

EAST AFR. PROT.  
35232

C O  
35232  
M.C.P.  
RES. 3 JUN 19

ar  
1919  
June  
14

Uniform Allowance and Gratuity Uga Rly.

S.R.F.A.

agrees no case for gratuity (with) allow not  
rent in 120 may be assumed chargeable to  
individuals' benefit.

By: [Signature]

It is to be noted that the question was

agreed to be [unclear] and [unclear] of this [unclear]

with [unclear] that the [unclear] of [unclear] and that the [unclear] offer

of [unclear] and [unclear] taken accordingly

15 months

1377  
11/14

then to [unclear] allowance of 20 is ample  
in fact I had prefer to have it a [unclear]  
[unclear] to be [unclear] not exceeding 20 -  
[unclear] that if enquiry shows that the  
[unclear] cap was [unclear], a smaller  
sum should be given.

Subsequent Paper.

2. I do not see why East. is to bear  
the cost & not the C.C. etc.  
It is all part of war expenditure -  
If this view is taken we should refer  
back to W.O. & make them  
accept the charge for C.C. etc  
before writing to East.

That  
was

17/8/19

Accepted etc etc

18/8/19

One of the things that has been  
decided about war expenditure  
is that the "Uganda Reg. is not a  
Common organization". This charge  
must follow evidence of a  
to be borne by the East.

C.C.A.  
18/5/19

Othman's proposal

19/8/19

at once

WAR OFFICE,  
LONDON, S.W.1.

12 June, 1919.

Instructions on this  
used to—  
S.W.1.  
quoted.  
WIA 0169

*g*

C. O.  
55232  
REC.  
3 JUN 19

(2.)

*g*

With reference to your letter No. 22204/1919,  
20th May, 1919, relative to officers of the Uganda  
Army, I am commanded by the Army ~~Secretary~~ <sup>General</sup> to request  
that they concur in Lord Milner's view that there  
is no case for the issue of a war gratuity but that some  
allowance might be issued. In view, however, of  
small amount of kit which it was necessary to provide,  
I consider that any allowance issued should not exceed

It is presumed that your Department agree that  
expenses should be charged to Protectorate funds and  
to the Common Charges Account or Army funds. I am to  
report that the Governor might be so informed.

I am,

Your obedient servant.

*W. W. White*

Under Secretary of State,  
Colonial Office,  
S.W.1.

to  
3523719 Cal

~~83~~

24

Mr. Nothel  
Cal  
no 553

24 June 1919

Sir

reference of  
p. 111

I have the honour to  
ack the recd of your despatch No  
100 of the 11th March 1919  
in relation to the application  
of certain G.R. Officers for  
transfer under their present  
was referred to the C.O.

2 June

I have a copy of a letter  
which has now been recd  
from that Dept. & I have  
to inform you that I  
concur in the above  
views

As regards the uniform  
therefore approval of the  
allowance  
officer concerned

~~was a responsible body &~~  
I considered that the amount should  
be fixed at less than £20 if  
enquiry shows that the  
overall expenditure incurred  
for the purpose was less than that  
shown.

4. The cost of the claim must be  
charged to the fund following  
the incidence of pay of the officers  
concerned in accordance with  
the agreement with the U.O. in  
regard to military tribunals.