

EAST ASIAN PROT  
20642

REC  
REC  
2 MAY 16

Foreign Office

1916

30 Sept.

Last previous Paper.

15436

Export trade with U.S. States

Protect by U.S. Consul at Hankow

States that no similar complaint has been rec'd from U.S. Cons. & consider that it would not be desirable to discuss questions involved with the Consul. There is, however, nothing in consular treaty of 1833 which conflicts with terms of Cons. notices re 1/16.

To: Read.

? Ack: 15436 & send for info. Copy of dft. on that matter & this reply.

W.H.P. 2/27/16

at once.

H. J. R.

2/27/16

W.H.P.

Copy for Conf. to M. Wright

Subsequent Paper.

In any further communication  
on the subject, please quote

No. 67566/X.

and address—  
The Under Secretary of State,  
Foreign Office,  
London.

222

FOREIGN OFFICE

20642

April 30 1916.

Recd 2 VA 16

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 8th April, 15436/1916, enclosing a copy of a confidential despatch from the Governor of the East Africa Protectorate and a copy of a protest submitted by the United States Consul at Mombassa regarding the Export Trade with the United States of America, and copies of two official gazettes, referred to in the American Consul's protest, I am directed by Lord R. Cecil to return the copies of the official gazettes and to state that no similar complaint has been received from the United States Government, and that in his opinion it would not be desirable to enter into a discussion of the questions involved with the American Consul at Mombassa.

I am, however, to point out that, so far as Lord R. Cecil is aware, there is nothing in the United States Muscat Treaty of 1833 which conflicts with the terms of the Government Notices Nos. 38 and 103 published in the Gazettes referred to.

I am,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

humble servant,

*Maurice de Bunsen*

The Under Secretary of State,  
Colonial Office.