

relates to outrages, is

not supported by direct

endorse, but Lord Grey

decidedly & are
no doubt favorable to

to make

same use of the same

acts of women

EMB. BOSTON HOT.

54263

Rec'd.
Rec'd. 13 NOV 16

54263

Govt.
Belfield 630

1916

Oct 12.

Last previous Paper.

Govt
42040

LEAVE FOR RAILWAY STAFF

Trs copy letter from General Manager on subject
of arrangements to be made Recommends proposal for
grant of extra leave in respect of service beyond
30 months Offers no objection to monetary payment
in lieu of leave If approved it might be extended
to other departments.

W. Bottomley W. Butler

These are important
principles involved in these proposals,
and their treatment is very important
as they affect the ages of the
the present plan to be carried out may
be roughly summarized in the statement
that considerably more than half the
railway staff will be due for leave,
and, in some cases, have considerable
periods beyond the normal 30 months
by the end of this year; and that, at
the present rate, about 84% will be due
for leave (many having served abnormally
long time) by the end of next year.

Bonus
in lieu of
leave
3012
1916

Subsequent Paper

Govt
1916
July

His proposals are as follows:-

- (1) that members of the railway staff shall be allowed leave in respect of five successive years of 30 months;
- (2) that, except in war, when it becomes possible to grant leave on a large scale, officials shall, in the first instance, be given not more than 3 months' leave (exclusive of the periods of the voyages); this period of 3 months includes return leave; And
- (3) that they shall be allowed, up to the end of 1918, to compound for the period of leave foregone (after having been granted the period mentioned in (2)) by accepting a voluntary payment.

The S.M. presses strongly for the adoption of his proposals, and is supported by the Govt. If it were shown that they were in fact necessary for the efficient working of the department, the Council, I suppose, he no ~~hesitation~~^{hesitation} to accept them. But it does not seem to me that they are, ~~completely~~^{completely} dictated by necessity; and in respect, they appear to be entirely

to the interests of the staff.

In the first place, it would be ~~unbecoming~~^{unbecoming} ~~prudent~~^{prudent} to oppose proposal (1) under the proposal to allow voluntary payment by leave of leave was also approved. The present is really the most inopportune time possible for the introduction of the former concession. Because, in effect, it amounts merely to granting officials leave which they cannot hope, within any definite time, to enjoy. As far as the proposal goes in the abstract, I cannot see any objection except that it will put the railway staff on a footing with the rest of the Post Office in the coming leave for their services irrespective of its length. But, in the circumstances of the present case, it seems to me that it would be only introducing great complication by requiring the railway staff to take longer periods of leave which it will be impossible for them to take. This

This leads us to the consideration of the suggestion that monetary payment in lieu of leave (instead of 3 months + wages) should be allowed. The Govt's views in para 3 tend to dissuade civilian since he there expresses the obvious objection to the scheme, viz. that "normal" leave must be regarded as a period of relaxation required for the reconditioning of mental & physical powers; it cannot, in ordinary circumstances, be properly exchanged for a pecuniary consideration" - but, so far as I can see, the only argument in favour of the proposal is that it is a simple plan that would not be liable to offend an official who would wipe out the whole of his leave account on the first occasion of the grant to him of leave. I don't see the necessity of this. He can still be given only his 3 months + wages, & be allowed to carry on his deferred leave.

I am sure that the period of deferred leave will often be long - cumbersome;

the view (held by him) that we, if not compensated, be deprived so long that we will enjoy it when to retire. His view, I think, rests by us acting at the time of retirement would affect the amount of pension.

but there are undoubted advantages in an officer's having a lengthy period of deferred leave to draw upon years of service. The obvious occasions when such a privilege would naturally be that of illness when it would obviate the misfortune to an official of half pay or no pay ^{allowance}. Further, it seems that a permanent official will lose a considerable period of service for pension if he compounds his leave for monetary payment: this is clearly contrary to the best interest of the permanent staff. On the other hand, a large number of officers, who are to terminate within the comparatively near future, will then have the opportunity of enjoying all his long period leave. Furthermore, the practice of offering monetary payment in lieu of leave would be an undesirable dangerous one and has again, as previously Sir H. Belgrave took the bold step of actions by suggesting that the principle, if approved,

should be extended to other departments. I feel that the principle is one to be emphatically resisted.

I doubt if the practice of paying to W.A.F.F. & K.A.R. officers who rejoin their British regiments the balance of leave pay as a gratuity can be regarded as a precedent. Their position is totally different from that of permanent civil officials. And ~~and~~ I have always believed that the gratuity is given to avoid the drawing by an officer of pay from different dep'ts at the same time. On the other hand, there are cases in which the idea of giving money instead of leave has been definitely negatived, e.g. the case of Major Wallace* (1679 W.A.). However, he did finally get a gratuity equal to about half the pay of the leave which he had foregone on transfer from Uganda to the G. Coast; and, indeed, as the following committee was eventually appointed with regard to it, it was not practicable for him to take the extra leave foregone, at least, as it was

This is also, of
no question,
Office making
Leave later on

and also in case
Tendered

(P.D.C.M.)
proposal to
diff. shall give
gratuity in
balance

was ultimately decided, tends to support the present proposal. There were however, several reasons. In Major Wallace's case; and it was not the intention that the final grant of gratuity should be regarded as a precedent. It may be argued that war conditions also introduce special circumstances in the present case; but they are the same throughout the empire, certainly throughout all the E.A. Post's; and if we approve this principle in the case of the Ig. R.F. staff we shall probably have to extend it, not only to other dep'ts of the E.A.P., but to Nigeria, Nyasaland, etc., and perhaps Somaliland as well. The payment of a large number of such gratuities at more or less the same time would embarrass the financials of Uganda & Nyasaland considerably.

It seems, then, that members of the Ig. R.F. must resign themselves to using one element, i.e. the loss of their holidays for the time being. Such leave as is necessary for recuperation is being, and will continue to be, granted; and in the meantime, they

They are fortunate in being able to carry on an unlimited period of leave, which they can feel certain of enjoying some time, even though they have to wait until their retirement.

In that event, as I pointed out above, they will have so much longer service due to their credit for purposes of pension regulation.

I should propose, therefore,

(1) that, if at the present time the T.M. considers that it would gratify the staff to be allowed leave for service over 30 months, they may be allowed to do it, though it will very materially increase the length of periods of deferred leave.

Let the proposal to grant leave as far as possible to 3 months + voyages, be approved.

(2) that the suggestion of making payment in lieu of leave be rejected.

As to Mr. Cooke's minute, A.C. 26/12/16
The original proposal was as follows:-
as to the leave of European railway subordinate is now - a definite letter below has got at the time concerned for the duration of leave to that due for 30 months.
service, as Mr. Eastwood tells us that a pledge was given that detention beyond 30 months would be avoided whenever possible,
I think we are bound to give the right to extra leave, even though it may be inconvenient

to give it as leave & singularly difficult in cash.

253

On the latter point, I would certainly not adopt the principle of cash in lieu of leave generally, but the Uganda Railway is a special case. On the outbreak of war the staff was ruthlessly cut down to the amount expected to be sufficient for a much reduced war traffic, & later I was anxious to bring men forward for helping the ~~British~~ ^{British} forces for military purposes. These men will no doubt leave us when the local operations are over - if, indeed, they do not go when existing railway administration comes on Jan 1st, & we have the prospect, for the rest of the war, of a short staff which cannot be augmented & is much overdone for leave. In the special case I think a special arrangement is justifiable.

* Part of the greatest cause for giving additional leave comes in leave & the balance leave in the country where they happen to be posted and not abroad than the 3 months leave for health purposes.

W.C.B. 26/12/16

Mr. Read.

A decision is required on two points.

A. I cannot see any logic in denying to the subordinate European staff of the Railway as distinct from the other European officers of the Protectorate, the right to earn extra leave for a time prolonged beyond thirty months. I would certainly grant this privilege.

With respect
H. J. R.

B.

to give it as leave & irregular to give it
in cash.

253

They are fortunate in being able to carry on
an unlimited period of leave, which they can
feel certain of enjoying some time, even though
they have to wait until their retirement.

That event, as I pointed out above, they
will have so much longer notice also to
their credit for purpose of pension & gratuity.

I should propose, therefore,

(1) That, if at the present time the T.M.
considers that it would gratify the staff
to be allowed leave for service over 30 months,
they may be allowed to do so, except
with very reluctantly exercise the right of
limits of dependent leave.

That the proposal to limit leave as far as
possible to 3 months per voyage, be approved.

(3) That the suggestion of monetary payment
in lieu of leave be rejected.

Ans to A. in b. Cooke's minute,

A.C. 26/12/16

The original of 26/12/16¹³ as to leave of European
railway subordinate is missing - duplicate below
also has got at the time was used for the
mention of leave to start back for 30 months
service, & as Mr Eastwood tells us that a
pledge was given that duration beyond 30
months would be avoided whenever possible,
I think we are bound to give the right to
extra leave, even though it may be misnamed

On the latter point, I was certainly not
advised the practice of cash in lieu of leave
generally, but the Uganda Railway is a special
case. On the outbreak of war the staff
was ruthlessly cut down to the amount
expected to be sufficient for a much reduced
war traffic, & later it was necessary to
^{bring men from India for military purposes}
bring men from India for military purposes
These men will no doubt leave us when the
local operations are over - if, indeed, they do
not go when building railway administration
comes off, Jan 1st, 1917, and we have the
prospect, for the rest of the war, of a short
staff which cannot be augmented & is
much over one for leave. In the special
case I think a special arrangement is justifiable.

* Part of the gratuity could be given when the
war comes on leave & the balance carried on
the country when they know that they
will not need more than the 3 months leave
for health purposes.

W.C.B. 28/12/16

Mr. Read:

A decision is required on two points.

A. I cannot see any logic in denying to the
subordinate European staff of the Railway as distinct
from the other European officers of the Protectorate,
the right to earn extra leave for a term prolonged
beyond thirty months. I would certainly grant this
privilege.

make it
that it
a very much
letter part of
have 2 of us
H.G.R.

B.

So far I have
proposed by
order in
writing
Date

B. I am bound to say that I am all against the commutation of leave for a money payment. This would be a serious blow to our view that leave is not in the nature of an addition to emoluments, but is a means of recovering from the strain of one tour and preparing for the next. The suggestion of computation is now put forward ostensibly in order to make it easy for the local authorities to arrange the goings and comings of officers so as to suit the special situation created by war conditions. Subject to questions of health, which must of course be paramount in either case, - the same result can quite well be obtained by the local authorities deciding how much of the leave for which he has qualified an officer shall be allowed to take at any one time and how much he shall carry forward and take on some suitable occasion in the future. I think that this arrangement should be insisted on inflexibly.

I have always for myself been nervous of the precedent of King's African Rifles' officers recalled to the Army. We do give them, in the shape of ~~money~~^{a single} payment, pay for the balance of leave which they have to forgo, but there is not in their case any likelihood of their ever having an opportunity to enjoy that leave in the future, and this constitutes an essential difference between their case, and that of the officers of the ordinary Protectorate service.

Hab.

20 : 1

at once.

H. J. R.

29/2/16

AST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.
No. 639.

254
54263
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI,
RECD.
BRITISH EAST AFRICA

October 11th, 1916.

Sir,

With reference to my despatch No. 458 of the 25th of July, I have the honour to transmit herewith a copy of a letter from the General Manager of the Uganda Railway on the subject of the arrangements to be made for granting leave to the members of his staff.

General Manager UG.Rly.
16-9-1916.

2. The first proposal made by him, namely that those European officers who in the interests of the service have been detained for more than 30 months should be granted additional leave in respect of such period, notwithstanding the stipulation made by me in my despatch No. 945 of the 29th November 1913, and approved by you in your despatch No. 236 of the 13th March 1914, is one that I strongly recommend. I do not consider that a procedure adopted in time of peace, however suitable it may be in former circumstances, should necessarily be adhered to under the conditions now obtaining, and I trust that you will approve the grant of this concession until such time as the arrangements for the leave of the European Railway staff can be carried out as usual.

3.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

ANDREW BURNS LAW, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET.

1 LONDON, S. W.

3. The second suggestion is that members of the staff may be allowed to compound leave due to them by a monetary payment in lieu thereof, under certain conditions. My personal view is that, ~~inasmuch as~~ leave must be regarded as a period of relaxation required for the recruitment of mental and physical powers, it cannot in ordinary circumstances be properly exchanged for a pecuniary consideration; but in the special and onerous conditions which now exist, and in view of the necessity of maintaining the department at working strength, I shall offer no objection if you are prepared to entertain it in respect of leave earned prior to or during the continuance of local hostilities.

4. I understand from your despatch King's African Rifles No.66 of the 17th August, 1914, that officers of the King's African Rifles who are obliged to rejoin the Army before the expiration of the leave due to them in respect of their service in this Protectorate are granted a bonus equivalent to their pay for the period surrendered, and I consider that this precedent may properly be brought forward in support of the proposal.

5. It might possibly be desirable to extend this principle, if approved, to members of other departments of the Service.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant,

H. Conroy, Brigadier.

GOVERNOR.



ENCLOSURE

In Duplicate No. 639 of

220
H.G.B.

GRAPHIC ADDRESS:
MANAGER, N.R.B.
Entebbe,
P.O. Box No. 67.

Uganda Railway

RE REFERRED IN
OUR REPLY.14714/20

General Manager's Office,

Nairobi 13th September, 1916

S. S. A.

The Honourable The Chief Secretary,
to the Government,
East African Protectorate,
Nairobi.

Sir,

LEAVE OF UGANDA RAILWAY STAFF.

I have the honour to "live herein" in as extract from my report on the working of the Railway for the year 1915-16, -

- The staff employed have done remarkably good work. The conditions during the year have been very trying and very strenuous, and while fairly good health has been enjoyed on the greater part of the line there has been a very appreciable amount of sickness on the coast to Vol section, and also from Nakuru to the Lake. All leave had been stopped owing to the presence of some disease or the slight vibration of the road, and the assumption of normal conditions notwithstanding gave the problem of providing all the men being due for leave at the same time. I am afraid that it will take several years before the regular working of the railway in this respect becomes an accomplished fact, as at first those members of the staff who are now overdue - and many of them are long overdue for leave - will only be allowed to take such portion of their leave as is absolutely necessary to ensure the continuance of their health.

and in connection therewith to ask you to lay before His Excellency for his consideration, and I hope his approval, the proposal that the members of the subordinate staff may be allowed to accumulate leave beyond the period of 6 or 8 weeks, that is, the maximum now allowed, and also that they may be allowed to compound a portion of the leave due to them by receiving payment in lieu of leave in place of taking the leave actually due.

The subject of leave has been causing me the most serious and anxious consideration, and I feel that the acceptance of my proposals would do very much towards placing the services of the staff upon a satisfactory basis.

In 1914 the European members of the Railway staff were

for the benefit of the leave regulations that were in force for the Protectorate staff as a whole, but where was included in the introduction of the improved conditions the stipulation that no leave could be accumulated beyond what would be due for 30 months service. That period would be 5 or 6 months according to whether the term of service had been in a healthy or unhealthy district. The staff had been promised that every effort would be made to let him go on leave at the expiration of 30 months service, and that they would feel assured that no leave would be held over unless it was an absolute necessity in the interests of the service. The War has entirely changed a position that would have been fulfilled, and during the War virtually no leave has been given except on medical certificate. Many of the superior staff are allowed to accumulate leave if they are away over one tour of 30 months owing to the interests of the service compelling such retention, and I feel most strongly that the same benefit should be accorded to all members of the Railway staff who have been sent or will be kept from the commencement of the war up to the end of 1918 in any continuous tour of service over the maximum period of a 30 month tour.

At the present time the position of the Railway staff with regard to leave is -

Number due for the maximum period of leave prior to January, 1915	28
Number that became due during 1915	46
i.e. do. do. do. do. 1916	
to date	51
Number that will become due to the end of 1916	50
i.e. do. will become due 1917	50
do. do. do. do. do. do.	
On leave at present	21

From this it appears it will be seen that no less than 84 per cent of the staff is due or will become due for leave within the next 15 months. It is, of course, impossible that they can all be allowed to go, and if the period of leave granted to such members of the staff as may be sent is to be leave be arbitrarily controlled, as it must be, we find in what the period carried over must be wasted at some later date, and also in many cases leave will be taken on the second occasion from day off leave being taken on shorter periods of service than the maximum tour in order to bring the service generally into due and proper order. This will not be conducive to either efficient or economical working, as members of the staff will be absent for long periods and so lose touch

25571

affairs, and it will necessitate a much larger staff being engaged than would otherwise be necessary in order to find relief and to carry on the work of the Railway in proper manner.

I therefore beg to propose that members of the staff be allowed to compound leave due to them by receiving payment in lieu of leave for such period of leave as they desire, provided that in no case shall the period of leave be less than three months exclusive of the time spent on the voyage, and that the right to compound leave under conditions heretofore agreed shall be allowed to continue up to the end of 1918.

I do not consider that in the interests of the men, and the service, and also to ensure the Railway getting the work possible out of its staff, a shorter term than 3 months should be adopted. With the time taken on the voyage this would mean about 4½ months without pay, and that will be sufficient to restore a condition of health able to continue service for the next tour, after which normal conditions will once more apply. Any member of staff leaving the service would not be allowed to compound, and any member of the service resigning within a period of 12 months from the expiration of leave taken under these conditions would have to refund any money received as compensation in lieu of leave, provided the resignation was caused or necessitated by a medical board.

I feel that I cannot attach too much importance to the action of my proposals, and I cannot see any other way whereby we can extricate ourselves from the most serious and unsatisfactory position that the war has enforced upon the service.

Sgd. B. Eastwood
Colonel,
GENERAL MANAGER,
UGANDA RAILWAY.

S2



Bowning Street,

2 January .1917.

Sir,

DRAFT.ST. AFRICA PROTECTORATE

(54263)

MURRON
SIR H. CONWAY BELFIELD, K.C.M.G.
ac., ac., ac.MINUTE

Mr. Butler 30 12 16

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. Grindle.

Mr. Lambert.

Mr. Read.

Sir G. Fiddis

Mr. Steel-Maitland.

Mr. Bonar Law.

I have the honour to acknow-

ledge the receipt of your despatch No.
639 of the 12th of October, relating
to the leave of the members of the

Uganda Railway Staff.

2. I approve of your proposal
that the subordinate European Staffof the Railway should be a special
war measure be allowed additionalleave in respect of service beyond the
usual tour of 30 months, ^{until Jan 1st} only.

Arrangements can be made for the leave

of the European Railway Staff to be
carried out as usual. It is of
course understood that this concession
is purely temporary and is to be
confined within the limit of time
indicated.

indicated.

I am unable

3. I regret that I do not see my way
to accept the proposal that members of the
Railway staff should be allowed to compound leave
due to them for a money payment. Any such
arrangement would seriously impair the
principle to which I attach very great
importance, that leave is not in the
nature of an addition to emoluments,
but is a means of recovering from the strain
of one tour and preparing for the next.

The suggestion now made is intended to
make it easy for the railway management
to arrange the goings and comings of
the staff, so as to suit the special
situation created by war conditions.

Subject to considerations of health,
~~which~~ must of course be paramount in

either case, the same result can be
obtained by you deciding how much
of the leave for which an officer
^{he}
an officer
has qualified, he shall be allowed
to take at any one time, and how
much he shall carry forward and

take on some suitable occasion in the
future. Such an arrangement may
involve a certain measure of disappoint-
ment to the officers concerned, but
they are not alone in having to post-
pone personal considerations to the
exigencies of the ~~service~~. They will
at any rate enjoy at one time or another
all the leave which they have earned.
This is more than it has been possible
to arrange in some other branches of
the public service.

4. It is true that the practice
has been adopted of making a single
money payment to officers of the
King's African Rifles recalled to the
~~as compensation for~~ Army ~~for~~ the balance of Colonial leave
which they have to forgo. There is
not in this case any likelihood of
the officers concerned having an
opportunity to enjoy that leave in the
future, and this constitutes in my
opinion an essential difference between
their case, and that of officers of the

indicated.

3. I regret that I ~~do not see my way~~
^{am unable} to accept the proposal that members of the
 railway staff should be allowed to compound leave
 due to them for a money payment. Any such
 arrangement would seriously impair the
 principle to which I attach very great
 importance, that leave is not in the
 nature of an addition to emoluments,
 but is a means of recovering from the strain
 of one tour and preparing for the next.

The suggestion now made is intended to
 make it easy for the railway management
 to arrange the goings and comings of
 the staff, so as to suit the special
 situation created by war conditions.

Subject to considerations of health,
^{which} must of course be paramount in

either case, the same result can be
 obtained by ~~you~~ deciding how much
 of the leave for which ~~an~~ officer
^{the}
~~an officer~~
 has qualified, ~~he~~ shall be allowed
 to take at any one time, and how
 much he shall carry forward and

take on some suitable occasion in the
 future. Such an arrangement may
 involve a certain measure of disappoint-
 ment to the officers concerned, but
 they are not alone in having to post-
 pone personal considerations to the
 exigencies of the service. They will
 at any rate enjoy at one time or another
 all the leave which they have earned.
 This is more than it has been possible
 to arrange in some other branches of
 the public service.

4. It is true that the practice
 has been adopted of making a single-
 money payment to officers of the
 King's African Rifles recalled to the
~~as compensation for~~
 Army ~~for~~ the balance of Colonial leave
 which they have to forgo. There is
 not in this case any likelihood of
 the officers concerned having an
 opportunity to enjoy that leave in the
 future, and this constitutes in my
 opinion an essential difference between
^{their} their case, and that of officers of the

ordinary Protectorate service.

I have, etc.,

(SD)

WMA

Can
BE

Las
vo