) (Adesokan et al., 2011) among others. However, little work has been done in Kenya especially in regions producing Cassava like in western Kenya. This elicited a need for this project to develop high protein flakes from cassava and soy bean that can be used in nutritional intervention programs to curb PEM in such communities which is nutritionally wholesome, convenient in terms of preparation for consumption and probably has a longer shelf life than the roots.

1.2 Problem Statement

Cassava production has increased tremendously in Africa. However, its utilization is hampered by a short shelf-life and natural chemical hazards. Efforts have been made to add value to it with an aim to solve these problems. Nevertheless, products produced solely from cassava are low in protein content which may further impede utilization in a continent where protein energy malnutrition is prevalent. Cassava is a poor source of protein especially with regard to essential and limiting amino acids lysine and methionine. Moreover, in sub-Saharan Africa, cassava is grown in areas where soils are poorly endowed with minerals hence cassava roots are also deficient of vital micro nutrients such as Zinc and Iron (Montagnac et al., 2009a). In addition, some communities that solely depend on cassava as main source of energy are at a greater risk to suffer from Protein Energy Malnutrition (PEM). Due to lack of enough knowledge on proper detoxification methods of cassava roots, they end up consuming cassava with higher cyanide levels above the safe recommended maximum levels of 10 mg/kg. The proposed research should therefore aim at developing high protein cassava products by incorporating protein and mineral rich soy bean.
1.3 Justification of the Study

Soy bean is an excellent source of plant protein with 40-42 % and with regard to limiting essential amino acids lysine and methionine 3% and 0.64% respectively (WHO/FAO/UNU Expert Consultation, 2007). Fortifying cassava with soybean and developing a product such as flakes makes it a nutritionally balanced product that can be used in nutritional intervention programs for problems of PEM, improved mineral content with regard to Zinc and Iron. In addition, it has also been established that apart from the excellent protein profile of soy beans they are also capable of lowering blood cholesterol, amino acids content are able to lower blood pressure which is highly linked to conservation of calcium ions (Dadson and Noureldin, 2001).

Processing of flakes can create employment opportunities that can help improve the livelihood of individuals and economic welfare. Flakes are also convenient by the fact that they are ready to eat requiring minimal preparation and probably longer shelf life than the common composite flours among other products. Moreover, CSB flakes are hypoallergenic due to absence of gluten. As a result this makes CSB flakes an ideal gluten free alternative to wheat and wheat related RTEBC which according to Taylor and Hefle (2001) cereals with gluten rank top 8 most common causes of food allergies.

Moreover, western Kenya is one of the two regions in the country known for cassava production. It’s also a main region where soy bean is grown in the country hence ease of diversification of cassava based diets to the community.

1.4 Study Aim

Aim of the study is to contribute towards enhancing food security especially in Kenya and sub-Saharan Africa with regard to protein energy malnutrition.
1.5 Purpose of the Study
The data generated by this study will help to profile the quality characteristics ranging from: nutrition aspects, color, taste texture and general acceptability and shelf-life of the cassava-soy flakes.

1.6 Objectives

1.6.1 Overall objective
To develop acceptable Cassava Soy Bean breakfast flakes with improved protein and minerals.

1.6.2 Specific objectives
1. To evaluate proximate compositions and processing methods to improve chemical safety of cassava and soy bean.
2. To develop Cassava-Soy bean Flakes with enriched protein and minerals.
3. To determine shelf stability of most acceptable formulation of Cassava- soy bean flakes in comparison with cassava flakes.

1.7 Study Hypothesis
1. Proximate composition of cassava and soy bean are not significantly different, chemical safety of cassava and soy bean are not significantly different before and after processing.
2. Nutrition, safety and acceptability of cassava-soy bean flakes is not significantly different from cassava flakes.
3. The shelf stability of most acceptable CSB breakfast flakes is not significantly different from that of cassava roots.
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Cassava Production and Utilization: Global, Africa and Kenyan Trends

Cassava (Manihot *esculenta* Crantz) is a crucial crop with adequate amount of carbohydrates, B vitamins, calcium, Vitamin C and other essential minerals required for growth of a healthy individual. Principally it is grown for its starchy roots which provides excellent calorific value to millions of Africans. In 16th Century, Portuguese introduced Cassava into Africa and later spread to East Africa through Zanzibar. Since then diffusion of cassava especially in sub-Saharan Africa can be described as self-spreading innovation (Hillocks, 2002). According to Jarvis *et al.*, (2012) nearly all countries located between latitude 30° north and south of equator, with rainfalls of 50 millimeters to five meters annually, and to poor soils with a pH ranging from acidic to alkaline. These conditions are common in certain parts of Africa and South America.

Studies have shown that cassava is an important perennial crop and act as a source of carbohydrate to over 800 million people throughout the world; with a competitive edge among other sources of starch in that it is a drought resistant crop and requires little agronomic inputs. In addition it is perfectly adapted to traditional mixed cropping systems (Montagnac *et al.*, 2009a). Most African countries are victims of poverty, hunger and HIV/AIDS pandemic, it is also a continent whereby population growth is increasing at a higher rate than food production. Therefore Cassava being a nutritive and hardy crop can be viewed as one of the crop that can help forestall food insecurity in Africa continent (Achidi *et al.*, 2017). According to (FAOSTAT 2014), world cassava production has risen to 268000 metric tons of which 146000 metric tons being from Africa. Narrowing to Africa, West Africa region has the highest production of cassava of 87300 metric tons. Kenya production of Cassava is 858000 tons this
translates to 0.005% of total Africa production. This clearly shows that Kenya’s production is still low compared to other African nations that produce cassava.

According to Hongbété et al., (2009), millions of people in East, Central and West Africa depend on cassava as their main source of calories. Approximately 70% of all cassava grown in Africa is from Nigeria, this can explain why the West Africa region has the highest production in Africa (Nhassico et al., 2008). In Sub-Saharan Africa, cassava cultivation is a major factor in food security. During harvesting time, farmers enjoy flexibility as the root can be left in the ground until it is needed, this ensures food availability (Sarr et al., 2014). In addition, cassava is able to grow in marginalized areas, requires low farm inputs, resistant to pest and diseases and yet produce satisfactory yields. As reported by (Patrick, 2015) when the crop is faced by drought, it sheds its leave and its large roots keeps it alive and sprouts again when rain comes. On average, Kenya produces about 500,000 tons of cassava every year.

In Kenya cassava production is mainly concentrated in three main regions namely: central, western and coastal regions. Among the three regions western produces and consume about 60% of total cassava produced national wide (Githunguri et al., 2015). As reported by Ndung’u (2012), Eastern (former eastern and central province), the coastal ( former Coast province),) and Western (former Nyanza and Western province) regions accounts for 10%, 30% and 60% respectively. For the last two regions cassava seconds maize in importance hence the two remains the sole producers of cassava in Kenya (Obiero et al., 2007).

Using the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), total world cassava use is expected to increase from 172.7 million tons to 275 million tons between the years 1993-2020. In Africa the majority of cassava produced about 80% is utilized as human food with over 50% utilized in processed forms to products such as paste, flour, and chips, it can also be prepared into cooked food serving both in urban and rural areas as a source of dietary energy; other
products processed from cassava include: cassava flakes, fufu, gari, macaroni more common in Western Africa region (Westby & Me, 2002). A study carried out by (Gegios et al., 2010) reported that the need for processed High Quality Cassava Flour (HQCF) for use by local food processors has provided great potential to small scale flour producers in the country, nevertheless, the rising urbanization, quality and safety of the flour has raised concern.

Cassava roots and leaves constitute 50%- 10% of the mature root and these are the most nutritious parts of the plant (Charles, 2016). Potential yield of the growing areas in Kenya is 50- 70 tons/ha compared to actual yields of 10 tons/ ha which has been attributed to farmers growing low yielding varieties, poor crop management practices and also a common viral disease known as cassava brown streak disease (Jennings, 2003). According to Githunguri et al., (2015), cassava roots are mainly used for human consumption as well as animal feeds. Among the locals cassava leaves are a common vegetable while the roots are consumed boiled or fried (Ceballos et al., 2006).

A study done by Kiura et al., (2012) reported that approximately 38% of the cassava produced is consumed domestically and about 51% of farmers are involved in chips manufacturing for sale to flour and feed processors as an intermediate raw material. Utilisation of cassava composite flours for making products such as porridge, breads, chapattis, and unleavened bread has also been achieved though not well adopted by majority of processors Nungo et al., (2012). Nonetheless, the Western region communities peels the roots and cut them into smaller pieces (cassava chips) which are then dried and combined with cereals such as maize, sorghum and millet which are then milled to make composite flours for making ugali or porridge for weaning children (Nungo et al., 2012). According to Ndung and Muli (2007), the Coastal region of Kenya use cassava leaves as vegetable while in Eastern region (Kitui and Machakos) is chewed as a snack when boiled or roasted.
As intimated by Valdivia et al., (2014), cassava serves as a staple food of Western Kenya region and is intercropped with other local food crops such as maize, soybeans, sorghum and millet. Studies has shown that the region produces and consumes 60% of national output of Cassava. However, hydrogen cyanide presence in the roots has lowered the quality of the roots and this has been attributed to rejection of cassava utilization decreasing its popularity among the communities in Kenya (Montagnac et al., 2009a).

2.2 Common Hazards in Cassava and Cassava Products

According to (Obadina, Oyewole, Sanni, Tomlins, & Westby, 2008), cassava growing communities have adopted most common and economic method of drying cassava to lower its moisture content to arrest its perishability. The method involves cutting cassava roots into chips, grating the roots and drying them in open space to dry naturally by heat from the sun. As a result the chips to be dried are exposed to dust, insects, animal contamination and other environmental hazards. A study done by Odom, Udensi, and Nwanekazi, (2012) reported that the drying of the chips is usually done under unhygienic conditions leading to contamination of the products with Staphylococcus and E.coli from human and animal sources during handling, packing and utensils used in size reduction of the bulky roots.

The climatic conditions in countries around the tropics in Africa favors fungal growth that leads to production of mycotoxins as secondary metabolites, fungal contamination can occur at any stage ranging from the field when growing and harvesting, processing, packaging and also during transportation (Bankole & Adebanjo, 2003). Therefore, appropriate quality control is required when the roots are at the farm until they reach the folk. A study done by Wareing, et al, (2001) intimated that predominant fungi in cassava are Aspergillus and Fusararium species, the study also reported that Fusarium species had been isolated from Ghanian cassava chips which can lead to fumonisins contamination. Environmental conditions such as humidity,
temperature, rainfall during pre-harvest and harvesting periods and drought stress contributes to contamination of roots with fungi which are all common in countries in sub-Saharan Africa countries that grows cassava (Fandohan et al., 2005). Study have reported that exposure to mycotoxins can have both acute and chronic effects with the worst being carcinogenicity of mycotoxins (Williams et al., 2004). To overcome contamination of both bacterial and fungal contamination of cassava proper practices need to be emphasized especially during farming and processing to enhance safe quality cassava products in the market for which are free from off flavors, discolored and free from moldy taste.

Cassava roots contain quite a considerable amount of anti-nutrient factors that hinder its utilization and popularity too among communities. Presence of cyanogenic glucoside act as a natural defense mechanism against predators (Hongbété et al., 2009). Study reveals that there exist three sole cyanogens in cassava which are and free hydrogen cyanide (HCN), linamarin, and acetonehydrin (lotaustralin), the last two undergoes enzymatic hydrolysis by plant endogenous enzyme linamarase to release free cyanide which in total contribute to cyanogenic potential of cassava roots (Achidi et al., 2017). According to Nhassico et al., (2008), other than the genetic factor of cassava roots, stress factors such as movement of a genotype from its locality to a totally new area with different climatic conditions has significant contribution to the amount of cyanide produced by the roots. The concentration of the cyanide has been quantified highest in the leaves followed by roots parenchyma with levels up to 53 to 1300 and 10 to 500 mg cyanide equivalents/kg dry matter respectively (Charles, 2016). Bitter varieties of cassava have cyanide content that exceeds the one recommended by FAO/WHO 1991 of 10 mg/ cyanide equivalent/kg dry matter.

Among cassava eating populations health disorders have been reported showing that consumption of cassava products with even 50 to 100 mg of cyanide/kg dry matter can result to serious acute poisoning which is even lethal to adults (Montagnac et al., 2009a). Some of
the common signs of acute cyanide poisoning include: vertigo, cardiac arrhythmias, vomiting, lack of motor coordination, headaches, weak pulse, stupor, convulsions and can result to coma (Famurewa & Emuekele, 2014). Lethality of consuming low amount of cyanide is low but in the long run can result to severe chronic health effects such as glucose intolerance, tropical ataxic neuropathy and when combined with low iodine intake can result to goiter and crenitsm (Bankole & Adebanjo, 2003). According to a study done by Ernesto et al., (2002) in Mozambique, it reported that Konzo an upper motor neuron condition characterized by irreversible spastic paraparesis and other developmental disorders, was associated to consumption of cassava varieties with sub-lethal concentration of cyanide which is more prevalent in women and young children. Studies done in Congo have reported similar results (Banea et al., 2014). Several methods exist for cassava detoxification before consumption. They include: peeling and pounding, grating, boiling, roasting and fermentation. In this study, peeling, boiling and fermentation will be employed to reduce the cyanide contents of the roots as studies have shown they are more efficient. Attempts have also been made to develop low cyanogen content cassava varieties although much has not been done on agricultural education and extension on it. These cassava varieties provide a significant food safety risk from cyanide poisoning which needs to be addressed.

2.3 Nutritional Contribution of Cassava

The nutritional composition of cassava roots varies with the part of the plant being consumed (leaves and roots) and other factors such as variety, geographical location, environmental conditions and age of the plant. For a mature cassava plant, on average roots and leaves constitute of 50% and 6% respectively which forms nutritionally important parts of the plant. Cassava has been ranked high due to its enormous amount of energy stored in the roots Gegios et al., (2010). A 100g fresh weight of the roots has been reported to yield 145.93 kcal compared to the same amount of sweet potato that yields 110.05 kcal hence positioning it first among
other common sources of energy such as maize and tubers (Valdivia et al., 2014). Naturally, it’s an energy reserve with high carbohydrate content which ranges from 32-35g/100 g on fresh weight basis and 80-90g/100g dry weight basis (Tivana, 2012). The roots have a fiber content that does not exceed 1.5%, however, the level is dependent on the variety and age of the root. Upon exceeding the physiological maturity, the roots become woody and fiber content may exceed the mentioned level (Charles, 2016).

Lipids in cassava roots ranges between 0.1-0.3 % on fresh weight basis and this is quite low compared to other starchy crops that yields lipids too such as maize (Montagnac et al., 2009a). Of concern is the protein content of the roots which is as low as 1- 3% on dry weight basis and 0.4- 1.5 g/100g on fresh weight basis compared to that of other common starchy staple foods such as sorghum and maize which have about 10 g/100g on fresh weight basis (Ceballos et al., 2006). With exception of soybean the roots have almost equal amounts of minerals and vitamins common in legumes such as: calcium, magnesium, zinc, iron, potassium and manganese. Vitamin C level in roots is quite high to levels of 45g/100g edible portions. However the roots are poor source of B vitamins most of which are lost during processing (Wobeto, et al., 2006). More important is the quality of protein which is determined by the composition of amino acids especially limiting ones which animals and human beings cannot synthesis but only obtain from plant sources. Some essential amino acids such as cysteine, tryptophan, lysine, and methionine are very low hence a need arises for diversification of diet or value addition of cassava based products especially to communities that solely depend on cassava as staple food. Nevertheless, a study done by Vanderschuren, & Stupak, (2006) has shown that cassava leaves have considerable amount of proteins of 14-40% of dry matter which is dependent on variety, age and proportional size of stems and leaves. Despite the high amount of protein in the leaves, a gap still exist in the amino acid profile as the leaves have very little or lack the limiting essential amino acids namely lysine, methionine and probably isoleucine
(Montagnac, et al., 2009c). With the roots being highly utilized than the leaves among communities, an intervention is needed to protect millions of individuals’ especially young children who are at risk of facing chronic protein deficiency. A study by Nungo et al., (2012) in Western Kenya suggested that it would be of benefit adding value to cassava based products or diversify the diets with regard to protein quality to improve the nutrition status of children who depend on cassava during weaning stage. This gap formed the backbone of this project that will focus on improving the protein quality of cassava product (flakes) using a soy bean that has considerable amounts of these limiting essential amino acids such as lysine and methionine.

2.4 Soy Bean Production: Global, Africa and Kenyan Trends

Domestication of soybean first started in China between 2500-2300 BC (Hiu and Chang, 2010). South and South East Asia were the initial habitats of the crop as a result of migration and settling of the locals. It is until early 19th Century when the crop was introduced to France and England as an ornamental crop (Hartman, et al., 2011). The crop gained popularity first in Yugoslavia when it was first grown as an animal feed due to its high energy values contributed by its oil content of up to 20%. According to (Kolapo, 2010), soy bean was introduced to other parts of the world through French and British Imperialism in the entire 19th Century. Therefore there is no doubt that as the British colonialized Kenya introduced Soybean farming in the year 1909 and regarded it promising. (Bulletin of Imperial Institute, 1909)

In Eastern Africa, Kenya has the highest demand of soy bean of over 10000 annually (Tinsley, 2009). According to (FAO, 2008, FAO, 2012), reports shows that the annual production has never been more than 5000 MT creating unmet demand of 95% compared to annual demand. This gap is filled by imports from other countries which are large producers of the crop namely Uganda, (Tinsley et al., 2009), Zibambwe, Malawi, Zambia, Argentina and lately Brazil
(Chianu et al., 2008). U.S.A and China also exports a few Soy bean products to Kenya. According to (FAO,2008) Kenya spent US $ 27.54 million to import Soy bean from other country to meet the demand an amount that can easily deplete the foreign exchange earned from other exported crops and from other sources. As a result, this has an enormous implication on the balance of trade and exchange rates affecting the stability of the country economically. In Kenya Soy bean is mainly grown in two key regions namely Central highlands and Western region. In Central highlands region it’s grown in the following areas: Meru, Tharaka Nithi, Embu and Kirinyaga Counties. In Western region it comprises of the following areas: Bungoma, Transoia, Busia, Nyamira, Kisii, Vihiga, Homabay, Siaya, Migori and Kakamega Counties. The latter region has higher productivity than the former (Nungo et al., 2012).

When British Colonialist introduced Soy bean to Kenya in 1909, their ultimate intention was to have an industrial crop that would help justify establishment of the colony, provide raw materials for the British Industries and provide finances for the construction of the Kenya-Uganda Railway (Tarus, 2004, Mark, 2010, British Imperial institute, 1909). At the beginning, locals were not allowed to grow the crop as compared to other cash crops introduced during the time like coffee and tea. They were also not allowed to utilize it until the World War II when it was realized Soy bean had a solution to malnutrition problem cases among Africans (Graham, 1943; Halcrow, 1939). Efforts were made by the British colonialist to introduce large scale mechanized commercial production of Soy bean but it did not pick up and it was abandoned in 1950. Reasons for the failure were attributed to: poor germination rates and inoculation, lack of uniformity in maturity, high shuttering and diseases (Kolapo, 2011; Thorpe, 1953). The other main contributor to the failure of the crop was the hut tax. Native farmers were subjected to high taxes from income generated from farming crops introduced by the British. They felt that if the adoption of crop was successful, hard labor and high tax burden would follow. This hindered the crop from being adopted by the locals (Tarus, 2004). This
explains why Soy bean farming lagged behind in Kenya as other African countries, Latin America and USA had a trajectory move in adopting the crop.

2.5 Soy Bean and Nutrition

There has been an increase in consumption of Soy bean and Soy bean products owing to its health benefits. Nutritionally soy bean is a major source of protein, some vitamins, minerals, B-vitamins, calcium, omega-3 fatty acids and dietary fiber when it is consumed wholly. According to Lokuruka, (2010), animal protein can be substituted by soy protein due to its complete protein profile when compared to other legumes. It contains all essential amino acids with exception of methionine which must be supplied in the diet because the body cannot synthesize them. It’s also limited in Sulphur containing amino acids but its lysine level is high enough to curb lysine deficiency in cereals (Awasthi, et al., 2012).

The high biological value of soy bean protein together with the high level of protein increases its food and feeding value which owes to it its high economic value over the other oil seeds.

2.6 Soybean Lipids and Micronutrients Profile

Soy bean being a high seed oil it provides essential calories and vitamins especially the fat soluble ones, Vitamin D, A, K and E. Its Iodine value is among the highest of 134 almost similar to that of sunflower, maize, peanut butter of 101, 51 and 127 respectively indicating high level of unsaturation. The fatty acids linoleic, oleic, palmitic and linolenic make more than 85% of the total fatty acid content in the oil hence contributing to the high unsaturation. It’s for this reason soy bean has been associated with lowering serum cholesterol (Bluckner, 2000).

Upon ashing, dry soybean has an ash content of 5% which is quite considerable. The minerals majorly exist in the form of phosphates, sulphates and carbonates. Some of the major mineral elements are Potassium phosphorous, magnesium, Sulphur calcium, chloride and sodium in that order. Minor mineral elements are silicon, iron, zinc, selenium, molybdenum, chromium
and selenium among other. The components mentioned above are dependent on factors such as season, variety and cultural practices. In general, mineral bioavailability after consumption of animal foods is higher than that of plants foods. In soy foods calcium, and phytate associate to form complexes that makes the biologically unavailable. This reduces zinc absorption by a greater margin than pytates. (Samuel, et al., 2012).

According to Maggie and Covington (2004), soy bean contains considerable amounts of alpha-linolenic acid and linoleic acid with Omega -6 fatty acids being more than other omega -3 oil seeds. As a result this qualifies soy bean oil as a source of both omega -6 and omega-3 oils. Omega -3 oils are precursors of the eicosanoids-prostaglandins, thromboxanes and leukotrienes which have been shown to have vasodilatory, anti-thrombotic, anti-arrhythmic and anti-inflammatory effects. In addition, eicosanoids have been shown to have inhibitory effects against cardiovascular diseases.

2.7 Anti-nutrient Factors in Soybean and their Deactivation

Soy bean is the principal source of cheap and affordable high quality plant protein among all other legumes. However, it is faced with a major limitation diverse compounds which have anti- nutritional properties especially if consumed in large amounts. As reported by Yasothai, (2016), these anti-nutrients decrease the nutritive value of the soy bean and if taken in large amounts for can be fatal to both human and animals and also results to health problems. Consequently, this has elicited research on breeding programmes to develop varieties which have low amounts of these anti-nutrient factors. Processing methods have been shown to reduce the anti-nutrient though not completely (Samuel et al., 2012). Main Anti- nutrients factors include: protease inhibitors (Trypsin) – Kunitz trypsin inhibitor (KTI) and Bowman-Birk inhibitor, and lectins. Of the total protein content in Soybean, 6% is composed of protease inhibitors. Others are Glycinin 150-200 mg/g and 40-70 mg/g; β-conglycin 50-100 mg/g and
10-40 mg/g; Saponins 0.5% and 0.6%; Oligosaccharides 14% and 15%; Phytic acid 0.6% and 0.6%.

About 80% of the trypsin inhibition is caused by KTI, which strongly inhibits trypsin and hence lowers intake of food by decreasing their digestion, absorption and utilization. In addition, KTI causes, hyper secretion, induction of pancreatic enzyme and the fast stimulation of pancreas growth, hyperplasia and hypertrophy (Miki, et al., 2009).

Heat treatment of soy bean before utilization denatures trypsin inhibitors. According to Samuel et al., (2015) right heat treatment lowers the levels of trypsin inhibitors by more than 90%. Plant breeders have managed to develop varieties of Soy bean with low amount of trypsin inhibitors. Consequently, this has lowered significantly amount of heat treatment that is subjected to the raw soybean before utilization which to an extent can denature the proteins. This has also reduced the processing cost of feeds to animal feed manufacturers.

In plant kingdom, there is a wide distribution of lectins which are proteins with specific characteristic to bind specific molecules containing carbohydrates which results to agglutination of red blood cells (Pan et al., 2013). In soy bean the agglutinin present reduces functionality of the microvilli. According to Kaviani & Kharabian, (2008), this leads to increase in weight of small intestines due to hyperplasia of crypt cells and also reduction in viability of the epithelial cells. It has been shown that soy bean agglutinin can be destroyed by moist heat during processing. However they are resistant to dry heat.

Phytate levels in Soy bean ranges between 1-2.3%. They have an effect of complexing with mineral elements such as magnesium, calcium, phosphorous, zinc, iron and copper (Trimble & Trimble, 2009). Among the mentioned minerals the most chelated is phosphorous where about two thirds is bound to phytic acid. Phytates are not destroyed by heat but a breakthrough has
been found by developing Soy bean genotypes with low phytate levels through genetic engineering (Spear, 2006).

Other than the mentioned anti nutrients, physiologically active compounds are also found in soy bean which include tannins, saponins, antivitamins and isoflavones which have small or unknown effects.
CHAPTER THREE: PROXIMATE COMPOSITION AND PROCESSING METHODS TO IMPROVE CHEMICAL SAFETY OF CASSAVA AND SOY BEAN

3.1 Abstract

In spite of increased cassava production in Africa, utilization is impeded by short shelf life and HCN. Further, it’s poorly endowed with quality protein and minerals. Soy bean can be utilized to offset the nutrition deficiencies of cassava to make a shelf stable and nutritious product. However, utilization is also hindered by presence of anti-nutrients. The study sought to develop appropriate methods of processing cassava and soy bean to lower HCN content and anti-nutrients to safe levels. Two cassava varieties were used in the study. Significant difference (p<0.05) was observed in HCN content for both varieties except for the last two days of soaking, with final level of 18.98 and 29.88 mg/kg for Meru and Western Kenya variety from initial levels of 36.71 and 88.56 mg/kg respectively. Pulping the soaked roots and drying them in an air oven at 50°C for 3 days further lowered HCN content to 9.62 and 10.33 mg/kg for Meru and Western Kenya variety respectively.

Soy bean was subjected to four treatments targeting to lower phytates, tannins and Trypsin Inhibitors. Soaking soy beans for 24 hrs, germinating the beans for 24hrs, drying for 24 hrs followed by roasting at 200°C for 5-8 minutes. This reduced initial levels of 31.5 mg/100g, 1.22 mg/100g and 35.85 TIU to 0.98 mg/100g, 0.97 mg/100g and 3.66 TIU of tannins, pytates and trypsin inhibitors respectively. Proximate composition revealed significant differences with regard to protein and ash content of soy bean and cassava.
3.2 Introduction

In the tropics cassava roots are important source of calories covering about 60% of calorific needs in tropical Africa and Central America. By quantity it ranks third most important after corn and rice in the tropics (Hounhouigan, 2014; Kobawila, et al., 2005). However, a major setback facing its utilization is the existence of cyanide, a natural chemical hazard which if not lowered to safe levels of less than 10 ppm in roots has toxic acute and chronic health effects to human being (Montagnac et al., 2009c). Several methods can be employed to lower cyanide content in cassava. However, the rate of removal is dependent on the initial level of cyanogen in the roots. A study done by Andama and Oloya (2017) found that soaking of cassava roots before sun drying leads to greater removal of cyanide content of up to 97.8% to 98.7%. Nevertheless, post-fermentation processes are very important in cyanide removal due to stability of cyanogen at low pH during fermentation process.

In animal and human diets, legume protein forms a significant source of cheap quality protein. With regard to soy bean, it is an important legume due to its high protein content and excellent amino acid profile. Its steady supply and reasonable pricing makes it affordable and a cheap source of high quality protein (Bajpai, et al., 2004). Nonetheless, Soybean nutritional value is lower than expected, regardless of its high protein content and excellent amino acid content. This is attributed to anti-nutritional factors such as trypsin inhibitors, phytates, lectins, tannins and protease inhibitors. A study done by Franco-Fraguas et al., (2003), reported that of all the anti-nutrient factors in soybean, protease inhibitors are most significant and have been successfully removed by heat treatment though to varying degrees.

Therefore, the present study evaluated the most appropriate fermentation time combined with pulping and drying to lower cyanide content of roots to safe levels as well as the most appropriate method of lowering anti-nutrient factors with regard to phytates, trypsin inhibitors and tannins. In addition, it evaluated the difference in nutritional composition of the two raw
materials with a view to providing evidence that soybean can be used to supplement the nutritional composition of cassava.

3.3 Materials and Methods.

3.3.1 Sampling of cassava roots and soy bean.
Markets were identified in Nairobi where produce from upcountry are brought for marketing due to the large numbers of consumers around the city environs. For cassava two markets were identified namely: Muthurwa and City Park market in Westlands. Purposive sampling of the markets was considered and the samples collected were according to availability and came from two regions in the countries which are main producers of Cassava. In Muthurwa a variety from Western Kenya was sampled and at City Park market a variety from Meru was sampled. The samples were then packed in airtight bags to prevent contamination and transported to University of Nairobi Chemistry lab for analysis.

Soy bean was sampled randomly from one of the main cereals markets in Nairobi, namely Nyamakima market. It was packed in kraft paper and transported to University of Nairobi Chemistry lab for analysis.

3.3.2 Determination of proximate composition of cassava and soy bean as raw materials
Proximate composition was assayed according to the standard AOAC (2008) methods for cassava and soy bean.

3.3.2.1 Moisture content
A sample of 10g was dried in an oven and moisture content determined according to AOAC (2008 method 967.08)

3.3.2.2 Protein content
Crude protein content of the samples was determined using the micro-Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 2008 method 988.05).
3.3.2.3 Crude ash
Crude ash determination was done according to AOAC (2008 method 942.05). A sample of 2g of sample was weighed into a crucible whose weight is known and ignited. Contents together with the crucible were ignited at 600 °C for 2 hours. The crucible was weighed again upon cooling.

3.3.2.4 Crude fat
Crude fat was measured as per the method (AOAC, 2008 method 2003.06). A dry sample of 2 g was weighed into a cellulose thimble and plugged with glass wool. The fat extraction was carried out in soxhlet apparatus for 16 hours with 150 ml of petroleum ether. The extract in the flask was evaporated on steam bath. The extract in the flask was finally dried in the hot air oven at 103°C for 30 minutes, cooled in a desiccator and weighed.

3.3.2.5 Crude fiber
Crude fiber was determined according to the (AOAC, 2008 method 958.06). A sample of 2 g was subjected to digestion using 100 ml of 0.25N sulphuric acid and then filtered through a fiber sieve cloth. The residue was further digested by addition of 100 ml of 0.31N NaOH and sieved through a fiber sieve cloth again. To the residue, 10 ml of acetone was added to dissolve any organic constituent. The residue was washed further with 50 ml hot water twice on the sieve cloth and put into a crucible to be oven-dried at 105°C overnight. Then the oven-dried crucible with the residue was weighed upon cooling and further drying in a desiccator. The oven-dried residue was subjected to ashing at 550°C for 4 hours. The weight lost was then determined to represent the crude fiber content.

3.3.2.6 Carbohydrates
Carbohydrate content was determined by the difference in the composition and the total mass (100% - (Moisture Content+ Crude fat + crude protein + Crude ash + Crude fiber)).
3.3.3 Calorific Value
Caloric value was determined by Wilwater conversion factor; Energy= 4 kcal /g (protein) + 9 kcal/g (fat) + 4 kcal/g (carbohydrates) based on Pearson, (1976) formula.

3.3.4 Experimental Design to determine optimum fermentation time for cassava roots
Two varieties based on the region grown were used in the experiment as described in the sampling procedure. Fermentation method was used to lower cyanide content whereby after peeling and cutting the roots into small pieces approximately 5cm³, cyanide content was determined before fermentation at zero days. Fermentation was then carried out and cyanide levels determined after every 24 hrs for 4 days. From the experiment, the most optimum fermentation time was adopted and combined with post fermentation processes namely pulping and drying to process the roots before milling into flour.

3.3.5 Determination of cyanide
The hydrogen cyanide (HCN) content of cassava roots was analyzed using AOAC method (1990). 10 g of the sample was mixed with approximately 100 ml distilled water in a distillation flask. The distillation flask was then connected to the distillation unit and allowed to stand for at least two hours. The mixture was then distilled and approximately 200 ml of the distillate collected in a volumetric flask containing 25 ml of 2.5% NaOH solution; a portion of 8 ml of 5% KI solution was added to 100 ml of distillate and titrated against 0.02 N silver nitrate (AgNO3) solution. The end point was indicated by a faint but permanent turbidity. The HCN content was calculated as: 1 ml of 0.02 N Silver Nitrate being equivalent to 1.08 mg of HCN per 10g and then expressed as HCN mg/kg of sample. Analysis was done in duplicates.
3.3.6 Experimental design to determine most appropriate method(s) of lowering anti-nutrients levels in Soy bean

Soy bean was subjected to four treatments namely: **Treatment 1**=Soy bean soaked in water for 24 hrs, **Treatment 2**= Raw soy bean which acted as the control, **Treatment 3**= Soybean soaked for 24 hrs, germinated for 1 day, dried in an air oven at 100° C for 24 hrs then roasted at 200° C for 5-8 minutes until golden brown, **Treatment 4**= Raw soy bean subjected to roasting at 200° C for 5-8 minutes until golden brown. Most suitable method that lowered anti-nutrients with focus to: phytates, tannins and trypsin inhibitors was adopted to process the soy bean before milling them into flour.

3.3.7 Determination of phytate

Phytate were analyzed according to the method described by Latta and Eskin, (1980). A sample of 1 g was defatted by adding 10 ml of petroleum ether and left to stand for 2 hrs, decanted and let to dry. Hydrochloric acid (10 ml at 2.4%) was added to the dried samples and centrifuged (Dr. Ngerber, K. Schneider & co, Zurich, Centrifuge) for 10 minutes at 482.97 g. Centrifuging was repeated four times, each time the supernatant was collected in 100 ml volumetric flask. Wade reagent (2 ml mixture of 0.03% Iron chloride and 0.3% sulfosalicyclic acid) was added to 2 ml of sample solution and topped up to 10 ml. Absorbance was read at 500 nm (Single beam spectrophotometer, Milton Roy Company, Spectronic 1001, USA). Phytate content was calculated as g/100 g using phytic acid standard curve prepared as described by Latta and Eskin (1980).

3.3.8 Determination of tannins

Tannins were analyzed as per AOAC (2012) 19th edition, method number 952.0 with modification. Follins denis reagents was prepared as per Ferreira et al. (2004). Approximately 0.5 g sample was extracted with 50 ml of distilled water, vortexed for 5 minutes and left to decant. Folins Denis reagent (2 ml) was added to 75 ml of distilled water followed by 2 ml of
sample solution and finally 5 ml of concentrated sodium carbonate was added. The total mixture was topped up to 100 ml, vortexed and left to stand for 40 minutes before reading absorbance at 725 nm (Single beam spectrophotometer, Milton Roy Company, Spectronic 1001, USA).

3.3.9 Determination of trypsin inhibitors
Trypsin inhibitors were analyzed as per a Manual of Laboratory Techniques National Institute of Nutrition (Indian Council of Medical Research Hyderabad. 500 007 India). Four grams of the pulverized defatted soy bean was treated with 40 ml of 0.05M of Sodium Phosphate buffer pH 7.5 and 40 ml of distilled water. The samples were shaken for 3 hrs and then centrifuged at 700 g for 30 minutes at 15ºC. The supernatants were diluted in such a way that there was an inhibition between 40 and 60% of the control enzyme activity. The incubation mixture consisted of 0.05 ml of TI solution, 2 ml of 2% casein, 1.0 ml of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5, 0.1M), 0.4 ml HCl (0.001 M) and the extracts 0.1 ml. In all the cases the total volume of incubation mixture was kept at 4 ml. Incubations were carried out at 37ºC for 20 minutes after which 6.0 ml of 5% TCA solution was added to stop the reaction and corresponding blanks were run concurrently. In this method, one Trypsin unit (TU) is arbitrarily defined as an increase of 0.01 absorbance unit at 410 nm in 20 min for 10 ml reaction mixture under the conditions described and the trypsin inhibitory activity as number of trypsin units inhibited (TUI).

3.3.10 Statistical analysis
The experiments in this study are reported as mean ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. The statistical analysis of data was by independent t- test and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) at 5 % level of significance using the programme Genstat Version 15. Means were separated using the Tukeys’ honest significance difference post hoc test.
3.4 Results and Discussion

3.4.1 Proximate compositions of two cassava varieties in comparison with soy bean

Moisture content of any product is dependent on the process of water removal which differs from one method to the other. This moisture content observed in cassava varieties significantly varied with that in soy bean at (p<0.05) but was comparable to that of Charles et al. (2005) and Eleazu (2012), who reported a moisture content of 9.2 to 10.6% and 9.08% respectively in various cassava varieties they researched on.

Table 3.1: Proximate composition of two cassava varieties in comparison with soy bean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cassava Meru Variety</th>
<th>Cassava Western Kenya</th>
<th>Soy bean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture FW (%)</td>
<td>54.94±0.05b</td>
<td>58.53±0.06c</td>
<td>8.21±0.04a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat* (%)</td>
<td>1.15±0.02a</td>
<td>1.57±0.05b</td>
<td>30.91±0.01c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Protein* (%)</td>
<td>1.86±0.01a</td>
<td>1.76±0.06a</td>
<td>41.12±0.07b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash* (%)</td>
<td>3.09±0.08a</td>
<td>3.81±0.02b</td>
<td>4.77±0.04c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber* (%)</td>
<td>2.18±0.05a</td>
<td>2.75±0.02b</td>
<td>5.58±0.05c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates* (%)</td>
<td>91.72±0.11b</td>
<td>90.11±0.08b</td>
<td>17.62±0.24a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy*kCal/100g DM</td>
<td>384.67±0.14b</td>
<td>381.61±0.04a</td>
<td>513.15±0.72c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with the same superscripts along a row are not significantly different at p<0.05.

*Values expressed in dry weight basis, FW- Values expressed in fresh weight basis, DM- Dry Matter.

Moisture Content of the soy bean seeds and the two cassava varieties significantly differ (p<0.05) from each other with soy bean having 8.21 % a value which is quite low to warrant extended shelf life of the soy bean seeds. (Table 3.1). The high moisture content of cassava roots contributes to its short shelf life of 72 hours after harvest if not well stored. Study done by Emmanuel et al., (2012) reported moisture content of cassava roots ranging from 33.14 % to 45.86 % in various varieties values which are slightly lower than the ones found in this study.
Moisture content is influenced by factors such as age and variety of cassava roots. As the roots age, they become more fibrous and moisture content decreases and dry matter increases.

Fat content of the two cassava varieties and soy bean significantly varied at (p<0.05) with Meru variety having a higher value than Western Kenya variety. Fat content observed in Meru variety of 1.15% is within range but slightly higher with findings reported by Eleazu (2012), of 0.82% and 0.95% respectively in two of the varieties he researched on. Soy bean crude fat was 30.91%, a level which is quite high compared to that reported by other researchers. Levels of 22.25 to 22.70% and 16.82 to 19.30% respectively were reported by El-shemy et al. (2012) and Eshun (2012) in studies they conducted using various varieties. Fat content of a food material is dependent on factors such as varieties, environmental conditions and extraction method while analyzing among other factors.

Protein content of the two cassava varieties did not significantly differ at (p<0.05) but significantly differed with that of soy bean. Protein content of the two cassava varieties was 1.86% and 1.76% a level which is quite low compared to those reported by Abel et al. (2017) who found levels ranging between 2.41% and 4.84% in 3 varieties studied. Soy crude protein was 41.12% which was comparable to the levels reported by Eshun (2012) which ranged 36.94 to 40.01%. As a result, this makes soy bean an excellent source of quality and affordable protein that can be used to supplement other plant materials poorly endowed with protein.

Ash and fiber content of the two cassava varieties significantly differed (p<0.05) with those in soybean indicating that soy bean is also a very good source of fiber and minerals. Soy bean ash and fiber content were 4.77% and 5.58% respectively, levels which were quite high compared to those reported by Eshun (2012) but agreeable with those reported by Elshemy et al. (2012) an indicator that these nutritional components vary from variety to variety.
Soy bean carbohydrates were 17.62 %, level which was relatively low and significantly varied (p<0.05) compared to those in the two cassava varieties of 91.72 % and 90.11 % for Meru and Western Kenya respectively. Carbohydrate levels in soy bean were comparable to those reported by Etiosa et al., (2018) which was 16.31 % in fresh weight basis. However, despite all the disparities in the various nutritional components, calorific values of the soy bean used in this study of 513.15 kCal/100 g dry weight which is slightly than those reported by Eshun (2012) of 458.58 to 473.68 kCal/100 g dry weight. In addition, a significant difference was observed in calorific value of the two cassava varieties with slight difference.

3.4.2 Effect of pre-processing techniques on cyanide

There was a decreasing trend in cyanide content as fermentation time increased for both varieties. Western Kenya variety had cyanide content about 2.4 times that of Meru variety. The pH of soaking water also decreased as cyanide content decreased. However, after 72 hrs of soaking, cyanide levels had no significant difference with the levels after 96 hrs of soaking in both varieties (p≤0.05). Always indicate implication of your results. The finding of the present study agrees with that of Montagnac et al. (2009b), who reported that in terms of cyanogen reduction, fermentation of soaked roots is much more efficient than that of grated roots and leads to 90% cyanogen reduction.
Table 3.1: Variation of pH and cyanide content of the two cassava roots with fermentation time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hrs)</th>
<th>Meru Variety</th>
<th>Western Kenya Variety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH of soaking water</td>
<td>HCN (mg/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>36.71±0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>35.45±0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>24.43±0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>19.41±0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>18.98±0.06&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with the same superscript along a column are not statistically different at p<0.05. All values are expressed in fresh weight basis.

In this study, by third day of fermentation, 47% and 66.2% of the cyanide content of Meru and Western Kenya variety had been reduced respectively. The decrease in pH was attributed to production of organic acids by lactic acid bacteria which constitute the majority microflora in cassava fermentation (Kobawila et al., 2005). As evident from Table 3.2, by third and fourth day of fermentation of both varieties, stagnation in cyanide reduction rate was noted when compared to preceding days. In addition, pH levels had decreased from 3.9 to 4.2. The stagnation was attributed to stability of cyanide at low pH below 4.0. These results agree with those of Montagnac et al., (2009b).

From the results in Table 3.2 the final cyanide contents reached were higher than the maximum safe level of 10 mg/kg recommended by WHO. As a result, the present study combined soaking with pulping the soaked roots and drying them in an air oven at 50°C. The drying temperature was kept at 50°C to prevent denaturation of enzyme linamarase which plays a critical role in denaturation process. Pulping ruptured the cells of the roots and this allowed the linamarase enzyme to hydrolyze cyanogen from the glucose moiety. Hydrocyanic acid being highly volatile would then evaporate into the atmosphere. To increase the efficiency of cyanogen
removal, less effective methods are combined with other processing methods to ensure cyanogen levels are decreased to safe levels. In this regard, cyanogen removal is greater to levels of 97.8% to 98.7% if the roots are soaked first before sun drying (Oke, 1994). In addition, the same author reported that soaking fresh cassava roots for 3 days and then sun drying them for 3 days resulted in 85.9% of total cyanogen removal and the fufu flour from the process recorded 2.2% total cyanogen retention.

Table 2.3: Reduction of HCN in two cassava varieties with drying time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drying hours</th>
<th>Meru Variety</th>
<th>Western Kenya Variety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.98±0.02&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29.88±0.08&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.63±0.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.24±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>11.45±0.11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.56±0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>9.62±0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.33±0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with different superscript along a column are significantly different (p<0.05).

The results indicate a further decrease in cyanide content to even safe levels of below 10 mg/kg in one of the varieties and slightly above the safe limit for the Western Kenya variety. The respective decrease expressed as percentages after the soaking process were 49.3% and 65.4% for Meru and Western Kenya variety respectively with significant differences in HCN content in the 72 hours of drying. From the initial cyanogen content of the Meru and Western Kenya variety, the final cyanogen content after combination of the three processes namely: soaking, pulping and drying resulted to 73.8% and 88.3% decrease in cyanide content respectively. The results of this study are supported by those of Andama (2017) and Montagnac (2009c), who in their findings reported that soaking fresh cassava roots for 3 days followed by 3 days of drying resulted in 85.9% of total cyanogen removal. The small differences in the results of this study for the total HCN removed compared to those reported by other researchers could be linked to additional processing method like pulping and oven drying among other reasons.
However, through the data obtained in the present study and validation of the results by researchers who have done similar work, the study lays into place effective methods that can be used to process cassava roots to lower cyanide content to safe levels.

3.4.3 Levels of anti-nutrients in processed soy bean

Tannin content after the four treatments was in the range 0.98-31.5mg 100g⁻¹ with treatment two being raw soy bean and treatment 4 being raw soy bean subjected to roasting at 200°C for 5-8 minutes until golden brown being significantly different (p<0.05) with levels of 31.5 and 30.33 mg 100 g⁻¹ respectively compared with those in treatment 1 and 2 respectively whose levels were 1.07 and 0.98mg 100g⁻¹ (Table 3.4). The study demonstrated that tannins can be reduced by soaking the soy bean in water for 24 hrs. In this regard, a study done by El-Shemy et al., (2012) also demonstrated that by soaking and decorticating soy beans and fababeans the treatment was able to lower the initial levels of tannins in whole seeds from 29.3 and 31.2mg 100g⁻¹ respectively to traces in decorticated seeds.
Table 3.3: Anti-nutrient levels of soy bean subjected to different processing methods (dry weight basis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Treatment 1</th>
<th>Treatment 2</th>
<th>Treatment 3</th>
<th>Treatment 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tannins (mg/100g)</strong></td>
<td>1.07±0.38\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>31.50±0.25\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>0.98±0.28\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>30.33±0.25\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phytate (g/100g)</strong></td>
<td>1.19±0.01\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>1.22±0.01\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>0.97±0.01\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>1.01±0.00\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trypsin inhibitors</strong></td>
<td>7.88±0.13\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>35.85±0.10\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>3.66±0.06\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>30.96±0.23\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with the same superscript across a row are not statistically different at \( p<0.05 \).

**Treatment 1** = Soy bean soaked in water for 24hrs, **Treatment 2** = Raw soy bean which acted as the control, **Treatment 3** = Soybean soaked for 24hrs in water at a ratio of 1:2, germinated for 1 day, dried in an air oven at 100\(^{\circ}\)C for 24hrs then roasted at 200\(^{\circ}\)C for 5-8 minutes until golden brown, **Treatment 4** = Raw soy bean subjected to roasting at 200\(^{\circ}\)C for 5-8 minutes until golden brown.

In the gut, tannins precipitate and complexes with proteins which reduce bioavailability of proteins and also make food unpalatable. Mubarak (2005) also found similar results with mung beans, that there was significant decrease in tannins levels of soaked and de-hulled mung beans when compared with those with hulls. Contrary to the findings of this study, it has been reported an increase in lupin tannin levels after de-hulling and a significant increase in tannin level by 11.3% after soaking green beans for 48hrs (Taylor, et al., 2012).

Phytate levels ranged 0.97-1.22mg 100g\(^{-1}\). Treatment 1 involved soaking soy beans in water for 24 hrs and resulted in a decrease of 2.5% from the control. The decrease can be attributed to phytates leaching into soaking water though not to a greater extent. Moreover, during imbibition water activates phytase enzymes present in the beans to hydrolyze and degrade
phytates. These findings agree with those reported by Vijayakumari, *et al.*, (2007). Treatment 3 resulted in greatest reduction of phytates of 20.5% from the control treatment. Apart from soaking for 24 hrs, the soy beans were allowed to germinate and then dried to prevent further germination and later roasted in an oven at 200°C for 5-8 minutes until golden brown. During germination, important metabolic compounds are mobilized some of which serve as anti-nutrients and by so doing the sprouting process has been employed to lower anti-nutrient factors in legumes. Phytic acid onto which phosphorous is bound plays a crucial role in storage of phosphate needed during germination. Luo and Xie (2013) noted that during germination, phytase enzyme hydrolyzed phytic acid that resulted in an overall increase of available organic phosphorous required by developing seedling.

Treatment 4 involved roasting raw soy beans in an oven until golden brown. This resulted into 17.2% decrease in phytate levels from the control. This can be attributed to the heat labile nature of phytic acid hence thermal processing has been employed to lower phytate levels in legumes. Similar findings were reported by Udensi *et al.*, (2007), which showed a decrease of 62.35% in vegetable cow peas phytates during roasting. However, the type of heat employed to reduce phytate is an important determinant to reduction level, with moist heat being reported to be more effective than dry heat (Akande & Fabiyi, 2010).

Of all anti-nutrients, trypsin inhibitor are the most serious as they impair protein digestibility and their absorption too. In this study Trypsin Inhibitors (TI) were in the range 3.66-35.85 TUI/mg. Treatment 1 involved soaking soy bean and resulted in 78% decrease from the control. This could be explained by leaching of TI in soaking water. The finding in the present study agrees with those of Avil *et al.*, (2018) that showed a 67.3% and 35% decrease in TI of bitter lupine and soy bean respectively upon soaking for 96 hrs. Nevertheless, for the process to be effective, factors such as pH, temperature and soaking duration are important. In addition,
water soluble proteins leach into steeping water especially with longer soaking duration which can reduce the protein content by up to 26.3% (Rahma and Sobihah, 2000). Interestingly, prolonged soaking of soy bean for 120 hrs has been shown not to decrease the amount of TI beyond the value obtained after 96 hrs (Agarwal, 2014; Ibrahim et al., 2002). It’s therefore necessary to conduct studies on other legumes of interest in order to keep soaking time as short as possible.

Treatment 3 resulted in the greatest reduction of TI from the control of 89.8%. The treatment involved soaking the soy bean for 24 hrs, germinating the seeds and roasting until golden brown. In addition to the reduction as a result of soaking discussed earlier, the enormous decrease in this treatment was attributed to germination and roasting. During germination, catabolic processes takes place in superior plants whereby seeds come out of latency and utilize stored compounds reserved in the cotyledons for embryo growth and development (Sangronis and Machado, 2007). During this stage, proteases are mobilized to hydrolyze cellular proteins and enzymes into free amino acids for subsequent autotrophic growth of the seedling. Thermal treatment like roasting has the effect of destroying the intermolecular bonds holding the tertiary structure of TI. However, roasting alone is not a very effective process as evident in Treatment 4 results that indicated 13.6% decrease from control. Care needs to be taken to hinder deleterious effects on various important component of legumes such as heat labile vitamins, sulphur amino acids and lysine by combining roasting with other methods of lowering anti-nutrients and this lowers roasting time (Sangronis & Machado, 2007; Yang et al., 2014).

3.5 Conclusion
Cassava and Soy bean can be processed successfully with an aim of lowering cyanide and anti-nutrients such as tannins, phytates and trypsin inhibitors respectively to safe levels that do not pose any adverse health effects to consumers while improving protein and mineral content too.
With a target in mind of improving the protein and mineral content of cassava, cassava and soy bean exhibit significant differences in nutritional composition with regard to protein and minerals. Therefore, soy bean can be used to supplement nutritional composition of cassava by developing a chemically safe and nutritious product that can be used in nutritional intervention programs to address PEM and also diversify the common breakfast cereals foods.
CHAPTER FOUR: DEVELOPMENT OF CASSAVA-SOY BEAN FLAKES

4.1 Abstract

Cassava is nutritionally deficient of quality protein and minerals. This study sought to evaluate how formulation of safe CSB flakes could be achieved while striking a balance between maximizing nutrition and sensory aspects. A single Pearson square was used to give a target of 25% of soy bean incorporation that targeted half of RDI of protein intake for children aged 2-5 yrs. Variation above and below 25% of soy bean incorporation level was done. After formulation, the samples were subjected to descriptive sensory evaluation after 24hrs.

At (p<0.05), moisture, fat, fiber, protein, ash, and carbohydrates for all the formulations had significant differences. HCN content for all the samples were within safe range of below 10 mg/kg in all the formulated samples and significantly differed at (p<0.05). Anti-nutrient levels differed significantly with the control having lowest levels. As incorporation of soy bean increased, progressive increase in anti-nutrient was noted but in range with levels noted when processing raw materials using same methods.

Sensory results showed that sample 103 had the highest score of 6.4 in a seven point hedonic scale for overall acceptability at (p<0.05) and exhibited significant difference from all the rest of samples. Color, crispiness, smoothness, roasted flavor and sweetness followed a similar trend. Scores increased as incorporation of soy bean increased from 0 to 35% at (p<0.05). There was no significant difference at (p<0.05) for beany flavor in all the sample treatments an indicator that the objectionable flavor that hamper utilization of soy bean had been eliminated.
4.2 Introduction

In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), Protein Energy Malnutrition (PEM) is a significant health problem especially where staple starchy diets are utilized as complementary foods (Müller and Krawinkel, 2005). Complementary foods are any liquid or nutritious foods fed to children alongside breast milk. Upon commencement of complementary feeding, PEM can be initiated depending on the nature of staple foods fed to children. Therefore nutritional improvement of staple foods can be used as a suitable avenue of reducing PEM (WHO/UNICEF, 1998). Living standards of most mothers in developing countries are low hence provision of complementary foods containing right proportions of nutrients is a challenge to them.

Consumption of root and tubers crops in these regions has increased tremendously in recent years as complementary foods and studies have shown that these foods are low in protein, minerals and vitamins. Consequently, this puts the children at risk of suffering PEM (Stephenson et al., 2010). Cassava is a staple food crop in SSA where it’s able to grow in marginalized soils and in erratic rainfall conditions (Maredia et al., 2000). With exception of histidine and leucine, research has proven that cassava based diets are deficient in essential amino acids recommended for children aged 2-5 years undergoing complementary feeding (Montagnac et al., 2009c).

Soy bean cultivation and utilization is gaining popularity in SSA and hence its addition to cassava based diets like porridges and flour would improve the protein quality and quantity. Soy-bean is rich in protein and has a good balance of amino acids that would complement the limiting essential amino acids in cassava (Zarkadas et al., 2007). Attempts have been made by scientists to fortify various staple foods used in complementary feeding. An example is use of soy bean to fortify cassava based complementary porridge food by Muoki et al., (2012) who noted that mothers preferred instant or precooked foods which requires minimal preparation like just addition of warm water. It is worth noting that acceptability of a product by its
consumers is highly dependent on its sensory characteristics irrespective of the reason behind its formulation for example: improvement of nutrient characteristics of a food product. Adjustment and changes in ingredient levels brings about differences in various sensory parameters which is established by descriptive analysis (Duizer & Walker, 2015).

Incorporation of soy bean into cassava flour to make cassava soybean breakfast flakes aimed at improving the protein, zinc and iron content of the final product which is known to be a cheap source of quality protein and mineral content (Ugwu & Ukpabi, 2002). In addition the flakes are ready to eat, hypoallergenic compared to other common wheat based breakfast cereals and probably shelf stable and palatable. Further, if the project is adopted by community in which these raw materials are grown, it would result into improving nutrition with respect to PEM, creation of employment opportunities among other economic benefits. The present study therefore sought to develop a flaked product from cassava and soy bean which is nutritious, ready to eat, acceptable and with extended shelf-life. The study also sought to strike a balance between sensory characteristics and nutrition aspects of the developed flakes through product formulation.

4.3 Materials and Methods

4.3.1 Sampling and processing of cassava and soy bean
Cassava roots from Meru region were purposively sampled at City park market in Westlands Nairobi, putting consideration of availability since it was off season for cassava roots. They were then hygienically packed in airtight bags and transported to University of Nairobi Kabete Campus Pilot Plant for processing and analysis. Soy bean was sourced from Nyamakima market, Nairobi and packed in kraft papers then transported to same venue for analysis and processing. Both raw materials were processed as illustrated in schematic flow diagram (Figure 4.1). The methods of processing validated in chapter one were used with an aim of lowering
hydrogen cyanide levels in cassava to safe levels of 10 mg/kg and anti-nutrients in soy-bean to levels that improves its nutrient bioavailability and palatability.

4.3.2 Experimental design

A controlled experimental study design was used to formulate the Cassava Soy Bean flakes with RDI of proteins of age between 2-5 years as the target. A single Pearson square was used to calculate the RDI of protein targeted to be 23 g/day on average as recommended by WHO/FAO/UNU Expert Consultation (2007). Pearson square calculations showed that if 25% of Soy bean and 75% of cassava was used as formulation ratio, it would result in Cassava soybean breakfast flakes with approximately 12g/ day of protein which provided 50% of the WHO RDI. Treatments involved variation of soybean and cassava above and below the target (25% soy bean and 75% cassava) to evaluate the best accepted sample and at the same time improving the protein content. Cassava flakes were used as the control sample. Figure 4.1 below shows how the CSB flakes were processed using methods validated in chapter three.
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**Figure 4.1: Schematic flow diagram for CSB flakes processing**
Table 4.1: Formulation of cassava- soy bean flakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soy Beans (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassava (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3 Sample preparation for sensory evaluation
Cassava Soy bean flakes samples were prepared and presented for sensory evaluation. In addition pasteurized whole milk was served alongside each set of sensory samples which was used to rehydrate the flakes before consuming to simulate a real breakfast session. Panelists who did not like using milk as a hydrant were provided with clean drinking water.

4.3.4 Sensory analysis
Descriptive sensory evaluation of control and experimental CSB flakes was employed and involved a panel of 15 randomly selected semi-trained individuals who included; lecturers, students and non-teaching staff at University of Nairobi Upper Kabete Campus in the DFSNT. Consent of the panelist was verbally sought while explaining what the study was about and its aim. A brief training session was carried out with the aim of enlightening the panelist on predetermined descriptors of the CSB flakes namely; taste (sweet and sour), Flavor (beany and roasted), texture (crispiness and smoothness), color (general appearance) and overall acceptability.

Flakes samples were randomly marked with three digit numbers for blinding purposes in duplicate and presented to the panelist for evaluation. A seven-point hedonic scale was used to evaluate the intensity of each attribute: 1 = dislike extremely, 2 = dislike very much, 3 = dislike moderately, 4 = neither like nor dislike, 5 = like moderately, 6 = like very much, 7 = like
extremely. Clean water was provided to refresh the palate after successive evaluation of samples.

4.3.5 Analytical methods

4.3.5.1 Determination of Proximate Composition for Cassava Soy bean breakfast flakes

Proximate composition of cassava soy bean breakfast flakes were determined as per AOAC (2008) methods in terms of moisture content (AOAC 2008 method 967.08), crude protein (AOAC, 2008 method 988.05), crude fiber (AOAC, 2008 method 958.06), crude fat (AOAC, 2008 method 2003.06), crude ash (2008 method 942.05) and carbohydrate content (by difference method).

4.3.5.2 Determination of Hydrogen Cyanide content

Determination of HCN content of flakes was determined as per AOAC (1990)

4.3.5.3 Determination of zinc and iron content

Samples were analyzed for zinc and iron according to Puwastien et al., (2011). To 0.5g sample, a mixture of conc. Nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide (5:3) was added and left to stand overnight in a fume chamber before digesting at 110°C for 3 hrs or until a clear or milky digest was found. The digest was topped up to 50ml with deionized water for respective mineral analysis. Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) machine was used in the mineral analysis.

4.3.6 Statistical analysis

The experiments in this study are reported as mean ± standard deviation of duplicate determinations. The means of Zn and Fe content were subjected to an independent t-test and one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at 5% level of significance for proximate analysis and sensory results using Gentstat Software 15th edition. Means were separated using the Tukey’s honestly significant difference post hoc test.
4.4 Results and Discussion

4.4.1 Proximate composition, cyanide and anti-nutrients content of cassava-soy bean flakes

There was significant difference in moisture content among formulations \( (p<0.05) \) ranging from 7.60\% to 10.47\% wet weight basis (Table 4.2). Low moisture content may indicate extended shelf life of the product as moisture is critical in growth of microorganisms. These results are in line with those of (Dada et al., 2018) who also suggested extended shelf life for cassava strip with such range of moisture content. A significant difference existed in protein content of the treatment \( (p<0.05) \) ranging from 1.54 \% to 24.52 \%. This is evidence that as substitution level of cassava with soy bean increased, the protein content of the flakes also increased suggesting an improvement of nutrition value of flakes with regard to protein content. These results agree with those of Siulapwa (2015) and Abioye (2011) who report an increase in protein content of soy plantain flour and cookies as level of substitution with soy bean increased.

Fat content of the flakes ranged from 0.64 \% to 14.41 \% with significant difference existing in all treatments \( (p<0.05) \). The increase in fat content was attributed to increased content of soy bean. Soy bean is an oil seed and this also suggests increase in energy value as fat content of flakes increased. Similar results whereby an increase in fat content of biscuits fortified with soy flour increased to 30.5\% from 1.6\% in 20:80 cassava and soy flour incorporation respectively (Akubor & Ukwuru, 2003). Ash content of the flakes ranged from 2.60 \% to 4.48 \% with significant differences existing among all the five treatments \( (p<0.05) \). This suggested that soy bean is a cereal rich in mineral contents, the study sought to improve the mineral content of the cassava flakes. These results agree with those of Chinma (2013) who reported an increase of 0.45\% to 2.9\% in ash content of cassava and soy protein concentrate blend.
Table 4.2: Proximate composition, anti-nutrient and cyanide content of the formulated CSB flakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture WW %</td>
<td>10.47±0.07e</td>
<td>7.98±0.06b</td>
<td>7.60±0.02a</td>
<td>10.26±0.08d</td>
<td>9.78±0.06c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein %</td>
<td>1.54±0.05a</td>
<td>12.10±0.07b</td>
<td>14.99±0.18c</td>
<td>19.31±0.05d</td>
<td>24.52±0.06c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fats %</td>
<td>0.64±0.06a</td>
<td>7.60±0.12b</td>
<td>9.04±0.07c</td>
<td>11.43±0.09d</td>
<td>14.41±0.07e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash %</td>
<td>2.60±0.07a</td>
<td>3.27±0.06b</td>
<td>3.56±0.07c</td>
<td>4.13±0.05d</td>
<td>4.48±0.14e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber %</td>
<td>2.47±0.06a</td>
<td>4.79±0.01b</td>
<td>5.70±0.12c</td>
<td>6.88±0.06d</td>
<td>9.65±0.03e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHO %</td>
<td>92.75±0.38e</td>
<td>71.18±0.06d</td>
<td>68.17±0.15c</td>
<td>58.26±0.19b</td>
<td>46.95±0.14a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal/100g)</td>
<td>382.92±0.42a</td>
<td>401.52±0.13c</td>
<td>414.00±0.60b</td>
<td>413.15±0.21b</td>
<td>415.57±0.82c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytates (mg/100g)</td>
<td>0.14±0.05a</td>
<td>0.76±0.08b</td>
<td>0.81±0.01c</td>
<td>0.84±0.03c</td>
<td>0.92±0.11d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins (g/100g)</td>
<td>0.26±0.04a</td>
<td>0.65±0.01b</td>
<td>0.83±0.04c</td>
<td>0.92±0.05d</td>
<td>0.95±0.06d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin Inhibitors (TIU/mg sample)</td>
<td>0.68±0.07a</td>
<td>3.28±0.15b</td>
<td>3.31±0.12b</td>
<td>3.47±0.11c</td>
<td>3.52±0.10c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide mg/kg</td>
<td>9.72±0.03c</td>
<td>8.35±0.02a</td>
<td>9.68±0.02c</td>
<td>8.69±0.01b</td>
<td>9.06±0.01d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with similar superscript across a row are not statistically different at (p<0.05). All values are expressed in dry weight basis except moisture content on WW- Wet weight basis.

*Formulation A had 0:100, B 15:85, C 25:35, D 35:65 and E had 50:50 cassava to soy bean ratio.*

There were significant differences (p<0.05) in the fiber contents of the flakes with values of 2.47 % to 9.65 %. From the proximate results of the soy bean, it was noted that it had about 2.6 times the fiber content of cassava. This therefore suggested that as substitution of cassava with soy bean increased, fiber content also increased. Dietary fiber is important in nutrition as it increases water holding capacity of stool. As a result, stool bulk and softness is increased and transit time is reduced which reduce hemorrhoids, diverticular diseases and probably other diseases of lower gastro intestinal tract (Lokuruka, 2010). The results of most preferred sample with 6.64 % dietary fiber are higher than those reported by Wireko-Manu (2016) who reported
3.34% in most preferred sample of a complementary feeding food by weaning mothers that used similar raw materials.

Variation in carbohydrate content among the treatments was significant (p<0.05). As substitution of cassava with soy bean increased, the carbohydrate content of the flakes decreased. This resulted in almost 50% reduction in the most substituted treatment (E) with 50:50 soy to cassava compared with the control (A) with 100% cassava. Among all the roots, cassava is known to have the highest content of carbohydrate in form of starch (Burns et al., 2010). Comparing the findings of the present study with the results of Wireko-Manu (2016), the carbohydrate content of the most preferred sample was 64.15%, a level higher than the most preferred sample of the CSB flakes of 58.26 % (p≤0.05). Energy content ranged from 382.92 to 415.57 kcal 100g⁻¹ with treatment C that had 25:75 and D with 35:65 soy to cassava respectively having no significant difference, just like treatment B with 15:85 and E with 50:50 soy to cassava (p<0.05). Nevertheless, despite lack of significant difference in afore mentioned treatments in terms of energy content, it was noted that protein and fat content significantly differed with increased substitution of cassava with soy bean which also plays a big role in energy content of a food ration. A good energy balance from various nutritional components of a food is important (Mashayekh et al., 2008).

Cyanide content of the formulated flakes ranged from 8.35 to 9.72 mg/kg dry weight. Treatments B, D and E with 15:85, 35:65 and 50:50 soy to cassava ratios respectively had significant difference (p≤0.05) while C and A with 25:75, 0:100 soy to cassava respectively exhibited no significant difference (p>0.05). These levels of HCN are within the WHO/FAO guidelines on the level of HCN in cassava flour set at 10 mg/kg dry weight. These results also agree with those reported by Lambri et al., (2013) who suggested that effectiveness of cyanide removal is achieved when several processing methods are combined. With regard to the results of this study, he reported levels as low as 8 mg/kg dry weight by combining fermentation of
grated cassava with oven drying at 55°C. He further noted that the final residual HCN content is dependent on initial levels.

Anti-nutrient levels were significantly low (p<0.05) in cassava flakes (control) in comparison with all the treatments. Highest level of phytates, tannins and trypsin inhibitors were noted in treatment E that had 50:50 soy to cassava ratio with levels of 0.92 g/100g, 0.95 mg/100g and 3.52 TIU/mg sample respectively. There was no significant difference (p<0.05) in treatment C and D that had 25:75 and 35:65 soy to cassava ratio respectively for phytates. Similarly treatment D and E with 35:65 and 50:50 soy to cassava respectively did not significantly differ (p<0.05) for tannins and trypsin inhibitors. Notably, anti-nutrients levels showed an increasing trend as level of soy bean incorporation increased. Studies done by Wobeto et al., (2006), showed that anti-nutrient levels of cassava are dependent on age. As the plant ages, tannin, phytates and trypsin inhibitors levels in cassava roots and leaves also increases. CSB flakes anti nutrient levels in the formulations did not differ with great margins from the levels observed when the most appropriate method was employed when processing raw materials in previous chapter for tannins phytates and trypsin inhibitors of 0.98 mg/100g, phytates 0.97g/100g and 3.66 TIU/mg sample respectively.

4.4.2 Sensory evaluation of cassava soy bean flakes
Acceptance and rejection of food is highly dependent on colour which gives consumer the first impression. In this study highest colour score was in sample 104 that had 35:65 soy to cassava with the highest mean score of 5.53. As incorporation of soy bean into cassava increased the colour intensity also improved as evident in the scores. However up to a certain level. These results fairly agrees with those of Alabi et al. (2007), who reported colour improvement of wheat bread fortified with soy bean.

To an extent, food texture embraces appearance. In this study, both texture parameters crispiness and smoothness exhibited almost a similar trend with mean scores ranging from 4.13
to 6.00 for crispiness and 3.24 to 5.38 for smoothness. There was no significant difference (p<0.05) in formulation A with 100% cassava and E with 50:50 soy to cassava for crispiness, however the two samples significantly differed from the rest which had no significant difference for crispiness. Formulation E with 50:50 soy to cassava had the highest score of crispiness of 6. Smoothness was evaluated after hydrating the flakes, formulation E significantly differed (p<0.05) with the rest of formulations.

The increasing trend in scores for both crispiness and smoothness was attributed to the increase in incorporation of soy bean but with limitation to a certain point where the scores decreased for both texture parameters. The results are comparable to those reported by Akubor and Ukwuru (2003); Oluwamukomi et al., (2011), who found out that there was no significant difference in texture, colour and taste of cassava-soy enriched and un-enriched flour blend biscuits.

Taste is also an important sensory characteristic of a food. The study evaluated sweetness and sourness of the CSB flakes as main parameters of taste. Sweetness is a sensory characteristic primarily associated with sucrose or non-nutritive sweetener though other compounds such as sugar alcohols, ketones, aldehydes and amino acids such as glycine, alanine and serine have also been reported to bring about sweetness (W. Navicha et al., 2018). An increasing trend in scoring the sweetness of flakes was observed as soy bean incorporation level increased. Samples with 15:85 and 50:50 soy to cassava ratio had no significant difference but significantly differed with the rest at (p<0.05). The trend could be explained by increasing amount of simple sugars and some specific amino acids in soy bean as its level of incorporation increased. However this is on condition that objectionable flavour such as beany flavour in soy bean are eliminated while processing.
Table 4.3: Sensory evaluation scores on a 7-point hedonic scale for the CSB flakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>Overall Acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Crispness</td>
<td>Smoothness</td>
<td>Sweetness</td>
<td>Sourness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.80±1.93^b</td>
<td>4.13±1.68^a</td>
<td>4.16±0.68^b</td>
<td>4.45±0.79^a</td>
<td>6.33±0.98^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>4.93±1.36^b</td>
<td>5.33±0.62^b</td>
<td>4.41±1.15^b</td>
<td>5.28±0.96^b</td>
<td>4.67±1.18^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>5.13±1.28^b</td>
<td>5.40±0.91^b</td>
<td>4.36±1.67^b</td>
<td>5.52±1.67^b</td>
<td>5.00±1.20^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>5.53±1.41^b</td>
<td>6.00±0.85^b</td>
<td>5.38±0.94^b</td>
<td>6.13±0.85^b</td>
<td>4.20±1.57^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.60±1.80^a</td>
<td>4.27±1.62^a</td>
<td>3.24±1.96^a</td>
<td>3.98±1.03^a</td>
<td>4.00±1.73^a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with similar superscript along a column are not statistically different at (p<0.05). Sample 100- formulation A, 101- formulation B, 102- formulation C, 103- formulation D and 104- formulation E
Sourness is brought about by pH of below 7 in foods. The highest level of sourness was scored 6.33 on sample 100 which was the control comprising of 100% cassava flour. A significant difference on sample 100 and the rest of the samples existed (p<0.05). Degree of sourness decreased as substitution of cassava with soy bean increased. This was attributed to fermentation of cassava roots during soaking as explained earlier in this study as a method of lowering cyanide content to required level. These results were similar to those reported by Maziya-Dixon (2017) who reported a similar trend on a snack made from high quality cassava flour and legume blend.

Regardless of superiority of other sensory attributes, soy based foods can be faced with a dominant beany flavour that discourages its consumption. There was no significant difference (p<0.05) in beany flavour scores ranging from 5.74 to 6.56. This was an indicator that the processing methods employed eliminated the objectionable beany flavour. Many studies have reported beany flavour with attributes such as painty, grassy, green and rancid among others. Soy bean used in formulation of the flakes were subjected to roasting at 200°C for 5-8 minutes which inactivated lipoxygenase enzyme activity which brings about the beany flavour. These results are similar to those reported by Navicha (2017), who reported a decrease in lipoxygenase activities in soy milk commensurate with increasing roasting temperatures and time.

Good aroma and flavour profile excites the taste buds and makes the food acceptable at sight. The study evaluated roasted flavour in CSB flakes solely attributed to roasted soy beans. Significant difference (p<0.05) was observed in samples with formulation A with 100% cassava being significantly different with the rest, formulation B with 15:85 and C with 25:75 soy to cassava having no significant difference. An increasing trend was observed as level of soy bean incorporation increased. This was probably due to production of volatile compounds
such as thiazoles, thiophenes, pyridines, pyrazines, furans, pyroles and oxazoles generated as a result of maillard reactions of sugars and amino acids (Lee & Shibamoto, 2011). The findings are similar to those reported by Navicha (2018), who evaluated the effects of soy bean roasting on sensory attributes of soy milk.

Significant difference existed in overall acceptability of the CSB flakes at (p<0.05) with formulation A with 100% cassava having the lowest mean score of 4.13 and 103 with the highest of 6.4. However formulation A with 0:100 and C with 25:75 soy to cassava ratio had no significant difference but differed significantly with sample formulation D with 35:65 soy to cassava. This could be attributed to a balance of other sensory attributes compared to other samples. These results slightly differed with those of Akubor (2003), whose study reported a 50:50 cassava and soy bean flour ratio used to make biscuits had highest score in overall acceptability but lacked significance difference with all other formulations. This could be as a result of personal opinions of the sensory panellists and also differences in the product and product processing.

**4.4.3 Zinc and Iron content of most acceptable CSB flakes formulation in comparison to cassava flakes (control)**

Results in this study showed that both zinc and iron content of the most accepted CSB flakes were significantly higher (p<0.05) than in cassava flakes of 8.53 ppm and 50.25 ppm respectively Table 4.4. According to National Institutes of Health and WHO, recommended dietary allowance (RDA) for zinc and iron are 2-5ppm/ day and 10-11 ppm/day respectively at an age of 2-5 years. CSB flakes had 19.68 ppm and 50.71 ppm zinc and iron respectively levels quite higher than RDA. However, due to bioavailability challenges in cereals and legume diets, the higher the amount increases probability of the minerals being metabolically available (Fischer *et al.*, 2005).
Table 4.4: Zinc and Iron content of cassava flakes and most acceptable CSB flakes formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zn (ppm)</th>
<th>Fe (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSB flakes (35:65)</td>
<td>19.68±0.06(^b)</td>
<td>50.71±0.06(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy to cassava</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassava flakes</td>
<td>8.53±0.08(^a)</td>
<td>50.25±0.09(^a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values with similar superscript along a column are not statistically different at \((p<0.05)\).

Iron and zinc deficiency is still a global problem especially in women and young children under five years of age in developing countries causing perinatal complications, poor growth, intellectual impairment, haematopoiesis impairment and increased risk of mortality and morbidity (Bailey, West, & Black, 2015). High demand of these micronutrients during infant growth and pregnancy calls for adequate intake of foods rich in these minerals. Dietary diversity to access excellent sources of these minerals is highly influenced by poverty levels in these countries and revolution in agriculture that has led to population abandoning animal based diets with rich bioavailable Fe and Zn by cereals, legumes and plant based diets (Lynch, 2011).

4.5 Conclusion

Incorporation of soy bean into cassava flours can be used to make flakes that are safe in terms of cyanide content and anti-nutrients, nutritious with regard to protein quality and with high acceptability. As a result, the cassava soy bean flakes can be utilized to assist in addressing the problem of protein energy malnutrition among other benefits. Incorporation of soy bean flour into cassava flour at a percentage level of 35:65, would at least provide half of the required daily intake of protein level and increase zinc and iron content required by complementary weaning children aged between 2-5 years. In addition, among all the formulations in the study, this formulation is the most acceptable in terms of sensory characteristics.
CHAPTER FIVE: SHELF STABILITY AND QUALITY CHANGES DURING STORAGE OF THE MOST ACCEPTABLE CSB FLAKES

5.1 Abstract

Food insecurity, hunger and safety is still a huge problem in developing countries especially in SSA countries. This elicits a need by all the stake holder in food supply chain to intensively focus on invention and innovation of existing and new products to address the gap. However shelf life determination becomes a challenge especially to farmers who might not have adequate knowledge of shelf stability. This study aimed at estimating shelf stability of most acceptable CSB flakes through an accelerated shelf life test using kraft paper, laminated kraft paper and plastic container.

Moisture content showed a dominant decreasing trend through entire incubation time with significant differences (p<0.05) existing in incubation days. Highest level of 8.23% being in plastic container and lowest being 1.23% in laminated kraft paper. Peroxide value increased significantly (p<0.05) as incubation days increased with highest being 11.48 meq O₂/kg oil in plastic container in day five. Acid value significantly differed (p<0.05) throughout the entire incubation period for the packaging materials with highest levels being in day 3 for plastic container of 4.71 mg KOH/g oil. Yeast and moulds differed significantly (p<0.05) with highest levels being noted in plastic container of 5.37 log cfu/g in day two and lowest in laminated kraft paper. Laminated kraft paper seemed the most appropriate packaging material in reserving quality aspects of flakes with an estimated shelf life of about 5 months but could even be longer as critical limits of the parameters were not yet reached during the 5 days of incubation.
5.2 Introduction

In recent past, consumers have demanded complex and dynamic changes in food with focus to nutrition quality and health, sensory characteristics, convenience, shelf stability among other reasons (Grunert, 2006). This has pushed scientist, food processors and all other stake holders to focus on product development for instance with focus to curbing rising PEM in Sub-Saharan Africa. Nevertheless, accurate shelf life profiling of new products poses a challenge to involved parties while still crucial to consumers (Manzocco et al., 2012). This calls for extensive familiarization and understanding of food composition, degradation kinetics and how the undesirable processes can be stalled or slowed (Freitas & Costa, 2006).

Shelf life can be defined as period of time through which food product will: retain expected desirable sensory characteristics and acceptability to consumers, chemical and microbiological and physical attributes, accord with provided nutrition data, and most importantly remain safe (Phimolsiripol & Suppakul, 2016). Foods contain biological components which with time degrades causing food to undergo spoilage. As a result, scientists and food manufacturers employs measures such as packaging, preservatives, handling and storage to slow down degradation of these biological components (Leufven et al., 2010).

Soy bean a main raw material used in this study is known to have high amounts of oil and with regard to unsaturated fatty acids linolenic and linoleic acids (Cao et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2007). Consequently, lipid oxidation of the product that contain soy bean or soy bean oil itself becomes inevitable bringing about undesirable quality aspects of foods most affected being sensory characteristics (Su, 2003). Lipid oxidation is the process by which fats and oils breakdown as a result of environmental factors (storage temperatures, light), moisture content, presence of pro-oxidants and anti-oxidants, metal catalysts (Fe and Cu) among other factors. This results to production of radicals, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, peroxides and other low molecular weight compounds than can easily reach olfactory cells being perceived as off odors.
and flavors in foods (Sarkar et al., 2006). As a result, this causes deleterious changes in foods such as flavor and color loss, nutritional and functional value loss and most importantly accumulation of compounds that are detrimental to consumer health (Kim et al., 2007).

Accelerated shelf life test (ASLT) is a method of estimating shelf life of a food product where product stability data is significantly obtained in a shorter time than product’s real shelf-life (Steele, 2004). It is assumed that by storing food under high temperatures, accelerates most of reactions that leads to food spoilage such as microbial, enzymatic and chemical reactions such as lipid oxidation (Phimolsiripol & Suppakul, 2016). However, it is worth noting that the degree of temperature increase should not result into other reactions in food components or suppress the reactions that indicate shelf stability of the product (Corradini & Peleg, 2007). This study sought to evaluate the shelf stability and quality changes in the most preferred sample of CSB flakes under different packaging materials.

5.3 Materials and Methods

5.3.1 Sample preparation and analysis

Sample preparation was carried out as described in section (4.3.3), sensory evaluation done as illustrated in section (4.3.4) and the most acceptable sample proceeded with ASLT and further analyzed for zinc and iron content in comparison with cassava flakes.

5.3.2 Accelerated shelf life test design

5.3.2.1 Accelerated aging time determination

$Q_{10}$ calculation which is temperature quotient for a 10 °C temperature difference was used to calculate shelf life. Peroxide value and free fatty acids analysis were used as indicator of sample deterioration which in this case was slightly above 10% fat content. $Q_{10}$ of about 4 was used since the CSB flakes sample in question was partly soy bean based, a high fat content cereal and therefore oxidation would probably be enzyme dependent. These calculations were based on Sewarld and Devries (2003).
\[ Q_{10} = \frac{\text{Shelf life at given temperature (t}^0\text{C)})}{\text{Shelf life at accelerated temperature (t}^0\text{C} +10^0\text{C})} \]

Accelerated aging time was determined by;

\[ \text{Accelerated aging time} = \frac{\text{Desired real time}}{\text{Accelerated aging rate}} \]

Where; \( \text{Accelerated aging rate} = Q_{10} \frac{(T_e - T_a)}{10} \) where; \( T_e \)-elevated temperature and \( T_a \)-Ambient temperature

On average, full fat soy bean based products have a shelf life of 180 days due to the high fat content thus accelerated aging time at 55\(^0\)C is \( \approx \)5days hence the experiment was set for 5 days.

5.3.2.2 Sample packaging

CSB flakes for the study were packaged in kraft paper, laminated kraft paper and plastic containers. Each packaging material had 6 samples of 150 g each representing a sampling day. The samples were then stored in an incubator at 55\(^0\)C. The final sample was retrieved and analyzed on day 5.

5.3.2.3 Safety and quality degradation analysis

The CSB flakes sample in each packaging material was evaluated for changes in peroxide value, acid value, moisture content and yeast and moulds.

\textit{Moisture content:} Moisture content changes were determined using AOAC (1990) method 925.10 Five gram of sample was weighed in a crucible and put in an air oven at 105\(^\circ\)C for four hours until constant weight was achieved. The moisture content was calculated as a percentage of sample weight changes.

\textit{Peroxide value:} This was determined according to AOAC (2006) method 965.33. The samples’ peroxide values were analyzed against the maximum allowable peroxide value of 10-15 milli-equivalent of active oxygen per kg oil as guided by CODEX (1999) for various vegetable oils and vegetable oils based foods.
Acid Value: Acid value was determined by titration method, AOAC (2012) method 965.33. Three grams of sample was weighed in a volumetric flask. Forty milliliter mixture of benzene and alcohol (1:3) was added and titrated with alcoholic KOH (0.1N) with phenolphthalein as indicator until pink color, stable for thirty seconds developed. The samples were analyzed on acid values against the maximum allowable acid value of up to 20-30mgKOH for edible manufacturing grade soy bean based foods (EAC, 2012) to determine the actual shelf life of flakes.

Yeast and molds: Yeast and molds were analyzed according to Horizontal method for the enumeration of yeasts and molds, on potato dextrose agar. ISO 21527-1: 2008.

5.3.3 Statistical analysis
Analysis was done in duplicate and results tabulated as means ± standard deviations. The means were subjected to an independent t-test and one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Gentstat Software 15th edition. The means were separated by Fisher’s protected LSD multiple range test and difference was considered significant (p<0.05).

5.4 Results and Discussion
5.4.1 Effect of packaging material on moisture content of flakes
Moisture content of the samples in different packaging material ranged from 1.93 % to 8.23 % with the lowest observed on the last day of incubation day 5 and the highest on day zero. All packaging materials in question allowed a degree of moisture loss in (%) of 72.9, 76.5 and 75.9 respectively corresponding to plastic container, kraft paper and laminated kraft paper. This observed trend was attributed to difference in porosity of the packaging materials (Brody et al., 2008). Low moisture content in foods hinders growth of yeast and moulds (Perera, 2005). However, excessive moisture loss can lead to chemical changes that influences sensory attributes of a food and hence consumer acceptability (Sherwin & Labuza, 2003).
Table 5.1: Quality lead indicators for shelf stability of most acceptable CSB flakes formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Packaging material</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Peroxide value (meq O2/kg Oil)</th>
<th>Acid value (mg KOH/g oil)</th>
<th>Yeast and moulds (Log CFU/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Plastic Container</td>
<td>8.23±0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.67±0.03&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kraft Paper</td>
<td>8.23±0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.67±0.03&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laminated Kraft Paper</td>
<td>8.23±0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.67±0.03&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Plastic Container</td>
<td>6.59±0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.18±0.04&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.53±0.01&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kraft Paper</td>
<td>6.51±0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.26±0.04&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.72±0.04&lt;sup&gt;hi&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laminated Kraft Paper</td>
<td>6.31±0.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.90±0.04&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.64±0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Plastic Container</td>
<td>3.60±0.02&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.83±0.02&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.37±0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.37±0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kraft Paper</td>
<td>3.57±0.07&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.51±0.06&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.84±0.04&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.31±0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laminated Kraft Paper</td>
<td>3.50±0.05&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.39±0.05&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.12±0.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.08±0.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plastic Container</td>
<td>2.91±0.06&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.90±0.02&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.71±0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.52±0.04&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kraft Paper</td>
<td>2.82±0.05&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.37±0.03&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.00±0.05&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.37±0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laminated Kraft Paper</td>
<td>2.81±0.03&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.48±0.06&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.28±0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.61±0.77&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Plastic Container</td>
<td>2.57±0.06&lt;sup&gt;hi&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.48±0.06&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.48±0.06&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.52±0.04&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kraft Paper</td>
<td>2.48±0.05&lt;sup&gt;ij&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.79±0.19&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.00±0.03&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.34±0.06&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laminated Kraft Paper</td>
<td>2.43±0.03&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.00±0.05&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.01±0.04&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.12±0.06&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Plastic Container</td>
<td>2.23±0.04&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.48±0.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.71±0.04&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.44±0.06&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kraft Paper</td>
<td>1.93±0.04&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.02±0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.89±0.06&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.31±0.08&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laminated Kraft Paper</td>
<td>1.98±0.04&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.31±0.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.22±0.02&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD

| 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.50 |

ND- Not detected

Values with similar superscript along a column are not statistically different at (p<0.05)

There was significant difference in moisture content of the flakes at (p<0.05) throughout the five days with a dominating decreasing trend as number of incubation days increased. However, in some instances no significant difference was observed in moisture content in
different packaging materials. In incubation day 1, 2, 3 and 4 plastic container and kraft papers showed no significant difference in moisture content at (p<0.05) as incubation days increased with exception of last day. This was attributed to closeness of the two packaging materials porosity. Study done by Raheem (2013) reported that moisture vapor transmission rate is dependent on permeability of a packaging material which have an impact in moisture penetration and microbial growth too.

5.4.2 Effect of different packaging material on lipid oxidation of flakes
Peroxide value of food is indicative of level of lipid oxidation present in that food. In this study, an increasing trend in peroxide value was noted as number of incubation days increased from day zero to day 5. This was as a result of decrease in activation energy as temperatures increased from room temperature of 25\(^\circ\)C to 55\(^\circ\)C which was set in the incubator and held for entire study period. This trend agrees with the findings of Azeredo (2004) who reported that by storing oils in high temperature had an effect of accelerating lipid oxidation. Of all the packaging materials, the plastic container had the highest peroxide values in all the incubation days. There was significant difference in peroxide values of samples in different packaging materials at (p<0.05) except for day one in plastic container and kraft paper, day two and three for kraft paper and laminated kraft paper. The high peroxide value observed in plastic container compared to other packaging materials was attributed to its porous nature and transparency which allowed light and to an extent accelerated the oxidation process. This observation agrees with the findings of Naz (2004); Manzocco (2012) who reported an increase in peroxide values of soy bean oil and other vegetable oils in the study with increased light intensity.

Significant differences at (p<0.05) in peroxide values for kraft paper and laminated kraft paper were noted with exception of day two and three. Laminated kraft paper had a dominance of low peroxide values during incubation days over the kraft paper. This was probably due to difference in permeability to moisture with laminated kraft paper having a lower permeability,
due to presence of laminate layer inside and hence lower peroxide values of flakes samples in it. As food absorbs moisture, amount of available oxygen for reactions in food also increases and therefore to an extent moisture content facilitates oxidation of lipids (Choe & Min, 2006).

5.4.3 Effect of packaging material on acid value of flakes
Acid value level is an indicator of amount of free fatty acids as a result of breakdown of lipids due to high frying temperatures, enzymatic activity and oxidation. High light intensity, moisture and high temperatures are known to accelerate formation and accumulation of fatty acids (Fu et al., 2018; Ullah et al., 2003). In this study, significant differences existed in acid value (p<0.05) of the three packaging materials during the entire incubation period with exception of acid value in kraft paper in day three and laminated kraft paper in day four. High acid values were observed in plastic container in all the entire incubation period with a maximum of 4.71 mg KOH/g oil in day three. This could have been caused by presence of oxygen in the head space of the plastic container which was further accelerated by the high temperatures of 55°C during incubation. These results agrees with those reported by Kucuk (2005) who reported that higher acid and peroxide values in sunflower oil were noted in clear plastic containers with head spaces compared to glass containers stored in darkness.

Lower levels of acid value were observed in laminated kraft paper compared to kraft paper. Both were folded tightly that hardly any head space was left. This probably explains the low acid value levels compared to plastic container. There was continuous rise in acid value in first three days ranging from a minimum of 2.71 to a maximum of 4.71 mg KOH/g oil, which was then followed by a decrease in acid value levels due to exhaustion of trapped oxygen. In general, laminated kraft paper was effective in preventing formation of free fatty acids through the entire study followed by kraft paper while plastic container showing minimal prevention.
5.4.4 Effects of packaging material on growth of yeast and moulds in CSB flakes

Results in Table 5.1 shows that no growth was detected during day zero and first day of incubation. However, as incubation days increased growth was detected on day two with variation being significant (p<0.05) in laminated kraft paper and insignificant for plastic container and kraft paper. Despite the hygiene measures employed during processing and handling of raw materials, it is possible that post processing activities such as packaging might have contaminated the CSB flakes with yeast and moulds (Muthomi et al., 2012).

Growth was high during the second incubation day with highest level in plastic container of 5.37 log cfu/g and lowest in laminated kraft paper at 4.31 log cfu/g. This could be as a result of availability of oxygen and moisture in the initial days before onset of oxidation and drying due to elevated incubation temperatures of 55°C. Consequently this lead to depletion in oxygen and moisture levels after being utilized in oxidation process and drying. Further, low moisture contents in foods translates to low water activity that hinders microbial growth (Olaimat & Holley, 2012). Accumulation of carbon dioxide may have contributed to inhibition of some species of yeast resulting to overall decrease in the number as number of incubation days increased (Valdes et al., 2015). The results agrees with those reported by Sautor et al., (2002) who reported similar findings on effect of temperature and water activity in growth of food spoilage moulds.

A decreasing trend in growth was noted as number of incubation days increased. At (p<0.05) there was no significance different in growth in different packaging materials in day 2, 4 and 5 with regard to plastic container and kraft paper despite higher growth in plastic being noted. No growth that was detected on the last day of incubation in laminated kraft paper. This leaves the laminated kraft paper as the most appropriate packaging material among the three owed to the low counts of yeast and moulds and lower values of other indicative quality parameters in the entire study period.
5.4.5 Estimation of shelf life of CSB flakes

The results of the study showed that only the plastic packaging material in day 5 slightly exceeded though within the maximum allowable limit range for peroxide value. The other quality indicator parameters did not surpass the maximum allowable limit of, 10-15 milliequivalent of active oxygen per kg for peroxide value and 20-30 mg KOH/g oil for acid value (CODEX, 1999; EAC 2012). According to Sewarld and Devrise (2003) storage of food at temperature of 50-55°C for 5 days is approximately equivalent to storing food at 25°C for 5 months owing to the fact that most of chemical and microbial reactions follow Arrhenius’ equation. Therefore the flakes were considered to be shelf stable for a period of approximately maximum 5 months with laminated kraft paper as most favorable packaging material. It was also noted that, any packaging material that eliminated absorption of moisture into the product, eliminated oxygen and prevented light from reaching the product could be considered favorable to even extend the shelf life beyond the estimated 5 months.

5.5 Conclusion

Packaging material of a food product prevents microbial contamination and also creates a barrier between product and any extrinsic factors that can trigger chemical degradation. Therefore, shelf stability of a product is dependent on packaging material among other factors such as use of preservative and low temperature storage to extend shelf life. Indicative quality parameters maximum limits were not exceeded with the three packaging materials put into consideration hence the product was considered to be shelf stable for a period of five months but could be probably be longer as the maximum set limits for quality parameters were not yet exceeded.
CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 General Conclusions

Cassava and soy bean as the basic raw materials for developing CSB flakes had significant differences in proximate composition. With regard to protein and mineral content, soy bean was then utilized to improve protein and mineral content of cassava by developing a flaked product from the two. Cyanide was shown to be reduced by soaking cassava roots for 4 days, pulping and drying the pulp at 55\(^0\) C for 3 days while soy bean anti-nutrients were shown to be lowered to levels that can allow metabolic bioavailability.

The formulated flakes were acceptable in terms of sensory attributes appearance texture taste flavor and overall acceptability with the most acceptable having a ratio of 35:65 of soy to cassava. This formulation was found to improve the protein content of cassava and would provide more than a half of recommended daily allowance of protein as recommended by WHO. Further, its mineral level with focus to zinc and iron were shown to have improved compared to the control cassava flakes. This would increases chances of metabolic bioavailability of these minerals.

Packaging materials were shown to have a huge impact when it comes to shelf stability of a product. It protects the food product against microbial and chemical degradation that would set in upon interaction of food with intrinsic and extrinsic factors. CSB flakes being made with full fat soy, it would be susceptible to fat degradation due to high content of unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic and linoleic. Therefore the most appropriate packaging material would serve to prevent fat degradation and microbial contamination by preventing the low moisture content CSB flakes from absorbing moisture. The study concluded that laminated kraft paper was the most appropriate packaging material in comparison with other packaging materials considered and would keep the flakes stable for five months or more before onset of deterioration.
6.2 General Recommendations

The study was based on pilot plant level and lab trials studies. It is therefore necessary to carry out suitability studies on commercialization using business models to assess profitability if the project was to be adopted by a community. Further, market sensitization to consumers is still necessary to enlighten the public on the common cheap raw materials that can be used to develop nutritious products.

The ASLT was done through estimation due to limitation of time during the study period. It would be necessary to conduct a real shelf life test while assessing the quality parameters too until onset of deterioration. Finally, it would be of great help to cascade the findings of the study to various target groups like communities through government agencies and non-governmental organizations that solely focus in improving livelihood via nutritional intervention programs.
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**APPENDIX 1: SENSORY EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Date............................

Gender............................

Respondent number....................

Kindly rate the sensory parameters 1 to 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>Overall Acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crispness</td>
<td>Smoothness</td>
<td>Sourness</td>
<td>Sweetness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Score scale

1. Dislike very much
2. Dislike slightly
3. Dislike moderately
4. Neither like nor dislike
5. Like moderately
6. Like slightly
7. Like very much

**Total score:**

**THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING**

78