

EAST AFR. PROT  
39731. ~~15~~

CO  
39731  
28 MAR 15

In Col. 68  
1915  
21 June  
not previous Paper  
44  
12111

German East Africa  
Somali Ports  
Reports on the circumstances  
connected with this deportation

In  
No. 39489  
Ceylon  
4404  
12111

See Authority by  
No. 6. 2. 11

See also No. 39489 Ceylon

This dispatch does not add much  
to what we already know about  
this business, except that it  
contains a copy of the German  
deportation by the officers which  
led to the deportation of the  
Somalis. Apparently this was  
the only evidence as to the  
deportation, and no further  
enquiry was made.

Paragraph 10 of this dispatch  
explains why the No. 39489 Ceylon

12111

Question, should charges

be accepted as a charge

against Railway funds

REAR LAW



## Expenses incurred by the Railway for Indian COOKS.

			Rs.	Pcs.
18	June 14.	Steamer food money of 3 Cooks.	49.	00.
19	"	" Steamer passage from Karachi to Bombay.	171.	00.
"	"	" Disinfecting charges.	3.	00.
27	"	" Railway fare from Karachi to Lahore.	27.	86.
28	"	" Shatta allowance	20.	28.
34	"	" do.	24.	00.
39	"	" Steamer food money of 12 Cooks.	264.	00.
47	"	" Steamer passage from Karachi to Bombay.	212.	00.
"	"	" Disinfecting charges	12.	00.
48	"	" Cart hire.	3.	00.
"	July 14.	Telegram sent in connection with the Cooks.	9.	00.
1914	July.	Pages	226.	00
"	August	"	12.	00.
1914	October.	"	12.	00.
1914	July.	Food money for return journey on steamer.	120.	00.
"	September.	Steamer passages.	220.	00.
"	December.	"	220.	00.
1914	July.	Railway fare.	120.	31.
"	September.	Agency charges-Lahore- for recruiting the Cooks.	20.	20.
"	September.	Cart hire do.	27.	56.
1914	12/12/14	Manager.	22.	87.
"	12/12/14	Accounts.	2.	24.
"	12/12/14	A.S.I. I.S.B.	20.	41.
1914	12/12/14	cash.	27.	00.
Total.			2,375.	00.

Name of person who arrived.	To whom posted.	Remarks.
-----------------------------	-----------------	----------

John Smith.	Mr. G. Miller.	
James Smith.	" E. J. Johnson.	
Robert Smith.	" H. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" E. J. Johnson.	
J. A. Smith.	" F. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	
John Smith.	" J. Johnson.	

All useless and were sent away at once.

Were not posted to any - the rest sent away at once.

(month & days.)  
 (to a 3.)  
 (to a 12.)  
 (to a 3.)

were paid at the rate of 10.00 per month by the house during time of service.

## List of applicants for work.

- 1. E. B. Jones,
- 2. C. L. Fisher
- 3. A. E. Taylor
- 4. F. V. Wiggles
- 5. D. Miller
- 6. E. G. Gibson
- 7. E. Johnson
- 8. D. D. Brown
- 9. J. B. Green
- 10. E. C. Davidson
- 11. W. E. Williams
- 12. E. E. Anderson
- 13. F. L. King
- 14. J. Smith
- 15. G. Day
- 16. F. Moore
- 17. J. Lee
- 18. W. S. P. King
- 19. J. H. Henderson,
- 20. C. Wall
- 21. E. G. Thomas
- 22. G. Adams
- 23. J. White

Appendix B gives the names of the coats who arrived and to whom posted.

Appendix C gives a statement of expenses incurred by the railway for Indian coats.

2. The coats most were of the wooly class and it was evidently never realized by the agents in India that they were to have been used as coats for Europeans. In fact several of them refused to work for other than Hindus.

3. The arrangement was that all except the three posted to Indians were returned to India at once.

4. In view of the wording of the circular letter which limited the liability of the applicant for a coat at "about Rs. 20/- per annum" and distinctly stated that they could be brought out at Railway expense, I am of the opinion that my liability rests on the applicants estimated in Appendix E to meet the expenses incurred in bringing them from or returning the coats to India.

5. It appears to me that the whole responsibility rests with the late General Manager, Mr. Halse Taylor, who authorized the whole proceedings.

6. I should therefore be obliged if you would instruct me as to how when I should collect the necessary funds to meet the expenditure incurred under Appendix B.

I have the honor to be,  
 Sir,  
 Your obedient servant,

Yours faithfully,  
 A. J. [Signature]

Ag. General Manager,  
 Uganda Railway.

CLOSURE

21 June 1911

To C. 12/11

June

6

767

To,

The Honourable  
The Chief Secretary  
to the Government,  
B A I C O I.

Sir,

auditor's Query re. Importation  
of Coaks from India.

With reference to your No. 11241/11 dated the  
20th May 1911, forwarding His Excellency's decision  
with regard to the Importation of Coaks from India, I  
have the honor to state as follows:-

1. The following circular letter was issued to  
Railway Heads Of Departments by the Chief Assistant  
on instructions from the late General Manager, Mr.  
Taylor,

**'Engagement of Coaks from India' 20th Feb. 1911**

"As difficulties have been felt by the staff to  
find trained coaks it has therefore been pro-  
posed to bring out some Indian Coaks on agree-  
ment from India at the Railway cost. Will you  
please ascertain from your staff and let us  
know at an early date the names of the staff  
who would like to employ them, say at about  
Rs. 20/- per month as salary, that I may in-  
form the Hon. the General Manager the number  
of Coaks required at present."

W. S. EASTWOOD,  
Chief Assistant,

2. Appendix I gives the names of those who ap-  
plied for coaks, total 27.



Branch Audit Office,  
Nairobi.

To

The Hon. Treasurer  
Thru' Ag. Chief Accountant,  
Nairobi

Dated 24th March, 1916.

QUERY

REPLY

ember 1914.

When dealing with this query  
you please add to the list of  
expenses the following amount appear-  
in the Agents in India account for  
the year '14.

Voucher No. 38 dated 24-11-14,  
Rs. 25. remitted to the Imperial  
Police Securing Agency Lahore, an  
account of expenses for engaging  
Rs.

Ed. S. N. Faulkner  
Assistant Auditor.

General Manager,  
Forwarded.

Ed. H. E. Goodship  
25-3-15.

Further to No. 172/72.

599

To

Hon. the Manager,  
Thro' the Ag. Chief Accountant  
Uganda Railway,  
Nairobi.

General Audit Office

Nairobi

Dated 11th January, 1915.

DEBT

REPLY

When dealing with this query  
you please add to the list of  
debts the following charges for  
rental charges in India appearing in  
accounts in India accounts for  
month 14

Voucher No. dated 1-0-14.

Rs. 100.0.0 and voucher No. 20

10-0-14 Rs. 27.0.0, and also

accounts appearing in subsequent  
months not yet to hand.

Ed. S. J. Faulkner

Assistant Auditor

Enclosure.

Forwarded

Ed. S. J. Faulkner

12-1-15.





Branch Audit Office

Nairobi

Dated 4th December 1914.

To

The Ag. Chief Accountant,

Uganda Railway.

Nairobi.

QUERY

REPLY

1st 1914.

The attached list of expenses  
 for the importation of  
 for private individuals, and  
 expenditure Head U.V. Importation  
 repatriation of Coolies would  
 appear to be admissible against  
 the funds without the sanction  
 of Secretary of State.

Sd. S. S. Faulkner  
 Assistant Auditor

This was sanctioned by the  
 Gen. Manager vide his ~~order~~  
 dated February 27th 1914.

15-1-14

Gen. Ag. General Manager

This expenditure, sanctioned  
 by Mr. Taylor, would appear to  
 require the sanction of the  
 Secretary of State.

Will you please take the  
 necessary action.

Sd. S. S. Faulkner  
 Assistant Auditor.

-2-

nature of the transaction.

Will you kindly give the Auditor the necessary sanction to enable him to pass this charge.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient servant,

Sgd. B. EASTWOOD.

General Manager.  
Uganda Railway.



ENCLOSURE

# Uganda Railway

General Managers Office

May 3rd

SIR,

I have the honour to forward you herewith two queries raised by the Auditor on expenditure which he considers should receive the sanction of the Secretary of State. The expenditure is certainly not of an ordinary character, and I therefore report the case to you for favour of the sanction necessary to enable the Auditor to pass these charges.

The history of the case is as follows:-

In February 1914 a representation was made to this office that owing to the difficulties in locally obtaining men, and the discomfort of the staff that naturally followed, it was desired to bring over from India, and hire to the staff, a number of men at the rate of Rs. 5/- per mensem was to be made to cover the cost of passages. The matter was discussed at an official meeting, and agreed to, and a number of men were engaged through the Agents in India.

When they arrived they were, unfortunately, useless and had to be returned, and it is in consequence of their return, and the debit that is raised on the cost of their passages that the present query has arisen.

The action taken was one that was common during construction, and it did not appear that when the late General Manager made the arrangement for these men there would be anything irregular, as to whose credit, in his action, but unfortunately by the impossibility of the men engaged completely changed to the Government.

POSTAL ADDRESS  
M. R. R.  
P. O. BOX 27.

THE MANAGER  
GENERAL MANAGERS OFFICE

APPROVED BY THE SECRETARY  
CHIEF SECRETARY  
TO THE GOVERNMENT

circumstance which incidentally is not very creditable to the Agents in India, only three of them were employed at all and those only for a very short period. In these circumstances it does not appear possible to make any members of the staff responsible for any part of the cost incurred.

4. The proposal emanated from and was carried out by Mr. Taylor, the late General Manager, without authority, and he should in my opinion be debited with the cost, amounting to Rs. 1,07,000. I should be glad to know whether, this sum, or any portion of it, can be recovered from him. Should this be impracticable I see no other alternative than to accept the amount in question as a charge against Railway funds.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant,

*A. Conway, Secy. Genl.*

GOVERNOR.



GOVERNMENT HOUSE,  
NAIROBI,  
BRITISH EAST AFRICA

WEST AFRICA PROCONSUL

No. 499



June 22nd, 1915.

Sir,

I have the honour to enclose a copy of a letter from General Bainger, Uganda Railways, in which you will perceive I was asked to conduct an inquiry into a charge against public funds which was made in connection with a party of Government employees brought over from India as a result of the Government's policy of employing Government employees. I have the honour to enclose the letter with my report on the matter and to state that Government employees were not employed in the transaction, and that the Government was not liable to pay the cost of the party, but that the cost of the party was borne by the Government if the cost were incurred.

The report was communicated to the Government and a copy of whose report will now appear that owing to the incapacity of the persons engaged, a  
circumstance

Yours faithfully,

JOHN BURNS LEE, F.C.S., S.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, E.C.

The arrangement was unaltered & arranged. I think it was also important, in view of the short distance that the East India Company's country for East purposes and for private employment, to supply such and such supplies to the extent of the amount of officials.

I have been in the office for a day or two and I have not much to report. I have not much to report.

I have not much to report. I have not much to report. I have not much to report. I have not much to report. I have not much to report.

Yours  
 John G. [unclear]

at [unclear]

to [unclear]

27/15

Agency. When they arrived, none of them were competent to cook for Europeans, and several refused to do so; three of them cooked for Hindoos for periods varying from three to four months. They will all send back to India, and the whole cost of the proceeding was 305, 11 of the Rs. 4 1/2 was returned the sum of Rs. 2597 1 1/2 was the ~~sum~~ <sup>sum</sup> sent with.

I do not think we can bring in Mr. Taylor and that he is no longer in the service. However his irresponsible financial deals were one of the grounds on which his removal was based; consequently, he has <sup>already</sup> for a sense, paid the penalty for this, amongst his other excesses of the same kind. Finally, his pension is only Rs. 400 a year, and the sum in quarter is nearly Rs. 200.

? Say that the amount should be made a charge against railway funds