

EAST AFR. PROT

C O

4-19

Rec'd III 19

Part 3C

1919

29 July.

Last previous Paper

22 July 1919
1400 P.M. Rec'd 200
22 July 1919

Copy sent

Next subsequent Paper

W.O.

166 all

29014 b.7 w.3d 2-21 10m. 11th 1919 U. 1924 41

Minister of Supply or Adjutant General Army

Sabot & Malicious of circumstances of promotion
of Capt. - a claim based on report of
case, previous part of Service, & remakes

Mr. Bottsally Sir & Head Colcl Army
 He resignd his appointment because another
 officer was promoted over him. We must trust
 the authorities in regard to promotion of the rank
 railway grade & must assume that the officer
 promoted was selected for merit & not by seniority.
 Mr. Pox was an ignorant officer, but we must
 admit that he has given strong service
 retained at his post - the most that
 regulations entitle an officer entitle to and
 the local authorities would independently judge

as to the worth of his certificate of service
 As regards Capt. his service was from
 left 10th 1912 to Dec 9th 1918 5 years & 4 months
 was absent on leave to India from Feb 28th until Oct 19
 & then received 1st day back at the end of November

His full service of 775 months of 1 day & 20 hours

by come to 225 days, but we do not know that
all his service was in unhealthy stations &
therefore the time in India must be taken into
account. Selecting the first of his leave on
return home as a period of non-combatant service
we find 70 days. In a list of that at
the Army Comptroller General's Office it
is also given 70 days. But about
70 days will be necessary to come
to India, but in my opinion he would have
an insufficient number of days
to get the leave. Very likely
he will be entitled to have
enough days.

As far as I can see
it is difficult to get a stop of 70 days
as it will be necessary to go to
India, and to have a number of leave
days, and a number of leave

days.

10/10/1914
10/10/1914

33, Morpeth
Spring
HULL.

26 July 29th 1919.

TO: The Secretary of State
for the Colonies.

Dear Sir,

I, the undersigned, beg to forward this my application for your kind consideration, appealing against the decision of the Acting General Manager of the Uganda Railway, British East Africa.

I have the honour, therefore, in requesting that an interview be granted me, so as to enable me to lay before you the whole of my grievances, as, in my humble opinion, I feel that my case has not been justly dealt with by the Authorities concerned.

The details of my case are as follows:

- (A) I was locally engaged by the Uganda Railway Administration as a First Class Guard, on September 1914, 1915, signing a three years Agreement, which expired in September 1918. Prior to the expiration of my first Agreement, I was re-engaged by the Railway Administration for a further period of three years. The second Agreement expired in September 1918. On the completion of my second Agreement no further contract was signed to me, nor did the Authorities consult me on the subject, yet I continued serving the Railway in the capacity of a Station Master till I tendered my resignation.
- (B) On the outbreak of War I made a personal application to the Railway Authorities to rejoin my Regiment - the 1st Battalion Oxfordshire & Buckinghamshire Light Infantry, being a British Army Reservist. My request was refused on the grounds that my services were indispensable. I thereupon rejoined the Uganda Railway Volunteer Corps, helping in the ordinary Military duties of Patrol Work, etc., till the arrival of the Indian Expeditionary Force. We were then relieved of our Military duties and returned to our usual Railway work.
- (C) From 1915 to 1917 I was Station Master in charge of Kajiado Military Base Station during General Smut's advance into German East Africa.
- (D) In 1917 I was granted six months privilege leave the first since my engagement. I sailed for India on February 20th 1917, my passage being paid by the Administration.
- (E) On my arrival in India I desired to rejoin my regiment which at that time was in Mesopotamia. I tendered my resignation accordingly and received a reply back from the Traffic Manager informing me that my resignation would not be accepted and I must return under Martial Law Regulations, copy of which was attained. I had no other alternative left but to comply with the official. I arrived back in British East Africa on September 1st 1917 and was placed straight away in charge of the very first station of Nakuru.
- (F) On October 25th, 1918, I tendered my resignation. As I was privately informed by one of the Clerks in the Traffic Manager's

Office, that a junior hand was being granted the post of District Station Master, Nairobi. I appealed against this unfair treatment and partiality which was shown by the Traffic Manager on the following grounds:

I was his senior by a whole year.

- (2) Held certificates for all branches of Railway working, which were not in his possession.
- (3) My wider experience entitled me to the post, owing to my having been in charge of important stations since my engagement, and furthermore I had Indian Railway experience.

My working and general character deserved everything that could be desired, as I can prove by the certificates of stations in my possession.

The gentleman who was granted the very important post of District Station Master, Nairobi, was a locally engaged hand like myself; therefore, under the circumstances I do not see why he should have taken preference over me.

As I failed to get satisfaction from the Traffic Manager, there was no other alternative left but to tender my resignation, which was accepted while Martial Law still prevailed by the Administration. Under the circumstances, I should have been relieved of my duties at Station Master of Nakuru on November 27th, 1918, instead of which I received a reply by wire after the expiry of my resignation, informing me that they could not find a suitable man to relieve me. This caused me a lot of inconvenience and loss of time. I was not relieved of my duties until December 9th, 1918.

- (4) On my arrival in Nairobi I reported myself for final settlement. Looking through my papers I discovered that the Administration were not granting me my rights, which were as follows:

LEAVE. I was entitled to 240 days leave or increments having completed six years and three months service, i.e. from September 10th, 1912 to November 27th, 1918, and was detained for further period of three months prior to receiving my final settlement.

Out of the 240 days leave, when I applied for it, I was only granted 184 days, and this I was forced to take in British East Africa, which is certainly not in accordance with the Office Rules and Regulations, if a man is locally engaged or otherwise.

PASAGE. This was not granted on the grounds of being a locally engaged hand. The Railway Administration did not take it into consideration that I was brought back from India under Martial Law to suit their own convenience, and on the ground that my services were needed in British East Africa.

I emphasised this point particularly to the General Manager, but his decision was to the effect that I was not entitled to it. I therefore, appealed to His Excellency The Acting Governor, who upheld the decision, informing me that he is not seen

- 3 -

his way clear to interfering with the decision already given, or words to this effect. However, I decided to pay my own passage which cost me £68.14.0., and sailed from Kilindini in H.M.A.T. "Carisbrooke Castle" on April 20th 1919.

In conclusion, I should like to state that I decided to undertake the voyage, which caused a great deal of expense and loss of time, with the view that my case would be represented by the proper Authorities, where I am certain of obtaining a sympathetic hearing and a readjustment on the points mentioned.

- (1) Unfair treatment and loss of seniority which eventually led up to my resignation.
- (2) Leave due - 86 days.
- (3) Refund of Passage.
- (4) Certificate: This was certainly not in accordance with my file, as I received a character as satisfactory (only). No mention was made of my abilities or work in general. I may add here that during the whole of my Railway service both in India and East Africa, I have neither been fined nor cautioned.
- (5) Employment: At present I am out of employment and am experiencing great difficulty in securing an appointment. I therefore, under the circumstances, beg of you to grant me an interview and if possible, either return me back to the Uganda Railway, or offer me an appointment in another Colony in my grade as a Station Master or that of Assistant Traffic Inspector.

Trusting you will excuse me for encroaching on your valuable time, and thanking you in anticipation of an early reply for which I shall remain ever grateful.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

John P. Parry

John P. Parry

22 August 1919

DRAFT.

Parley

MINUTE

1. Hence 1918
Mr. Colvin 19.8

M. H. G. 1918

Sir H. Campbell

Sir H. Lloyd

Mr. O'Fadden

Cul. Murray

Col. M. H. G.

Sir

I am etc to acknowledge the

receipt of your letter from 29th

July and to inform you

that he is not prepared

to interfere with the decision

of the Govt. of India

on the matter of the termination

of your appointment in the

Colonial Service, and to say

that he considers that there

would be no advantage

in a Termination

2. As regards the bear

Leave granted to you at the termination of
your appointment, from the 1st January 1875.

E. Grimes
E. Grimes January 6 1875

of which month 17 days were given to you to

leave in India - The total period of leave
for, a respite of which you were entitled to
have, was about 69 months, and at 3 days
per month of course it would amount to
207 days leave; as you actually had about
six months leave in India, you appear

to have been exceptionally well treated in

being granted 54 days leave at the
end of your service. Being a locally engaged
official, you were not entitled to be
allowed to go to England to fix passage -

I am etc

H. J. R.