EAST AFR. PROT

42508

42508.

Frage Office

1017

25 aug

300 4002

acquisition of land by allow

Regulation having regent to Service and Count of Hamilton in being expected.

Mr Bottomiey, M. Butter

Not a very helpful letter. The Foreign

Office and concurred in the suggested Ordinance in 39060, but the reference to the Berlin Act of 1865 has evidently induced them to revise their epinion.

As has already been pointed out, it appears that so far as the acts of these particular Swedish subjects are concerned if it can be established that there is howelle association on their part, we can take action on the lines suggested by the Board of Trade (3852) he do not know that there are any other cases in which neutrals/sought to purchase land in East Africa at the present time, but it would obviously be very undesirable to rely on the possibility of taking action as in 30000 only and not to decide the matter once and for all as would be the case if the suggested Ordinance were brought into force.

I think the only thing to do is to inform the Adting Governor fully as to the position 1.e. that the Board

Next unbequent Paper,

As Man

Trade have sugge the Foreign Office should make expe wous enquiry Stockholm as to the associations of the Swedes concerned with a view to action being taken in this direction. That the Foreign office state as in this letter (42508) with regard to the proposed Ordinance. And that if the Governor thinks that the possibility of action as suggested by the Board of Trais is Insufficient, the Secretary of State is prepared to authorise him to emast the Ordinance in spite of the international objections, and ask him to let us have his views at the earliest possible date Copy of talegran should go to themp. 0. L.F. En 28/8/7 west 30/8/9 Rund all that we triced as proposed Has Lapree Even if we evention

probably have knowled and interest of the state of the st

197

August 25th. 1917.

42508

andlate.

Sir:-

I am directed by Mr. Secretary Balfour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 40321/191 of the 20th. instant, with regard to the proposed enactment as a War measure of a special Ordinance in the East Africa Protectorate to prevent the transfer of lands to Aliens during the war.

- pointed out by the Attorney General of East Africa, the proposed legislation is inconsistent with Article 5 of the Berlin Act of 1885. It is also inconsistent with many of the British Commercial Treaties. Mr.Balfour however, presumes that there are substantial reasons in favour of the measure, and that the ordinance can be justified on the facts as a war measure.
- 3. If the purpose in view could be achieved by some other means, which would not raise objections on treaty grounds. It would unloubtedly be as well to avoid the latter. On the other hand if the measure is really required, there seems no other alternative but to ignore the treaty provisions and to make the best defence possible based on the temporary and transient character of the effectment in the event of any complaints being received from Foreign Governments.

4. His Majesty's Minister at Stockholm
has been instructed by telegraph, to expedite
his enquires in regard to Baron von Slixen Finecke
and count Gustav Hamilton.

I am,

sir.

Your most obedient, humble Servant,

Mauria de Manne

CAT

PARAPHRASE
TELEGRAM. From the Secretary of State for the Octonies to
The Officer Administering the Gavernment of the
Sect Africa Protectorate.

(Sent 1.10 p.m. 4th September 1917

American State of the State of

4th September.

With reference to your oypher telegram of Fith.
August as to acquisition of land by aliens. Board of Trade
state that in similar case here they would be disposed to
enquire into associations of prospective purchasers and if
suspicion confirmed to warm any interested party in this
country that his engaging in the transaction might expose
him to penalties attaching to trading with the enemy.

Expeditious enquiry at Stockholm is being made by.

Foreign Office as to associations of Swedich subjects concerned
with a view to action on lines suggested by the Board of
Trade being taken.

As regards the proposed Ordinance Foreign Office state that it is inconsistent with Article 5 of Berlin Act and also with many British Commercial Treaties and that if purpose could be achieved by other means it would be well to avoid objections on treaty grounds, but that if measure is really required there seems no alternative but to ignore treaty previsions and in the event of any complaints being received from Foreign Governments make best defence possible based on the temporary and transient character of spectment.

If possibility of action as suggested by Board of Trade is considered insufficient by you. I am prepared to authorize enactment of Ordinance in spite of international objections. Telegraph at earliest possible date what are your views.