

14287
14287

EAST AFR. PROT

REC'D
MAY 6 1919

319

14287

Colonial

1919

Prop.

Treatment of Indians

~~Colonial~~ Wedgwood — To ask the Secretary of State for the Colonies, whether his attention has been called to the resolutions of the British Colonists Associations in British East Africa asking to have Indian immigration restricted and that Indians be denied the franchise; whether, in view of the part that has been taken by British Indians in developing the country and by the Indian Army in defending it from the enemy, the loyal Indians of East Africa can be assured that no steps whatever in such a direction will be taken without the sanction of the India Office and the approval of Parliament. Wednesday 12th March.

From my

J. G. Wedgwood

Dear Sirs
I have the honor to thank you for your favor of the 1st instant and to inform you that I have been requested to forward to you the following statement:

As regards Indian immigration
in East Africa a petition has been
sent off to the big Col. Assembly
and also to the Govt. for his own as to
the restriction of Indian immigration
to limit the number of Indians in
order to avoid over population
area.

On urgent application, the
paper or book of 100 pages
(a) to submit this letter to the
Government Council & (b)
introduce certain representations
(notations) in the Bill with the object
of getting a provision to be made

Subsequent Paper

by large delegation before us waiting
for the speaker. The speaker is to speak
on the minutes on Feb 14 1937. These
minutes supported the view of the Local
Selected Committee & the Acting Govt that
Indian representation should be by
commissioner & not by tier. ~~and Government~~
In effect agreement ~~was~~

Red Cedar *Juniperus* *virginiana*

1860-1861

the introduction of electors by
the Council & the
electors under the
old or the new Council have
retained by a joint vote of the
and other Sections of the Community,
and the question whether their
representation should be secured by
election or, as on the present Council,
by nomination is one of purely
local concern which will be decided
according to such arrangement as
will be deemed the services of
members best fitted to represent
the various sections of the
Indian community.

see the Indians off, and a copy of his
748937/17. I do not know what he
will say to my first question of

Colonial AMENDMENT

introducing new laws in the colonies
has been introduced in the Legislative
Council of India on 1st July 1908.
The object of the bill is to give
the Indian Government power to
restrict immigration from India
after consultation with the effective
heads of the various Indian communities
and intersects of the Indian community
upon the Legislative Council of the Protectorate and I hope
soon to be in a position to give the House more definite
information on the subject. There is no intention of
introducing restrictive legislation against immigration
from India.

In Washington night 20th & 21st June 28 1908

Wm. L. Marston 7/3
sent to Sir M. S. & T. C. 1908

22 March 1912

Mr. Colenso BISHOPWOOD asked the Under-Secretary of State for the Colonies whether his attention has been called to the resolutions of the British Colonists' Associations in British East Africa asking to have Indian immigration restricted and that Indians be denied the franchise; whether, in view of the part that has been taken by British Indians in developing the country and by the Indian Army in defending it from the enemy, the loyal subjects of East Africa can be assured that steps whatever in such a direction will be taken without the sanction of the Colonial Office and the approval of Parliament?

The UNDER-SECRETARY OF STATE for the COLONIES Lieutenant-Colonel A. G. L. M. have not seen the resolutions referred to which my hon. and gallant Friend has, but he may rest assured that the Secretary of State is most mindful of the services of the Indian Army in the defence of East Africa or in the loyal attitude of the resident Indian community. Measures are under consideration for securing the effective representation of the views and interests of the Indian community upon the Legislative Council of the Protectorate, and I hope soon to be in a position to give the House more definite information on the subject. There is no intention of introducing restrictive legislation against immigration from India.

Parry

66/

1912-3-17

aff