

EAST AFR PROT  
27597

27597  
MAY 7 1919

Assisted Passages to wives of Local Forces

1919

May

previous Paper.

OH

States no assistance can be offered resulting in charge against Army funds. Suggests that Min. of Shipping be asked to reduce fares in such cases.

<sup>Mr. Moffatt</sup>  
Mr. Calver by Mr. Bottrill

I do not think the W.O.'s suggestion that we should ask the Min. of S. to help is useful. "The Colonial Office Scheme" is presumably the Overseas Settlement Office scheme to assist ex-service men's ~~to~~ <sup>parsons, who have a</sup> guaranteed of work in the Colonies, and I should hardly think this scheme could also

be made to help the wives of ex-local forces men.

The only thing left seems to be to ask

the Gov. if the S.A.P. Govt. would be

prepared to bear the cost, as for the

wives of Govt. officials,

? telegraph as at A.

copy from file 665. 17 July 19 39636

next subsequent Paper.

39636

minute below. The first question principle that assisted  
passage should be granted & there was no suggestion that  
the cost should come from unapproved funds.

? Copy our letter to W.O. in this reply to Gov., saying  
cost must be borne by E.A.P. funds & that we are informing  
those who apply here for assistance that a refund of the  
excess will be granted them on their arrival in E.A.P.; &  
inform those who have been told in further communication  
will be sent of the decision. J.G.  
1951

The intention was that the incidence  
of the cost should be borne by the  
Government & not the individual  
to a large degree between the  
Government & the individual  
cases & which we must definitely  
assist & in some cases in cash  
funds without involving the Gov.

for the Government to proceed as the Cadder

20/5/51

Mr. Manning's letter

This is a return of limited value -  
the local people has joined up locally  
& the help has come home because the  
land has been too safe a return.  
I should like to know first of all  
whether the volume of  
work has been administered  
by the D.O. has no bearing on them

W.O.  
E.A.  
M.

Wed 13.5.19

Mr. [Name]

If these men had come home & enlisted they would have been entitled to be repatriated at the public charge. They did not come home, but went on active service locally. Meanwhile circumstances made it necessary (so I understand) that their wives & families should come to England.

as much classed as reserve as they can have brought in

Thinking of the fact that these people ought to be sent back of the cost of expenses as repatriation cases.

but letters as definite Wed.

If this could be arranged, they should be granted leave & home passage at the lowest fare rate.

Treasury concurrence will be necessary & Col. [Name] should see

14.5.19

I think it should be... approved, but there may be some... approval to the same purpose in the earlier minutes. I wish to have a general practice, if it can be done.

16.5.19 above Wed. 14.5.19

If these E.A. local press fall within  
the definition governing the press to which  
the O.S. scheme applies then wives +  
children are entitled to the amount

of the dearest-Grand-Visage (I think it  
was called that) the old int-cessing  
to 3rd class on lines where white  
passengers were treated below 2nd) + I see

no reason for taking it up with the  
Treasury (in view of consideration being EA.)  
Treasury. If they do not we might

ask Treasury to consider their case, but  
not under O.S. scheme.

There have been previous letters from the  
Army - E.A. in reply for longer for  
these wives. These will be looked up +  
if the law falls under the O.S. definition  
they will be informed of the possibilities  
available

Jan 24/5 done

~~Mr. Spindler~~  
Mr. Bostwick

Col. Amery

Our scheme, like the repatriation  
scheme, is not intended to apply to Colonial

Forces, so that I am afraid we  
cannot deal with these cases.

I find however that in actual  
practice the repatriation ~~of~~ has been  
extended to these Colonial Forces which are  
paid from Imperial Funds but that wives

are very often the ~~same~~ ~~as~~ ~~the~~ ~~same~~

and in this country after the outbreak of

~~the~~ ~~war~~ ~~found~~ ~~the~~ ~~forces~~ ~~and~~ ~~are~~

themselves entitled to repatriation ~~the~~

~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~forces~~ ~~is~~ ~~presumably~~ ~~on~~ ~~this~~

~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~E. A. cases cannot be~~

~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~repatriation scheme~~

though, as I understand, the E. A.

Local Forces are in some cases to be paid

partly from Imperial Funds.

Some of them might however be

Dealt with under our scheme provided that

we could follow the lead of the repatriation  
Committee and deal with Criminal Forces  
shall not be paid from Imperial Funds.

I have spoken to Mr. Waterfield  
of the Treasury and he suggests that the

of course  
is known and  
W.S.P.

Treasury should be approached officially on  
the subject.

The Treasury will no doubt  
decide whether it is preferable that these

cases should be dealt with by extending

the repatriation scheme or the wider

all-these scheme for and then, or in

some special manner outside these schemes.

Apr. 29. 1919.

From the point of view of getting accounts  
done I suggest that, in spite of my  
previous remarks, we start by adopting these

Covers as E.A.P. cases for the purpose  
of the limited privilege with which <sup>187</sup>  
are ~~universally~~ covered (cases  
X. the same have for coming). This  
will dispose of the bonded cases and  
the question of ~~the~~ E.A. forms  
generally the O.S.O. arrangements  
can be put to the Treasury separately  
- & I think by the O.S.O.

The risk of Uganda or Nyasaland  
cases of the kind X arising now  
is not very great. (I class the  
Mogash case below as an E.A.P. case  
as ~~it~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~E.A.~~ ~~data~~ ~~books~~  
& not as a bonded Uganda form).

W. J. P. 30/5/79

I think we ought to put a special rule  
in the Treasury on this case & would  
like to see it in one or more of the  
Plants  
for the same

for Plants  
to the  
P.M.

Any further communication on this subject should be addressed to—

The Secretary,  
War Office,  
London, S.W.,

and the following number quoted.



War Office,

London, S.W.

13/East Africa/74 (S.R.L.A.)

✓ 6<sup>th</sup> May, 1919.

Sir,

I am commanded by the Army Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. <sup>Gen</sup> 21704/1919 dated the 25th April, 1919, on the subject of granting assistance, in certain cases, towards the cost of return passages to East Africa for the wives of Members of the East African Local Forces, and to inform you that the matter has been referred to the Repatriation Committee, and on their recommendation the Council regret that they are unable to afford any assistance which would result in a charge against Army Funds.

The Council suggest that the Ministry of Shipping be approached with a view to a reduction in fares in such cases, or the Colonial Office scheme, which it is understood is in contemplation, may be of assistance in this matter.

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

*[Handwritten signature]*

Under Secretary of State,  
Colonial Office,  
S.W.1.



W.O.

27597 E.A.G.

189

*W*

The Secretary,  
The Treasury.

HR 616

Sir,

18

*19th June 1919*

*Wrightson 7/6/19*

*Wrightson 7.6.19*

*Ernie 7*

*Henry 12/11/19*

I am directed by the Secretary to transmit to you, to be laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury, copies of correspondence with the War Office relative to the question of granting assistance, in certain cases, towards the cost of return passages to East Africa for the wives of members of the East African local forces.

It would appear that the recommendation of the Repatriation Committee in the matter is based on the fact that Army Council Instruction No. 278 of 1919 lays down that wives are entitled to claim repatriation at the public expense "provided that the husband's name ever after the outbreak of war and joined His Majesty's Forces and are themselves entitled to repatriation," since it is understood that the Repatriation Committee are, in practice, dealing with certain Colonial Forces who are paid from Imperial Funds.

Under the Overseas Settlement scheme, Colonial Forces are excluded so that the cases now in question fall outside the scope of that scheme also.

Lord Milner is, however, of opinion that in the case of members of the Colonial Forces which are paid from Imperial Funds, wives and families should be entitled, in view of the military service of their husbands, to

*17/6/19*  
*15/9/19*

*think we shall not much further limit expense at the point of (any amount) 12/7.6.19*

at the expense of Imperial Funds

free passages to the parts of the Empire in which they were previously domiciled. This privilege might be granted by extending the scope either of the Repatriation Scheme or of the Oversea Settlement Scheme. If, however, Their Lordships are of opinion that, as a matter of policy, such an extension of either of those schemes would be inadvisable, Lord Milner would suggest, for their consideration, that free passages should be granted under some special ad hoc arrangement.

Clarendon G. GRINDLE

W.O. 29597/19 E.A.P.

B.S. Dequary 1911

29/1/1915

*W. Dequary*

Sir,

I am etc to request you to inform the L.C. of the Treasury that he has received, since the conclusion of hostilities, a number of applications for the view of members of the E. African local forces, domiciled in E.A., for financial assistance towards the cost of their passage back to E.A., the claims <sup>are</sup> based on the arrangements made for the repatriation of soldiers of the Imperial Force, who came from abroad to serve, & of their families, and on the greatly increased cost of passage between the U.K. & E.A. at the present time.

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

- Mr. 15.5.19
- Coltr 15.5
- Mr. 16.5.19
- Mr. 16.5.19
- Mr. Lambert
- Mr. Head
- Mr. Kibson
- Mr. G. G.
- Mr. G. G.

2. After ~~consultation~~

the consultation with the Gov. of the S.A.P. & other considerations

2

Considers that it would be equitable to grant assistance to certain cases where the family return to England was directly caused by the husband's having joined the forces, &c. when, in the absence of the husband it was impossible for the family to be left on a detached farm, and they had to be home for the sake of the child.

It is of opinion that these cases may probably be dealt with under the scheme, advised by the Director General of the War Office, for granting land, and passage, at the Coast Guards' expense, to the families of those who are proceeding to sea, &c. &c.

It is proposed to use S.A. funds, and I am desirous to request you to enquire whether Lord Leake is agree to the adoption of this course.

Provisionally in S.A. The number of assisted passages allowed may be limited to the number of...

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

- Mr.
- Mr.
- Mr.
- Mr. Grindle.
- Sir H. Lambert.
- Sir H. Read.
- Sir G. Fiddes.
- Col. Amery.
- Lord Milner.

number of members of family who were domiciled in S.A. before ~~the~~ <sup>he joined</sup> the forces, and who are returning there.

It is not possible to frame an estimate of the cost which would be involved, but judging from the number of applications received at which the condition

stated in para 2 of his letter would apply, it would be <sup>not</sup> large.

while at present ~~the~~ applications have so far been ~~not~~ <sup>not</sup> ~~received~~ <sup>received</sup> almost entirely by residents in the S.A. only. I am etc.

and minute they...  
 not mean that the...  
 should pay...  
 if they...  
 in the full...  
 of the...  
 Scheme ]