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ABSTRACT

This study sought to determine the factors affecting effective capacity in mobile money transfer services. It involved a case of Safaricom’s M-pesa service. The study also sought to identify the challenges facing the company in managing transactions capacity and how the capacity of the money transfer service can be effectively managed.

Primary data for this study was collected through questionnaires administered to persons offering M-pesa services. Secondary data was obtained from the company intranet and other company reports. The collected data was sorted and organized for analysis. Data collected was analyzed using descriptive statistics.

The study found that effective capacity in the money transfer service has not been optimally managed in a way that would ensure effective capacity in the money transfer industry. The study also found that the current M-pesa capacity is constrained thereby negatively affecting the quality of services. Capacity has been affected by variables among them the following: cash flow and liquidity management, technology, quality of service, government regulation, cash in transit and capacity management. From the study findings, it can be inferred that an effective capacity management will enhance the quality of the money transfer service.

In this study, a large percentage of respondents (80%) reported that the M-pesa money transfer service faces challenges that affect its effective capacity. A further 42% of the respondents strongly agreed that system delays represent the greatest challenge facing the service. The results of the study show that the current M-pesa capacity does not fully meet the expectation of the customers. This implies that better management of the various factors affecting effective capacity is likely to improve the M-pesa money transfer service.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>Automated Teller Machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Money Tran</td>
<td>Electronic Money Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-pay</td>
<td>Electronic Pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS</td>
<td>Management Information Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNO</td>
<td>Mobile Network Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTO</td>
<td>Mobile Telephone Operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTS</td>
<td>Money Transfer Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P</td>
<td>Person to Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSTA</td>
<td>Kenya Postal Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>Service Level Agreement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study
Economic, technological and political factors are a few of the diverse external conditions that can influence a company’s decisions to open, close or modify its manufacturing facilities. These factors change over time but especially so in environments of growing global competition, where the development of a capacity and facilities strategy is essential to any manufacturing enterprise (Butler, 1990). In order to develop a capacity and facility strategy for a particular company, it is first necessary to understand how each factor affects their strategic capacity management and impacts market growth, product obsolescence, technology changes and conflicts with the environment. A lack of understanding of these issues can cause a disconnect between the company’s capacity and business strategies (Skinner, 1969).

Effective capacity is an important concept in operations strategy. Not all productive capacity is actually used or usable. It is important for production managers to understand what capacity is actually achievable. Matching supply and demand in services by capacity management has a direct influence on the ability of the service delivery system to achieve service quality and resource productivity targets. In addition to the chase and level strategies for managing capacity in services suggested by Rikert and Sasser (1980), a coping strategy for capacity management is described which aims to improve the overall delivery of service quality while achieving resource productivity targets. Coping is necessary for all organizations at some time (Abrahamson, 1996).

From the perspective of manufacturing strategy, efficient capacity management is concerned with capacity expansion and reduction in order to respond to long-term changes in demand levels. Strategic capacity management is essential as it has a significant impact on the competitive performance dimensions of cost, delivery speed, dependability and flexibility (Olhager et al, 2001). It has been identified that the development of a connected facilities strategy and the development of a production capacity are essential to any manufacturing company that wants to reduce costs (Butler, 1990). The capacity strategy and the business strategy need to be connected to prevent unnecessary investments to repair or replace assets when a duplication of capacity exists (Skinner, 1969, Reeve, 2001).
Capacity management can refer to the overall function of the business, or focus on specific areas of the operation, such as the quality and performance of the information technology efforts within the company. Capacity has its main dimensions which include: quantity that deals with the how much factor, quality that talks of the requirements of a product to meet the customer requirements, time factor which deals with the when the capacity is required and location that deals with the where the capacity is required (Panneerselvam, 2008).

A weak connection between strategic capacity management and the business plan can result in disconnecting the strategic and tactical phases of a company’s plans. Capacity management needs to be reviewed at the three planning levels: the strategic, the tactical and the operational (Silva et al, 1992). Studies have also indicated that companies have ignored the signals of the market demand by building capacity above the potential of the market. This causes an excess of capacity and the duplication of overhead costs (Silva, 1994). Reeve (2001) has noted that when the bottleneck is above the level of highest demand, a high level of control needs to be exercised in order to avoid capacity duplication and stop spending in capital funds.

In mobile money transfer services, capacity is illustrated in sending or receiving money for either payment of salaries, settlement of business transactions, payment of school fees, or for family support for both businesses and individuals. Effective capacity requires efficient, reliable and affordable money transfer services whereby money can be deposited in one location and withdrawn in another in both urban and rural areas. Structural weaknesses in the financial industry in Kenya, however, limit the access to money transfer services, especially in rural areas and for low-income people. This is because dealers are concentrated mainly in urban centers and have conditions that constitute barriers to the use of their services. The cancellation of telegraphic money transfer services previously offered by the government through the Kenya Postal Corporation has left fewer service options to customers. Money transfer services (MTS) are offered by formal providers (e.g. commercial dealers or the Kenya Postal Corporation (POSTA), by semi-formal providers (e.g. courier or bus companies), and by informal services or means (e.g. by bus conductors or friends).
Generally, commercial dealers are the major players in money transfer business in Kenya, servicing mainly large users and, to a smaller extent, low-income users. Among the commercial bank instruments, telegraphic transfers, electronic funds transfers and bank drafts are typically used for large value transfers, as they offer the cheapest service for the transfer of large amounts. In addition, bank cheques are the preferred and often required means of payment for school fees. Western Union and similar services in Kenya, most of which operate through commercial dealers, are used almost exclusively to receive money rather than to send (www.dai.com/pdf/Passing the Buck).

Mobile phone subscribers in Kenya increased to 22 million between July and September last year (2010) up from 20.1 million in June 2010. This represented a growth of 9.5 percent, the highest over the last three quarters, and a tele-density to 56.9 percent. According to the CCK Quarterly Sector Statistics Report (July- September 2010/2011), the growth is attributed to promotions and lower tariffs. During the quarter, pre-paid and post-paid mobile tariffs went down by 33.4% and 55.5% respectively, following an interconnection determination by CCK that saw mobile termination rates reduced to Kshs 2.21 from Kshs 4.42. A total of 6.63 billion minutes of local calls were made on the mobile networks up from 6.06 billion in the previous quarter, posting an increase of 9.6% (Communications Commission of Kenya, 2011)

To address the challenges that were faced by the earlier discussed modes of money transfer, a more innovative and even faster way of sending money via mobile phone was launched in Kenya where mobile transaction payments system, based on a system of low-value accounts held by a mobile operator and accessible from a subscribers’ mobile phones through a SIM card-resident application. The conversion of cash into electronic value (and vice versa) is performed at a network of retail stores. Mobile money transfer has highly substituted earlier money transfer services and so far has proved to be an efficient and effective way of transferring money both locally and internationally. We have four main players in the mobile phone money transfer. These are: Safaricom with M-pesa, Bharti Airtel with Zap, Essar Telecom with YUcash and Orange with IKO pesa. All these offer money transfer services but with different number of subscribers registered and using their service currently (Peake, 2006).
With the increase in mobile money transfer services capacity, our understanding has been refined over the past years; the industry has generally arrived at consensus on the “what” and the “why” of mobile money. Now the industry has intensified its focus on the “how” to approach common constraints in order to fully capture the opportunities. Despite progress over the last year, there is an acknowledgement that there are still too few successes at scale. Much remains to be done to reach critical mass in money transfer services, the point at which an industry has gained sufficient penetration for momentum to be self-sustaining. In the mobile money space, this will require both more customers and more transactions per customer. Reaching critical mass will require mobile money ecosystems to become more dynamic and productive. Mobile money ecosystems are the networks of organizations and individuals that must be in place for mobile money services to take root, proliferate, and scale up and will be characterized by interdependence and coordination among any number of actors such as: Mobile Network Operators (MNOs), dealers, airtime sales agents, retailers, utility companies, employers, regulators, International financial institutions and donors, and even civil society organizations (Andriessen 2004).

More than 4 billion people around the world are now mobile phone users, 61 percent of all potential subscribers and most of the existing subscribers are becoming increasingly comfortable with a wide range of functionality that such phones enable. One such capability is mobile banking and mobile money management using a mobile device to complete a variety of transactions or to have an interactive relationship in real time with a financial institution. It’s a compelling value proposition to buy movie tickets, pay for a store purchase, check your account balance, and send money to a relative and much more all from your mobile phone. Mobile money management is key to high performance for financial institutions and mobile network operators alike. A managed-services approach to mobile financial services can be a significant differentiator in serving customers quickly and efficiently, and in protecting an institution from rapid and complex technology change (Ansoff, 1965).

M-pesa, a key mobile money transfer widely used, was launched in Kenya on March 6, 2007 by Safaricom in partnership with Vodafone. Based upon its success in Kenya, M-pesa has now gone global. Innovation in the Kenyan mobile money scene has progressed so fast that it is a
long while since March 2007 when Vodafone, the world’s leading mobile services provider which owns 40 per cent of Safaricom chose to work with Safaricom. It has now become the most preferred medium of personal cash transfers (www.safaricom.co.ke). The service enables subscribers to use their mobile phones to carry out transactions such as pay for goods and services, pay bills, send to and receive money from friends and family, withdraw cash for their use, top up their own airtime account or top up someone else’s account and manage their own accounts “M-pesa has served as a testing ground for mobile money transfer systems and processes and the eyes of much of the world have been on it. The success of M-pesa has acted as a starter’s pistol for a race to gain the upper hand in mobile money transfers throughout Africa, with at least half a dozen contenders already in the wings,” said Arthur Glodstuck, an industry analyst in an interview, (Business Daily, 2010). The M-pesa facility depends on efficient interaction of cash flow and liquidity management, value and volume of transfers, Quality of service, Network of outlets, Technology, Government regulation, Cash-in-transit, IT Platform and interoperability affects affective capacity (Valdecentos, 2009).

1.2 Statement of the Problem
Capacity in any organization that is not effective affects its operations and productivity, aside from inconveniencing a number of other parties. Some of these parties include: staff and the agents working for that organization and customers who expect nothing less than efficiency and reliability who become disappointed. This may lead to loss of faith and loyalty to the service or product provider. Mobile money and microfinance go hand in hand for a number of reasons: mobile money increases the efficiency, transparency, and security of microfinance; and microfinance lowers the customer acquisition cost and increases the average revenue per user of mobile money. Nevertheless, everything hinges on the capacity of the mobile money agent network to serve its customers.

Customers and management of Safaricom Ltd rely on the M-pesa facility to deliver on numerous activities. The challenges of providing mobile money transfers on such a service are equally daunting for the mobile service providers. The financial system of Kenya has developed over a period of time with strict discipline and regulatory control. Even during the worst of the financial crisis, the country’s financial system took no or little beating, basically because of strong discipline and monitoring.
A number of critical issues and risks that have been reviewed include: liquidity management, settlement risks, the reliability of the system, the registration of users, system audit trail, anti-money laundering measures and consumer protection issues that could compromise the safety, efficiency, integrity and effectiveness of the M-Pesa system. These risks have been mitigated through a number of measures which the Central Bank and the Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK) helps in monitoring regularly.

Agent liquidity is one of the most fundamental challenges mobile money providers face. In order to be effective, mobile money agents have to have a fine-tuned understanding of their market, including an ability to predict when customers will come and what types and volumes of transactions they will want to perform. This already difficult task is made all the more challenging when microfinance loan customers enter the equation, which is one reason why microfinance institutions (MFIs) and mobile money providers need to both understand one another and maintain clear, frequent communication (Barney, 1995). Like any mobile money customer, loan customers need to both cash-in and cash-out. Unlike other customers, however, they receive one large payment upfront and then send frequent, smaller payments over the course of their loan lifecycle. To serve these customers, mobile money agents need to have sufficient cash to cash-out at the time of loan disbursement (usually several hundred dollars per loan customer) and sufficient e-float to cash-in throughout the repayment period.

System delays on M-pesa services are a common problem affecting the effectiveness of mobile money transfers. This forces consumers to wait longer to be able to operate their accounts. Lack of enough float by agents in various outlets, few agents in rural areas which can be termed as low staffing, mainly caused by low levels of economic activities in those areas, the ceiling on the maximum account balance (currently standing at Kenya shillings,100000 and a maximum transfer per day of Kenya shillings 140000, are the major challenges facing the M-pesa service, www.mpesa.co.ke).
Limited access to formal finance and banking institutions in Kenya has decreased the sustainability of mobile money transactions and has also affected the reliance on self-supporting and informal institutional arrangements. Some of the transactions are too restrictive or cumbersome because of taxation systems and Kenyan labor laws. Excessive government regulations in some areas such as business start-up, in particular as regards cumbersome, time demanding and costly procedures for business registration are a challenge to the M-pesa agents country wide. Limited access to employers’ organizations affects the ability of M-pesa agents to obtain access to official social security schemes within the mobile money industry. Lack of information on prices and viability of products by some consumers is also a challenge to the usage of the facility. Excessive registration and transaction costs of starting or operating businesses and also limited access to technology by consumers have all posed great challenges to the mobile money industry.

It is with this argument therefore that the study sought to specifically address the challenges of M-pesa capacity effectiveness that stand in the way of maximizing revenue and ensuring customer satisfaction. The study also sought to provide current and up to date information on how the M-pesa service manages its capacity in terms of the number of transactions initiated and completed, how fast such transactions are processed and completed upon attempt, liquidity management, and quality of service. The study also sought to understand if agents and other personnel are able to obtain reliable product information and are able to utilize efficient technology to aid timely and accurate communication as described by (Chandler, 1990).

This study therefore sought to look at the challenges facing organizations in managing effective capacity of the mobile money transfers services, what the factors affecting effective capacity in mobile money transfer services are and how these challenges can be addressed to effectively handle steadily increasing customer base.
1.3 Objectives of the Study
The general objective of this project study was to look at the ways in which the M-pesa money transfer service can be improved to effectively manage its capacity.

The specific objectives were:
  i. To establish the challenges affecting mobile money transfer;
  ii. To identify the challenges facing the organization in managing transactions capacity; and,
  iii. To determine how the M-pesa money transfer service can be managed to effectively manage its capacity.

1.4 Significance of the Study
The research findings are expected to be useful to organizations that provide mobile money transfer services, specifically the management and key players in the M-pesa product development and operation departments who would obtain information to help them improve their services. The study is also important to businesses who utilize mobile money transfer services. The information from this study will help them manage their growing customer base, improve services, and efficiently handle capacity.

    The findings will also provide new knowledge and ideas in capacity management to upcoming scholars. As such, the study contributes to the body of knowledge in the field of capacity management in the new and cutting-edge technologies of mobile money transfers. The study findings will also be useful to all the governmental agencies involved in the field of mobile telephony and mobile money transfers in providing current information which may be used to improve policy governing this industry.
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction
Since its inception in 2007, M-Pesa has rapidly developed to become one of the most dynamic innovations for delivery of financial services using modern Information and Communications Technology (ICT). This innovation makes Kenya a world leader in the use of mobile phones to transfer money. To appreciate its rapid growth in popularity, it is important to note that the number of registered Kenyan using the M-Pesa service regularly has grown rapidly to reach close to 8 million persons in the year 2010.

However, the adoption and growth of M-Pesa services has not only continued to draw public attention but has also generated a lot of debate as to the safety and reliability of these kinds of payments and transfer systems and what the government is doing about it. Among the questions in the minds of many Kenyans are: How does the M-Pesa money transfer service really operate and is it safe and reliable? What are the factors affecting effective capacity in mobile phone money transfers in Kenya and what should be regulated to overcome this. It is for this reason that it became necessary for the government to audit the M-Pesa system in order to clear doubts from the minds of customers regarding the system’s safety and reliability. The audit has also provided information about the effectiveness and soundness of the M-pesa operating platform and also of other similar services currently operating in the Kenyan market.

2.2 Mobile Phone Usage Background in Africa
In 2004, Africa added almost 15 million new mobile phone subscribers, an equivalent of the total number of fixed and mobile telephone subscribers in the continent in 1996. Some analysts predict that there will be close to 200 million mobile subscribers in Africa by 2010 (http://moconews.net/article). By comparison the reach of the retail banking system in most African countries is very low (Porteous, 2006). In Kenya, as of Aug 24, 2010 mobile phone penetration was up to the 51 percent mark in the first quarter of 2010 with mobile subscriptions up 2.7 percent to 19.9 million from 19.4 million in the previous quarter. The regulator attributes the increase in the number of mobile subscriptions to multiple SIM ownership and increased
number of service providers offering attractive promotions. Out of the 19.9 million mobile phone subscribers in Kenya, Safaricom commands 15.79 million subscribers (Safaricom Annual Report, 2010).

According to this Annual Report, M-pesa has continued to experience high growth rates over the years. This growth has been realized through expansion of the agent network and increased usage of M-pesa by subscribers. The M-pesa subscriber base has grown from 5.8 million in 2009 to 9.5 million in 2010 which is 65.3 percent growth rate adding 3.3 million new subscribers in the period. According to the industry statistics from the Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK), at the end of March 2010, the population under mobile coverage stood at 86 percent (www.cck.com).

2.3 Money Transfer
Money Transfer Services refer to services in which money or funds can be transferred from one location to another with the help of several methods. A large number of reputed companies offer a variety of money transfer services to customers. The methods are quick, dependable, and easy to process, with money being sent or received all over the world without any difficulties. The sending of payments or purchasing money orders is also done through this service. Nowadays, the Internet has also become a highly popular method for transferring money and has services that are quick, affordable, and safe in comparison to other conventional methods, (Porteous 2006).

The operations of money transfer companies are carried out with the help of the extensive network of their agents. Usually, services can be categorized into Online Money Transfer Services, where money or funds are transferred over the internet with the help of a credit or debit card, and Walk in Money Transfer Services. The majority of transfers occur with the help of this second method. Under the Walk in Money Transfer, cash is received from the customer by a clerk and the payment is ready for collection at an agent location, suitable for the receiver. This is all done within a short span of time. A large number of agent locations recognize debit cards for this type of transactions (Financial Mirror, 2010).

We also have Telephonic Money Transfer Services, a system through which funds can be sent telephonically by utilizing a debit card or credit card. At the Payout Services, receivers are
able to collect funds within a short period of time after the sender has completed the transaction. Other systems include: Money Orders (which is a dependable payment option for people who do not have a checking account, useful for bill payments and various purchases), Prepaid Cards and Services (where a number of prepaid cards such as MasterCard or Visa are used as ATM cards or for online shopping purposes wherever the cards are acknowledged), Mobile Money Transfer Services (a system through which funds are transferred from one person to another by using mobile phone numbers).

Kenya currently has four key players in the mobile money transfer services. These are provided by the various network providers for their respective mobile phone services. The leading money transfer services companies include the following: Safaricom with M-pesa, BhartiAirtel with Zap, Essar Telecom with YU Cash and Orange with IKO pesa. Others are: Western Union Money Transfer, Kobo Money Transfer Services, MoneyGram International, Epay, and PayPal eMoneyTran (Business Daily, 2011).

2.4 History of the Mobile Phone Money Transfer

According to Kerama (2003), a few years back, the mobile phone was a luxury and only the very rich could afford one. This has changed with time and right now everyone has a cell phone which can do more than just make a call or send a text message. One of the most functional services that the mobile phone has been offering customers is money transfer services. In Africa, especially Kenya, many people who had been locked out of the banking system due to the many requirements that were needed, have been able to transact on their mobile phones with a lot of ease. Through the mobile phone, people can buy talk time, pay their utility bills, transfer funds to their business partners or suppliers, access their bank details as well as receive payments from various parties. The transactions are flexible as one can initiate them at the comfort of their homes as long as they have some funds in their account. International barriers to transacting have also been reduced through international mobile money transfer services (Kerama, 2003)).

2.5 Earlier Modes of Money Transfer in Kenya

Before M-pesa became into being in the year 2007, there were other modes of money transfer including money order from post office, hand delivery, bus, someone else’s account and
international money transfer services like Western Union. The figure below illustrates the figures and facts before the coming into being of the M-pesa service:

**Figure 2.1: Earlier Modes of Money Transfer**

[Pie chart showing distribution of money transfer methods: Hand (58%), Bus (27%), Post office money order (24%), Direct deposit (11%), Money transfer service (9%), By cheque (4%), and someone else account (3%).]

*Source: Financial Access Report, 2006*

As indicated in figure 2.1 above, more than half of Kenyans (58%) transferred money by hand, followed by 27% who transferred by bus, 24% transferred by post office money order, 11% by direct deposit, 9% by money transfer service, while a small percentage (4%) used cheque. The rest (3%) sent someone to deliver the money to the intended recipient.

### 2.6.1 Cash flow and Liquidity Management

Liquidity management is a significant concern in the telecom operated mobile money model. When customers are unable to make transactions due to agents’ lack of liquidity, the service is less useful and this can lead to a deterioration of trust and reliability in the entire system. (Creswell, 2003). One reason cited for the use of buses and courier companies to transport money even when they did not operate as money transfer companies was a lack of capacity on the other modes of transfer to manage cash. Together with pay-in and pay-out points as necessary infrastructure to effective money transfer, timing for money transfers are must be be balanced for a particular service location, and sufficient floats and cash at hand are essential to provide an efficient and reliable service.
2.6.2 Quality of Service
Safaricom provides two forms of agent training. The first is the initial training session when a new agent is brought on board. This session is in depth and covers all aspects of the operation of the platform and the requirements for AML and KYC compliance. The team that manages M-pesa has a dedicated department to provide current training materials and to facilitate training sessions. The second form of training happens in the field and is provided by an external agency that has been hired by Safaricom to travel around the country and provide refresher sessions for master and retail agents.

Master agency head office and retail agents are provided with different training material that are specific to the role they are required to fill. Head office staff is required to attend a one day training program at the Safaricom offices. The training emphasizes the need for a high quality of service that meets customer requirements. The client is trained on the need to provide the service with high quality and understanding and to meet a certain minimum set of staffing requirements in order to ensure a uniform level of service across the board (Krogh et al. 1999). The distribution network consists of authorized agents who will perform client-facing functions such as handling of cash and management of documents. The most successful providers of money transfer services are those with relatively large national and regional networks of branches or outlets which are already in place for their core businesses. The money transfer service is an add-on product which benefits from this network at marginal additional cost and is an expensive venture for organizations in trying to network further to reach customers (Porter, 1980).

2.6.3 Technology
Efficient and reliable communications and computer systems, including MIS, are essential in operating a money transfer service. This in part because speed and reliability are key product features for entering the market. Information technology can provide a competitive advantage particularly in the area of operations management. Specific IT applications have been seen in forecasting, procurement, supply chain management, and distribution, as well as in managing the complex transactions upon which the mobile money transfer platform operates. However, technology also has its limitations. Beckman and Rosenfield (2008) have identified the
challenges of implementing large-scale system changes, and the rigidity that IT can create for business processes.

2.6.4 Government Regulation
Financial operations in many countries are subject to regulation by the government and the central bank. A regulatory audit must be performed in order to establish the suitability in Kenya with regard to money transfers, holding deposits and exchanging monies. The outcome of this audit will affect the business model and profitability of the service.

2.6.5 Cash-in-transit
In order to ensure sufficient cash availability at agent end points, cash will need to be transferred to these locations. If an MNO decides to partner with a bank and use full-service model then the bank will provide also cash transfers at wholesale level (Valdecantos, 2009). The platform that manages the client accounts, transaction logging and settlement may or may not be part of the existing IN platform of the operator.

2.7 Capacity Management
Capacity management involves planning, sizing, and controlling the new system so that it always meets the minimum performance expectations in the SLA (Service Level Agreement). But a capacity management strategy cannot be designed to meet these SLA levels at any cost. The cost associated with meeting these performance levels also has to meet the business’s cost expectations. For example, although the organization can meet performance expectations by installing a new system with clustered, hot standby servers for each major function, the cost associated with buying the additional hardware and software licenses to support this level of redundancy may be considerably more than the expected business benefit of the system. Managing capacity effectively means that as the system grows, you’re able to add users or transactions without adversely affecting the existing users. For example, if the new system is an ATM withdrawal system via M-pesa, one will need to understand in advance: How many users can run effectively on each server housing M-pesa e-cash database, how heavily they will use the system and how much space is allowed or will be required for transaction message storage (Business Daily, 2011).
2.8 The Safaricom M-pesa Mobile Transfer Services
Mobile payments have had an incredible uptake in Kenya because they allow both the banked and the unbanked to transfer money more conveniently, more safely, and at a much lower cost than through formal banking services or other money transfer methods. In Kenya, M-pesa, launched on March 6, 2007, is the dominant m-payment system. It has experienced phenomenal growth since then, greatly exceeding expectations. M-pesa’s initial goal was to acquire 200,000–250,000 subscribers in the first year. Instead, it achieved that goal in just four months. In fact, M-pesa attained 10 times the original goal in one year, registering 2 million customers. Today the more than 7,000 M-pesa agents serving a country of 39 million Kenyans and customer base of 6 million far exceeds the 887 bank branches and 1435 automatic teller machines (ATMs) in Kenya. As of the end of February 2009, the monthly value of person-to-person money transfers was KES 14.5 billion (USD 190.3 million), with the cumulative value of these money transfers since M-pesa’s launch reaching KES 118 billion (USD 1.5 billion). Person to person transfers continued to be the main transactions and were valued at KES 28.59 billion, an increase of 66.4%, (Safaricom Annual Report, 2010). Kenya’s M-pesa is now being considered for a number of countries across the globe (www.safaricom.co.ke).

2.9 Comparing M-pesa with Alternatives
M-pesa is very useful as a retail payments platform because of its reach into large segments of the population. Over the years M-pesa has proved to be a faster, more secure and more convenient way of sending money. Below are illustrations on how M-pesa compares with other modes of money transfer.
Figure 2.2: Comparing M-Pesa with other modes of Money Transfer


The above figure rates M-Pesa at different percentages as compared to other modes of money transfer. These are: convenience (96%), safety (98%), cost (96%), and speed (98%).
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction
A research methodology guides the researcher in collecting, analyzing and interpreting observed facts (Bless and Achola, 1988). There are several research designs ranging from exploratory studies to descriptive studies. Within these designs, there are strategies that can be applied such as survey and case study. Descriptive research portrays an accurate profile of persons; events or situations surveys allow the collection of large amount of data from a sizeable population in highly economical way. It allows one to collect quantitative data which can be analyzed quantitatively using descriptive and inferential statistics (Barbie, 1995). Surveys are concerned with describing recording, analyzing and interpreting conditions that either exist or existed.

In the research methodology the following aspects of acquiring and presenting data are to be considered; research design, target population, samplings and sample procedure; piloting, research instruments, validity and reliability of instruments; data collection, data analysis and presentation technique.

3.2 Research Design
According to McMillan and Schumacher (2001), a research design is a plan for selecting subjects, research sites and data collection procedures to answer the research questions. A research design is the arrangement of conditions for collection and analysis of data in a manner that aims to combine relevance to the research purpose with economy in procedure (Seltiz, 1963). It is the conceptual structure within which research is conducted and it also constitutes the blueprint for the collection of data and analysis of the collected data. Consideration of these procedures assist the investigator in answering the research questions formulated (M’Mwari, 1999).

The design shows which individuals were studied, when and where and under which circumstances they were being studied. More equally, research design refers to the way the study is designed, that is, the method used to carry out a research. It is important to highlight the two main methods when investigating and collecting data quantitative and qualitative. A quantitative
approach is strongly linked to deductive testing of theories through hypotheses, while a qualitative approach to research generally is concerned with inductive testing (Saunders et al, 2003). The main focus of this study was quantitative. However some qualitative approach was used in order to gain a better understanding and to enable a better and more insightful interpretation of the results from the quantitative study.

This research was conducted as a case study of Safaricom M-pesa product development and operations department. The findings of this study are expected to form a useful background for planning a major investigation on the challenges facing M-pesa transaction capacity management. The findings of the study will also form a basis for conducting further investigation into other services relating to the M-pesa money transfer service. Assessing the factors affecting effective capacity management in the M-pesa system will require the use of qualitative methods (Creswell, 2003).

3.3 Population
According to Kothari (2004), a population is a well-defined or set of people, services, elements, and events, group of things or households that are being investigated. This definition ensures that population of interest is homogeneous. And by population the researcher means complete census of the sampling frames. Population studies are also called census and are more representative because everyone is included (Mugenda and Mugenda, 1999).

The sample of the study comprised 200 individuals who perform M-pesa transaction on a day to day basis; 20 system engineers, 40 system users, 40 operators and 100 customers. The study focused on the section and particularly the four categories that are involved in the M-pesa money transfer service either as system users, operators, customers, or engineers and also managerial level staff. The table below shows the selection from the population of interest:
Table 3.1: Target Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of Population</th>
<th>Population frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System engineers</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System users</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operators</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumers</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research data, 2011

3.4 Sampling Techniques and Sample Size

A research sample can be defined as the people who actually participate in a study, (KAC, 2002). Sampling is the process of selecting a number of individuals for a study in such a way that the individuals selected represented the large group from which they were selected. This is done to secure a representative group which will enable the researcher to gain information about a population. From the above population of two hundred, a sample of 50% from within each group in proportions that each group bear to the population as a whole was selected using stratified random sample. From the stratified sample, the selection of respondents to the actual sample was as per the following table:

Table 3.2: Sampling Techniques and Sample Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Population frequency (N)</th>
<th>Sample Ratio</th>
<th>Sample (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System engineers</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System users</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operators</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumers</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research data, 2011
3.5 Data Collection Techniques
The researcher visited the Safaricom premises to administer questionnaires and interviews. The respondents filled the questionnaires while the researcher conducted the interviews using the interviewee guides. The researcher explained the purpose of the instrument to the respondents before the actual data collection. The questionnaires were collected after two weeks by which time the respondents had all enough time to complete the instruments.

The interviews with the engineers and system users and operators allowed the researcher to have a better exposure of their perspectives, and their deeper thoughts about the capacity challenges. This less structured approach allowed the interviews to be much more like conversations and permitted the obtaining of information which would not otherwise have been obtained. This is in line with the findings of Marshall and Rossman (1997).

3.6 Data Analysis
Data collected was analyzed through use of frequency tables, percentages, and means. It was then presented in form of tables which is a standard way that enables easy understanding. Both qualitative and quantitative analysis was done. Content analysis was also done for the thematic areas under investigation. Bryman and Bell (2003) have described data analysis as a technique used to make inferences from data collected by means of a systematic and objective identification of specific characteristics.
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS, FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Response Rate
The response rate for the questionnaire from the categories was substantially high. Out of 175 questionnaire sent to the respondents, 118 questionnaires were returned for analysis which included a gender stratification of 98 male and 30 female making the response rate of 66%. This high response rate indicates that the sample was representative of respondents involved in the M-pesa money transfer service.

4.2 M-pesa Challenges
The study inquired into the challenges respondents faced with while using the M-pesa service. They cited delays, failure of the system, and lack of efficient customer support and high charges of use. Respondents reported that they turned to the Customer Care department to seek help for these challenges. Others reported that they decided to turn to alternative outlets, such as ATM withdrawals.

Figure 4.1 Frequency of Experiencing Delays

Source: Research data, 2011

Nearly half of the respondents (44%) reported that they experienced delays on a monthly basis while 38 percent reported that they experienced delays on a weekly basis. A further 18 percent reported experiencing delays on a daily basis. The delays experienced imply that the M-pesa service is not effective or efficient. Beckman and Rosenfield (2008) have observed that a company’s capacity strategy must be developed in the context of the business strategy it intends to support, meeting the cost, quality, availability, and environmental performance goals. One
way to achieve the operational goals of performance is to develop flexibility capabilities. They identify some of the flexibility capabilities that an organization can create. These include: volume flexibility, and product or service mix flexibility.

Most respondents reported that they contacted the Customer Care department immediately they experienced delays. They reported that the duration of solving the problem usually ranged from just a few hours to a maximum of one day. This wide range of responding to customer requests implies that the human resources aspects of the M-pesa service do not have adequate competence to handle the requests. Literature shows that human resources capacity is an important aspect of overall product or service capacity. This calls for training of the available resources. Slack and Lewis (2008) have stated that technology decisions (such as the M-pesa service) have human resource implications. They observe that a company must evaluate whether it has adequate technical or human skills required to implement the technology for service provision. Every process technology will need a set of skills to be present within the organization, so that it can be successfully implemented. If new technology is very similar to that existing in the organization, it is likely that the necessary skills will already be present. If, however, the technology is completely novel, it is necessary to identify the required skills and to match these against those existing in the organization (ibid.).

4.3 System Users
M-PESA is useful as a retail payment platform because it has extensive reach into large segments of the population. The next chart shows the size of various retail channels in Kenya. From this chart, there are nearly five times the number of M-PESA outlets compared to the Postbank branches, post offices, bank branches, and automated teller machines (ATMs) in the country. This wide network implies that using existing retail stores as M-PESA cash-in/cash-out outlets would reduce the deployment costs and provide greater convenience and lower cost of access to users.
4.3 Role as an M-pesa system user

The growth of M-pesa is an indication of Safaricom’s vision and execution of capacity. However, Safaricom has also benefited from launching the service in a country which contained several enabling conditions for a successful mobile money deployment, including: strong latent demand for domestic remittances, poor quality of available financial services, a banking regulator which permitted Safaricom to experiment with different business models and distribution channels, and a mobile communications market characterized by Safaricom’s dominant market position and low commissions on airtime sales.

Most of the M-pesa system users surveyed were agents (86%) while operators comprised 14% of the sample size. Early market buzz can prompt both customers and stores to sign up sooner and to try it out for longer than they would otherwise consider. The mobile money model requires coverage: being able to use it anytime, wherever one happens to be, and to send money to anyone, anywhere. Proximity and ubiquity are the disruptive innovations that allow mobile money to penetrate to new payments market which, in turn, require that there is a coordinated roll-out across the entire country.

These three features of the mobile money business, namely: volume, speed, and coverage, together suggest that the business model needs to be highly scalable. Momentum will build up as customers start to bring other customers into the system simply by sending them money (inducing them to come into the shop and register); agents will start seeking to sign up and add new tills and generally the system can grow very fast for at least some period, creating a ‘viral effect’.

The Kenyan market presented a large enough opportunity, and Safaricom went about exploiting it in a sufficiently scalable fashion. In fact, the growth of M-Pesa has surprised even Safaricom from the beginning and the service has continued to grow at a strong pace even as more than half of Safaricom subscribers have already signed up for the service.
The next table shows the percentage of respondents reporting that the M-pesa service faces challenges and the percentage reporting that system delays are the greatest challenge currently facing the service.

**Table 4.1: Challenges facing M-pesa service**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View reported</th>
<th>Strongly agree(%)</th>
<th>Agree(%)</th>
<th>Disagree(%)</th>
<th>Strongly disagree(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-pesa faces challenges</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System delays are the greatest challenge</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Research data, 2011*

Although many respondents reported that technology led to quicker and more efficient modes of money transfers and that the availability on M-pesa services led to easy access to money, they agreed that the M-pesa service faced a number of challenges. Results from table 4.1 show that most respondents (80%) strongly agreed that M-pesa faced many challenges. In addition, a further 42% strongly agreed that M-pesa system delays are the greatest challenge facing the service. Respondents were also asked to report what they used the M-pesa service for. However, The following figure shows the responses received:
From figure 4.4, 28% of the respondents used M-pesa to receive money, a quarter (or 25%) used the service to send the money, while 14% used M-pesa to store money and buy airtime for their own lines. Another 8% reported using the system to buy airtime for other people, 7% used the service for emergency transfers while the rest used the service for payment of bills. In view of the large number of these transactions, it is clear that the capacity of the system needs to be effectively managed.

**Figure 4.5 Experience Challenge with Float Availability**

*Source: Research data, 2011*
The researcher sought to find out from the customer respondents if they experienced challenges with float availability at the agent outlet. The findings from the study showed that a majority of the respondents (60%) experienced challenges with float availability while 40% did not experience these challenges. This information is shown in figure 4.5 above. This was not surprising because literature reviewed by the researcher showed that float availability was a major challenge.

**Figure 4.6 Frequency of Experiencing Challenges with Float Availability**

![Bar chart showing frequency of float unavailability](image)

*Source: Research data, 2011*

The researcher sought to find out how often the float unavailability was experienced. From figure 4.6, nearly half of respondents (44%) reported that they experienced float unavailability very often, 30% often while the proportion of respondents reporting “rarely” and “never” was 18% and 8% respectively. Further, a majority of respondents agreed that they faced challenges in maintaining liquidity for M-pesa.
Table 4.2 Obstacles Experienced In Storage Of Cash And E-Float To Satisfy Customers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of obstacle</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee misconduct</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical security</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working capital</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel cost and time</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Research data, 2011*

Results from table 4.2 show that half of the respondents (50%) faced working capital obstacles in keeping enough cash and e-float on hand to satisfy customers. Further, 20% of respondents reported that they faced challenges of physical security and travel cost and time. Respondents also agreed that they experienced credit risk while dealing with M-pesa agents’ prepaid service through their systems.

**4.4 System Maintenance done by System Engineers**

The next figure (figure 4.7) shows the frequency with which systems engineers conducted system maintenance. The figure shows that the system was maintained on a “very often” frequency 44% of the time while it was maintained on an “often” basis 30% of the time. The research also showed that the system was maintained within a day in almost all the cases.
4.5 Strong Latent Demand for Domestic Remittances

Safaricom based the initial launch of the M-pesa service on the ‘send money home’ proposition, even though it also allows the user to buy and send airtime, store value and, more recently, to pay bills. Demand for domestic remittance services will be larger where migration results in splitting of families, with the bread-winner heading to urban centers and the rest of the family staying back home. This is the case in Kenya, where 17% of households depend on remittances as their primary income source.

In her study of M-pesa, Ratan (2008) suggests that the latent demand for domestic remittances is related to urbanization ratios. More propitious markets will be those where the process of rural-urban migration is sufficiently rooted to produce large migration flows, but not so advanced that rural communities are hollowed out. Countries with mid-range urbanization ratios (20 percent to 40 percent), especially those that are urbanizing at a rapid rate, are likely to exhibit strong rural-urban ties requiring transfer of value between them.

In terms of having back up servers, majority of respondents (80%) agreed that they had. Only 20% of respondents did not have back up servers. This information is shown in the next figure (figure 4.8).
Further, most respondents agreed that the system was able to comfortably handle the current growing transaction capacity.

The challenge remains for M-pesa to become a vehicle for delivery of a broader range of financial services to the bulk of the Kenyan population. So far, the evidence is limited that people are willing to use the basic M-pesa account itself as a store of value. There is likely to be a need to develop more targeted savings products that balance customers’ preference for liquidity and commitment and which also connect into a broader range of financial institutions. If M-pesa is able to accomplish this, it will be able to deliver on its promise of addressing the challenge of financial inclusion in Kenya. A key precondition is regulation: the Central Bank of Kenya is in the process of finalizing regulations that will allow non-bank outlets and platforms such as M-pesa to become channels of for formal deposit-taking. Beyond that, Safaricom will need to develop appropriate service, commercial and technical models for M-pesa to interwork with the systems of other financial service providers.

4.6 The Broader Significance of M-PESA
M-pesa has demonstrated the promise of leveraging mobile technology to extend financial services to large segments of unbanked poor people. This is fundamentally because the mobile phone is quickly becoming a ubiquitously deployed technology, even among poor segments of the population. Mobile penetration in Africa has increased from 3 percent in 2002 to 48 percent today, and is expected to reach 72 percent by 2014. And, happily, the mobile device mimics
some of the key ingredients needed to offer banking services. The SIM card inside GSM phones can be used to authenticate users, thereby avoiding the costly exercise of distributing separate bank cards to low-profitability poor customers. The mobile phone can also be used as a point of sale (POS) terminal to initiate financial transactions and securely communicate with the appropriate server to request transaction authorization, thus obviating the need to deploy costly dedicated devices in retail environments.

M-pesa has demonstrated the importance of designing usage- rather than float-based revenue models for reaching poor customers with financial services. Because dealers make most of their money by collecting and reinvesting deposits, they tend to distinguish between profitable and unprofitable customers based on the likely size of their account balances and their ability to absorb credit. Dealers thus find it difficult to serve poor customers because the revenue from reinvesting small-value deposits is unlikely to offset the cost of serving these customers. In contrast, mobile operators in developing countries have developed a usage-based revenue model, selling prepaid airtime to poor customers in small increments, such that each transaction is profitable on a stand-alone basis. This is the magic behind the rapid penetration of prepaid airtime into low-income markets: a card bought is profit booked, regardless of who bought the prepaid card. This usage-based revenue model is directly aligned with the model needed to sustainably offer small-value cash-in/cash-out transactions at retail outlets and would make possible a true mass-market approach, with no incentive for providers to deny service based on minimum balances or intensity of use.

M-pesa has demonstrated the importance of building a low-cost transactional platform which enables customers to meet a broad range of their payment needs. Once a customer is connected to an e-payment system, she can use this capability to store money in a savings account, send and receive money from friends and family, pay bills and monthly insurance premiums, receive pension or social welfare payments, or receive loan disbursements and repay them electronically. In short, when a customer is connected to an e-payment system, her range of financial possibilities expands dramatically.

Putting these elements together, M-pesa has prompted a rethink on the optimal sequencing of financial inclusion strategies. Where most financial inclusion models have employed “credit-led” or “savings-led” approaches, the M-pesa experience suggests that there
may be a third approach focus first on building the payment “rails” on which a broader set of financial services can ride.

4.7 Three Perspectives Accounting for the M-Pesa Success
A number of papers have been written on M-pesa’s success. Heyer and Mas (2009) discussed the country factors that led to M-pesa’s success, Mas and Morawczynski (2009) have written on the M-pesa’s service features, Mas and Ng’weno (2010) have investigated Safaricom’s M-pesa execution strategy while Mas (2009) studied the economics underpinning branchless banking systems. Beyond the compelling marketing, cold business logic and consistent execution of M-pesa highlighted by these scholars, the service’s success is a vivid example of how great things happen when a group of leaders from different organizations rally around common challenges and ideas.
5.1 Summary of the M-pesa Innovation

The study established that most respondents (64%) used M-pesa services. Almost half of the respondents (44%) used M-pesa service on a weekly basis, 38% used M-pesa services on a monthly basis, while 18% used it on a daily basis. Respondents reported that system delays were the most serious challenges facing the M-pesa service. Other challenges included: failure of the system, lack of efficient customer support, and high user charges. To deal with some of these challenges, respondents resorted to alternative banking services which included ATM withdrawals.

On the issue of the frequency of experiencing delays, about half of the respondents (44%) experienced delays on a monthly basis, 38% experienced delays on a weekly basis while 18% experienced delays on a daily basis. Further, most respondents contacted customer care immediately when there were delays and such delays were solved within one day at the maximum. The study also inquired into how changes in technology have affected the mobile money transfers. The respondents reported that technology has led to quicker and more efficient modes of money transfers and that the availability on M-pesa services has greatly eased access to money.

The study found that the M-pesa money transfer service has demonstrated the possibility and also importance of building a low-cost transactional platform which enables customers to meet a broad range of their payment needs. Once a customer is connected to an e-payment system, such customer can use this capability to store money in a savings account, send and receive money from friends and family, pay bills and monthly insurance premiums, receive pension or social welfare payments, or receive loan disbursements and repay them electronically. Thus, when a customer is connected to an e-payment system, his range of financial possibilities expands dramatically. M-pesa has the potential to meet all these user needs if properly supported and if it continues to make use of innovation.
5.2 Conclusions
This study concludes that the M-pesa service faces a number of challenges. The major ones were found to be system delays, slow customer care response and help, and slow processing procedures especially during the weekends. From this, the study concludes that the capacity of the M-pesa platform is not efficient and therefore calls for improvement in capacity management. Specifically, management of capacity should address challenges of float availability and problems of storing enough cash and e-float to meet immediate customer needs.

The study observes that regular maintenance of the M-pesa system is one way through which the system’s capacity can be managed. This will help address problems of transaction delays and in the process improve services to customers through speedy support, and lower user charges.

5.3 Recommendations
From the findings of this study, it is recommended that Safaricom put in place an effective capacity management strategy for its M-pesa services. This should include regular system maintenance and introduce user-friendliness features. The cost of services was found to be too high for majority of customers, a factor which limits the reach of the service. It is also recommended that the M-pesa system investigate ways through which float management can be undertaken. Information and education with respect to products and services should also be undertaken through various promotional and outreach programmes.

The study found that Safaricom Ltd has tended to focus more on system capacity with less emphasis on human resources and subcontractors capacities. Beckman and Rosenfield (2008) have observed that the capacity of human resources is critical in most industries. Tangible costs associated with making changes in human resources include hiring, firing, training, and overtime costs. They have also noted that firms may also use subcontractors as a source of external capacity to buffer the effects of demand variability of their own internal resources, or simply to provide an alternative to internal capacity. From the results of this study, it is recommended that Safaricom investigate ways by which it can improve its M-pesa services through improvement of human resources capacity. The company should also consider the possibility of subcontracting capacity so as to deal with issues of demand variability and to
provide an alternative to internal capacity, especially when the M-pesa system is down or cannot cope with high demand at peak time.

Finally, it is recommended that Safaricom undertake a systematic capacity planning process for its M-pesa services. This should include an analysis of the overall company strategy and competitive environment, developing a demand forecast, and identification of capacity expansion alternatives. The company should also assess the implications of the adopted strategy, develop an implementation plan and finally measure the results of the adopted strategy as a feedback process.

5.4 Limitations of Study
In undertaking this study, a number of challenges were faced. Some of these included fear of victimization where respondents were afraid to provide factual information on the basis that information provided could be used against them. There were also concerns of confidentiality with respondents fearing that information provided might not be used for the purposes for which it was sought. Last but not least, the researcher found that some respondents were uncomfortable in sharing information for reasons that could not be explained.

5.5 Suggestions for further study
The study focused on effective capacity in mobile phone money transfer services. It is suggested that similar research be carried out for other competing services (such as Orange Money and YU-Cash). A comparison of the effectiveness of the M-pesa and the competing services should then be undertaken.

For policy makers, this study recommends a future study on the economic implication of mobile money transfers. Such a study should seek to establish the impact of mobile money transfer on macroeconomic variables of the GDP and employment. The study should aim at quantifying the economic implications of the money transfer services.

It is also proposed that future research be undertaken on the effect of mobile money transfer on rural-urban trends in Kenya. This is especially important because Safaricom has based its M-pesa services on the “send money back home” proposition where people in towns
can send money to their relatives still living in the rural areas. This study would also seek to evaluate the continued relevance of this proposition and to show whether it has out-lived its usefulness.
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APPENDICES

APPENDIX I

Guiding Questions for interviews

1. Do you use M-pesa services?
   Yes [ ]  No [ ]

2. How often do you use the service?
   Daily [ ]
   Weekly [ ]
   Monthly [ ]

3. Do you face any challenges while using The M-pesa service?
   Yes [ ]  No [ ]

4. What kind of challenges do you face when using M-pesa
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

5. How do you go about the challenge that you face while using the service?
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

6. How often do you experience delays?
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

7. What do you do whenever your experience the delays?
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   ----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

8. How often do you contact customer care when there are delays?
   ......................................................................................................................................................................................
9. How long does it take for the problem to be resolved?
...........................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................

10. How does change in technology affect the mobile money transfers to you?
...........................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................

**Questionnaire for system Users**

1. What is your role as an M-pesa system user?
   a) Agent [ ]
   b) Operator [ ]

2. Does M-pesa face any challenges?
   [ ] Strongly Agree [ ] Agree [ ] Disagree [ ] Strongly Disagree

3. M-pesa system delays are the greatest challenge facing the service, so you agree?
   [ ] Strongly Agree [ ] Agree [ ] Disagree [ ] strongly disagree

4. Do you as a system user encounter transactions that require manual completion?
   [ ] yes [ ] No

   If yes, how often do you complete transactions manually?

   [ ] Very Often [ ] Often [ ] Rarely [ ] Never

5. Do you experience any challenge with float availability?
   [ ] yes [ ] No
6. If yes, how often do you experience lack of float?
[ ] Very Often [ ] Often [ ] Rarely [ ] Never

7. Do you experience challenges in maintaining liquidity for M-pesa as an agent?

8. Which among these obstacles have you experienced in your storage for keeping enough cash and e-float on hand to satisfy your customers?
   Employee misconduct [ ]
   Physical security [ ]
   Working capital [ ]
   Travel cost and time [ ]

9. Have you experienced any credit risk while dealing with M-pesa agents prepaid service through your systems?
   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................

10. What are your challenges with transactions limits daily in order to mitigate against settlement risks?
   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................
   ........................................................................................................................................

Questionnaire for System Engineers

1. How often do you do system maintenance?
[ ] Very Often [ ] Often [ ] Rarely [ ] Never

2. How long does it take to do system maintenance?
[ ] Less than a day [ ] A day [ ] More than a day

3. What are the control measures to protect customers from being affected?
   Yes [ ] No [ ]
   If yes what are the control measures to protect both customers and system users?
4. Do you have backup servers for the M-pesa system?
   Yes [   ]  No [   ]

5. How do you handle transaction capacity issues?

6. How many concurrent transactions can the system process per second?

7. How do you handle delays?

8. Is the system able to comfortably handle the current growing transaction capacity?
   [   ] Strongly Agree  [   ] Agree  [   ] Disagree  [   ] strongly disagree

9. How often does the system go down due to system overload?
   [   ] Very Often  [   ] Often  [   ] Rarely  [   ] Never

10. How does the change in technology affect the system your systems?

11. How does the excessive registration and transactions affect capacity in mobile?
    Phone transfer services
Questionnaire for Customers

1. Do you use the M-pesa service?
[ ] Yes  [ ] No

2. How often do you use M-pesa?
[ ] Very Often  [ ] Often  [ ] Rarely  [ ] Never

3. Do you face any challenges when using money transfer service?
[ ] Yes  [ ] No

If yes, how often do you experience the challenges?
[ ] Very Often  [ ] Often  [ ] Rarely  [ ] Never

4. Which of the following challenges do you as an M-pesa customer face?
1) System Delays [ ]
2) Lack of enough float by agents [ ]
3) Unavailability of enough agents [ ]
4) Account minimum and maximum limit [ ]
5) If other, please specify? .................................................................
........................................................................................................
........................................................................................................

5. How do you go about the challenges that you face?
1) Call customer care [ ]
2) Wait until it resumes [ ]
3) Report to the nearest M-pesa agent [ ]
4) No action [ ]
6. How long does it take for the challenge to resolved?
[ ] Immediately  [ ] A day  [ ] A week  [ ] Never

7. How do you rate M-pesa service on a scale of 1-5?
Very low  [ ] 1. [ ] 2. [ ] 3. [ ] 4. [ ] 5 Very High

8. In how many ways do you use M-pesa money transfer service?
To receive money  Never [ ] Hardly[ ] Often[ ] Very often [ ]
To send money  Never [ ] Hardly[ ] Often[ ] Very often [ ]
To buy own airtime  Never [ ] Hardly[ ] Often[ ] Very often [ ]
To buy for another  Never [ ] Hardly[ ] Often[ ] Very often [ ]
To keep savings  Never [ ] Hardly[ ] Often[ ] Very often [ ]
Emergency transfers  Never [ ] Hardly[ ] Often[ ] Very often [ ]
To pay bill  Never [ ] Hardly[ ] Often[ ] Very often [ ]

11. Is it safer to use M-pesa compared to other modes of money transfers?
[ ] Yes  [ ] No

12. If yes do you rate the safety of using M-pesa transactions in a scale of 1-5?
Less Safe [ ] 1. [ ] 2. [ ] 3. [ ] 4. [ ] 5 Very safe

13. Is it more convenient to use M-pesa compared to other modes of money transfer?
[ ] Yes  [ ] No

15. If Yes, please explain
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