

SEA will
Printed & raff

Wing accounts



EAST AFR. PROT
10 589

MAR 15

Encl.
Revised
1915
5 March
Last previous Paper.
Tr. 10258 S

Financial Situation

Suggests possible explanation of deficiency
of £60,000. Adds further obs
as to division of military expenditure.

Mr. S. Fiddes

In a tel. on 8329 we put the
discrepancy at £40,000. 9361 x 9618
raised it to £80,000, & this cannot
be accounted for on the new loan basis.
The E.A.P. share of the loan advance is
about £60,000, which will help to relieve matters,
but as some of the expenditure will have
been local, it does not account for the
discrepancy ~~made~~ to the extent of £60,000.

As there is a further trial (Ch. 117) due
early in April, I ~~intend~~ ~~to~~ ~~try~~
endeavour to keep the ~~figure~~ ~~at~~ ~~the~~ ~~figure~~
at the figure of £375,000.
CA to be ~~at~~ ~~the~~ ~~figure~~ ~~of~~ ~~£~~ ~~375,000~~
Baird

SEA copies circa 8 March '15 ✓
J. Finlayson Corston 8 March '15 ✓
10258 S. Capt. C. F. 19 March

Noted
CA/105

to the CA for the Col,
between the
of the CA, £20,000

Govt's Accounts Ltd.

of the 23rd of Feb. is accounted
for by the first conviction
of the original papers (contained
in the Govt's A/c of the 25th)

(9618)

of Feb, a copy of which
was sent to you on the 27th

(10)

Since the CA's
statement was prepared, further
bills for £20,000

(9361)

has been drawn on them,
and that the company of
£20,000 cannot actually
be accounted for, as the

Govt's accounts, by which
an acknowledgment of the
under the various bills
and the various bills

(1005)

of the 23rd of Feb. is accounted
for by the first conviction
of the original papers (contained
in the Govt's A/c of the 25th)

When my record number is 8319
with this original £378,000 +
the £188,000 on which we
worked is an A/c of 22/4/10
6/10

be reduced by the amount
of the expected income
to the E.A.P., but in view
of the further bills drawn
on them, and the possibility of
others, the Account considers
it ^{unwise} ~~impossible~~ to make any
reduction in the figure
of £378,000 originally
given by the CA & Commu-
nicated to the Treasury.

400

With regard to the
allocation of military
expenditure, I am to take
this opportunity to
renew the misconception
which would appear, from
the words "that no repayments
can be made from W.O. funds
for military expenditure on
troops other than the
Indian forces" to exist
with regard to the extent of
the liability of Protection of
Funds. Owing from the
fact that the Treasury have

(10252)

India include, in addition
to Indian forces, one British
battalion, the following
arrangements have been made by the Army Council
or are in prospect for strengthening
the forces in the Protectorate
with a view to offensive
operations against German
East Africa: —

(a) A Rhodesian contingent
is now on its way to the Protectorate.

(b) It is proposed to form a
battalion of scouts or
frontier guards in this country
for service in East Africa.

(c) It is intended to recruit
600 more men for the K.A.F.,
officers & crews etc. being
sent from home.

(Sms/7719 rfp)

5. With regard to (a) & (b), no
suggestion has been made,
or could be admitted, that
the cost should be borne
by Protectorate funds. With

regard to (c), the Government's
concurrence in the proposal
of the G. S. C. was expressly given.

On understanding that
 the ~~we~~ should bear all
 charges in case of failure
 of the operation. In reference
 to the ~~idea~~ of the General
 Staff who are anxious to
 proceed at once with the
 despatch of officers and
 stores, Mr. Harcourt agreed
 that the increase might
 be ~~immediately~~ effected
 without waiting for a
 decision on the question
 of cost, but he must not
 be regarded on that account
 as contemplating the
 possibility of the Protectorate
 being charged with the
 expenditure due to the
 increase so long as the
 operations are in progress.
 6. Other additional forces
 may be raised, as
 used in the operations, &
 Mr. Harcourt's opinion is that
 the ~~idea~~ of the General Staff