

paper as under
head of laws Phillips
is quoted to, and
is said to have it
in addition to
good ? Rhodes said
it was to

EAST AFR. PROT	
35135	
381	
East African Trade Conference	
1915	
20th July	CARDO MANIFESTS
See previous Page	Enclosed copy of circular, between Agents of U.S. Lime and the Chief of Customs showing all details of latter. It does not appear that authorities appreciate difficulties of present position. Awaits promised communication.
Handy - 30588	<p><i>to [unclear] for [unclear] am?</i></p> <p><i>30588</i></p> <p><i>Gp. to [unclear] am?, Customs, + A.F.T., will info. Bremen except "C.P."?</i></p> <p><i>to J.R. 21/7/15</i></p> <p><i>U.Kad</i></p> <p><i>Lape. Customs + B.G. will have info of 30588 also</i></p> <p><i>CD 2.0.0</i></p> <p><i>stone J.D.R.</i></p> <p><i>21/7/15</i></p>

X - 3C
25 Londonwall Buildings

342

Gresham Street

London, S.E. 29th July, 1915.



To The Under Secretary of State for the Colonies,
Colonial Office,
Whitehall, S.W.

Sir,

Further to my communication of 10th inst. on the subject of the stringent measures adopted by the Customs Authorities at Mombasa, I beg to enclose herewith copy of correspondence exchanged between the Agents of the Union-Castle Line and the Chief of Customs at Mombasa, which clearly shows the attitude of the latter. I am to add that pressure is continually brought to bear on shippers here with a view of enabling the Lines to fulfil the various requirements of the Customs Authorities.

It does not appear, however, that the Authorities at Mombasa appreciate the difficulties under which the business of a Shipping Company is carried on at the present time.

I await the favour of the further communication referred to in your letter of 16th July.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

For A. G. Phillipson,

Secretary: East African Steam Conference.

7th May, 1915.

363

The Hon. The Chief of Customs,
Montreal.



Dear Sir,

Amendment of Manifests.

We beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter '9/74' dated 5th instant, on the subject of discrepancies in the manifests of steamers of the Union-Castle Line.

We have carefully noted the contents of your letter.

In connection with the points raised by you, and your reference to '51' of our principal's letter, we beg to state that immediately upon receipt of such supplementary and final ship's copies of Bills of Lading permission is requested to amend the manifests. Should such documents reach us in time to enable us to adjust the manifest before same is filed in the Customs, this procedure is always adopted by us. We can assure that the amendment of manifests is in no way due to dilatoriness on our part, the sole delay arising from the fact that necessary documents do not come into our possession until after the manifest has been lodged in the Customs.

If you will be good enough to refer to our principal's letter under the heading "Querying in Bills Lading" and find Article 10 of Bills Lading, you will observe that frequently documents have to be despatched some considerable time after the sailing of the steamer in which case, unless the passage restricted and irregular will, the papers do not reach us until some time after the arrival of the steamer, thus necessitating an amendment of the manifests already lodged in the Customs.

344

We respectfully suggest that in this connection, owing to the difficulties stated above, it is quite beyond our power to deposit a correct manifest in view of the present conditions whereby a steamer's manifest must be deposited in the Customs within twenty four hours of the arrival of the vessel.

We trust the above explanation will meet with your approval, and that you may now favourably consider the question of a refund of the sum deposited.

To act, Dear Sir,

Yours faithfully,

Aog. Shipping Manager.

35135

30 JUN 1915

RECEIVED
U.S. MAIL OFFICE
NEW YORK, N.Y.
30 JUN 1915.

345

I am in receipt of your letter dated of the 7th instant and I wish that you would be so kind as to permit me to repeat a warning contained with the same addressed to the Admiralty, 24 hours.

I gather the attitude that you have adopted will be taken over this matter. It is possible in other ports in the Empire for Steamship Companies to hand in correct manifest or at any rate manifests for more cargo than that handed to by me and I cannot for this reason subscribe to the suggestion you put forward that what is possible elsewhere is applicable here.

In ~~some~~ ^{of} ports the correctness of manifests at those ports is recognized far more than the negligence of the law of this metropolis.

You have not specifically stated them to me of which ships in regard to which deposits were destroyed, the title or liability. It did not occur to me to enable you to supply this information but I assume that this is the reason which you put forward for the cross examination in the manifest of the particular ships referred to.

YOU ARE FURTHER advised and warned that it is impossible to remedy this present unsatisfactory state of things.

With regard to 157 of your telegram, before I must point out that the duty to furnish the Agents appears to be not to furnish any statement on the part of shippers and (B), (C) and (D) under the heading "Cargo"

in Bills of Lading" and (a) of heading "Name Indorsement or
Bills of Lading" and it seems to me that to effect
improvement and compliance with the law of this country
pressure must be brought to bear on shippers. It is
as much the duty of mine what shippers will or will not do, my
duty is to see that the law is complied with and it is,
I suggest, for the Company to take such steps as may be
necessary to safeguard their ships from FILMS.

I am still unable to consider the question of a
refund of the deposit made.

I am, Dear Sirs,

Your obedient servant,

(Signed) W. V. Major.
Chief of Customs.