EAST AFR PROT RECT REG 3: AN 19 1919 5242

JHR/CB. PREECE, CARDEW, SNELL & RIDER,

WESTMINSTER,S. W. I

30th January, 1910.

Our Ref. 4

o Under Secretary of Stat Colonial Office.

Blectric Forer Ordinates

547 57/1918.

Purther to my Report of the 22nd January I wow have pleasure in amplifying my remarks on the effect which the Ordinance is likely to have on the proposed revision of th Concession of the Mairobi Electric Power Company.

In this connection I would also refer you to my letter of 19th October, 1917.

Taking certain of the Clauses of the new draft Concession in their order :-

Clause 2 limits the period of the Concession to 8th April, 1956. This would give a period of only 37 years from 1919, which is considerably less than the maximum period of 50 years stated in Section 10 (2) of the Ordinance. This plause also infers that the Company wight be subject to competition after the end

Clause 16 1 have already referred, in my letter 19th October 1917, to the fact that this clause dow not state definitely that the company shall be subject to future legislation, but I presume that

6689

ONE W VICTORIA SPOR (3 LINES

JER/CB. CARDEW, SMELL & RIDER,

B.QUEEN ANNE'S CATEL

30th Jamary, 1919.

our Ref. 4

The Under Secretary of State, Colonial Office, S.W. 1.

Securic Forer Ordinates, 1918

547 57/1918.

Further to my Report of the 22nd January, I now have pleasure in amplifying my remarks on the effect which the Ordinance is likely to have on the proposed revision of the Concession of the Mairobl Electric Power Company.

In this connection I would also refer you to my letter of 19th October, 1917.

Taking certain of the Clauses of the new draft Concession in their order:-

Clause 2 limits the period of the Concession to 8th April, 1956. This would give a period of only 57 years from 1919, which is considerably less than the maximum period of 50 years stated in Section 10 (2) of the Ordinance: This Chause also infere that the Ordinance: The Section of 1920.

of 19th October, 1917, to the fact that this Clause does not state definitely that the Company shall be subject to future legislation, but I presome that

The Under Secretary of State.

section 3 of the Ordinance would govern this case and bring the Company cases the trees of the Ordinance.

the Company's undertaking at certain periods, and is referred to in an latter of 19th October, 1917.

This speams to be described of the Ordinary of the Ordin

The Ordinance would really affect the Mairobi Company in the following manner:-

- (a) The power of the Governor to grant Licences to other parties in respect to the same area (Section 7).
 - (b) The payment of damages and penalties for failure to supply (Sections 17 and 22).
 - (c) The compulsory laying of mains in the distributing area within a period of two years (Section 21).
 - (d) The liability of the Licence (i.e. Concession) to revokation, if the Licensee makes default in carrying out works (Section 23).
 - (e) The liability to give monetary security for the carrying out of works (Section 40).
 - (f) The obligation to keep accounts in a prescribed form (Section 42) and to have such accounts and ted (Section 45).
 - (g) The collections reserve furst attack, reserve
 - (h) The restrictions as to chase of sum in presentes etc. (Restion 49)
- the to have all works approved by the grain (Section 50).
 - (j) The obligation to give notices for overhead and underground works in the manners prescribed (Sections 56, 57, 58, 50 and 51).
 - (k) The liability for affecting telegraph and telephone wires (Section 63).

The Under Secretary of State.

- (1) The obligation to protect the research and to pay penalties for delight to the section (5).
- (m) The obligation to permit meetric Inspectors to test mains, meters, etc. (Sections 78 to 87).
- (n) The chigation is use only certified meters st. (Sections 68 to 101).
- (a) The obligations re maps (Section 110), ustices (Sections 111, 112, 125, 128, 127, 128, 129, 150, 131 e.m. 152).

None of the above obligations or liabilities is unnecessary or unreasonable, and, in my opinion, if the Bairobi Company takes objection to either of them, it can only be on the ground that they are not impluded in its e. ting Licence.

T. am, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

MAN