

with £12,000 covers both
 pinballcock
 road expenditure now £7500
 eudynamis pilot bread
 (see your despatch of 9 January)
 ulans
 mine and boiling survey of
 rabbit rat
 routes East and West of
 transportation
 Pamalomba (when will
 to twice
 Ordinance be passed on receipt of
 rancanella
 del - state from you stating that
 staff were showglass
 if has been passed in areas approved
 least keep
 advance can be raised (to some)
 capital - apiaster

SLK
 First letter to

EAST AFR. PROT
 10258

CA
 10258
 REC'D
 REG'D 4 MAR 15



Treasury
 1915
 3 March
 Last previous Paper.
 C.A.
 10117 S

Financial Situation

Presses for definite assurance that the
 £30,000 which W.O. are to be asked to refund
 has already been advanced by the Protectorate
 for the Indian troops. Add. shows as to allocation.

Mr. Regd. Sir G. Fiddes

On the general question of allocation,
 the Gov. told us in 8329 that certain heads
 of expenditure could not be divided, & that
 the G.O.C. (who has held this view all along)
 concurred. In our reply of 23 Feb (to which
 we are awaiting an answer) we asked
 who was paying them in double charge
 & how & when it was proposed to
 divide them. The Treasury have their
 telegrams. I think they are right in
 demanding a provisional basis of division.
 I submit that that is the course.

Copy too small to copy

W.S. 5/2/15
 J.S.R.
 5/11/15

Next subsequent Paper
 10583

Pl. see now on 10583

58

20/7/1915

TREASURY CHAMBERS
C O
10258
REC^d
REC^d 4 MAR 15
3rd March 1915

In the reply to this Letter the following Number should be quoted.

5203
15.



213

5488-12

Sir,

Gov
8-29-15

I have laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury Mr. Read's letter of the 23rd ultimo and its enclosures further relative to the financial position of the East Africa Protectorate.

In reply I am to request you to inform Mr. Secretary Harcourt that before they can ask the War Office to make a further repayment of £30,000 to the Crown Agents on behalf of the Protectorate, they must ask for an assurance that a sum of £30,000 (in excess of the £120,000 actually repaid) has already in fact been advanced by the Protectorate for the Indian troops alone. The Protectorate Government must clearly understand that no further advance from Protectorate funds are to be made for Indian troops and that no repayments can be made from War Office funds for military expenditure on troops other than the Indian forces.

Pending more accurate allocation, a provisional allocation of common charges, such as transport, must be made on an estimated basis in such a way as to avoid payments by the War Office for non-Indian services. This allocation is essential in order to prevent difficulties in adjusting charges between the Imperial and Indian Governments.

No 8329.

I am, Sir,
Your obedient servant,

J. H. Radley

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

213