

EAST AFR. PROT

28983

28983

JUN 7 1917

O.A.F. Tel
Boumou 1917

1917

6 June

WESTGOUTSOME HANDELSA PLANTAS N GEMEINSCHAFT

TRANSFER SURPLUS FUNDS WOMBANA TO TANDA

Last previous Paper

Admn
10536
452

Mr Nyat requests transfer of Rs. 25,000 to carry on work on plantations. Ask if he is to comply.

~~Mr Nyat~~

Mr W. D. ...

Handed to 11 June 1917
From the Secy 452 14 June 1917

Tel. to O.A.F. that he is
authorized to do what he
has to do, & please
let him know by a brief
reply. enclosing copy
of case no 10536

257 8/6/17
6/8/17
6/17

Next subsequent Paper

1

from becoming a party to the unlawful use of an opiate by means of a prescription. It hardly appears likely that a Veterinary Surgeon would be asked to supply, by means of a prescription for an animal, opiates for the use of the animal's owner or master. Moreover the fact that all the Veterinary Surgeons practising in the Protectorate are officers of the Government affords some guarantee that such a misuse of a prescription will not occur or, if it does occur, that it will be suitably dealt with.

all
4/10/78

4. With reference to the letter dated the 29th of August from the Registrar of the Institute of Chemistry of Great Britain and Ireland, enclosed in the despatch under reply, the definition of a duly qualified analytical chemist will be amended at the first opportunity.

5. I have to express my regret at the delay in replying to the despatch.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant,



ACTING GOVERNOR.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE

No. 309

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

NAIROBI,

BRITISH EAST AFRICA

June 5th, 1917.

33757

JUN 23 1917

Sir,

4/10
309638

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your predecessor's despatch No. 634 of the 6th of September on the subject of the Abuse of Opiates Prevention Amendment Ordinance 1916.

2. In drafting the Ordinance the Attorney General was of opinion that the case of a Veterinary Surgeon prescribing an opiate for an animal was somewhat different from that of a medical practitioner prescribing an opiate for a patient and that therefore a provision on the lines of section 12 (4) of the principal Ordinance was not necessary in the case of a Veterinary Surgeon.

3. The provisions of this section of the principal Ordinance were laid down with the object of preventing a medical practitioner from

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

WALTER LONG, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.