

EAST AFR PROT.
33761

33761
Recd
Recd 22 JUL 15

MR W.G. STANLEY
APPLICATION FOR RE-EMPLOYMENT

It is suggested that he be offered employment as additional Computer and if he accepts the vacancy which exists for a Junior Staff Surveyor be not filled up this year. Request telegraphic reply.

Mr. Deputy 467

1915

15 June

15/6/15

Recd 21 July 15

To read

computer get £250 by £205 £350
Junior Staff Surveyor get £300 by £210 & £350, &
normally have much more on travelling
allowance than computer.

We hope that there is much of office
work to be done & that they are looking
down the field - via (as at all events
to provision for labour). We can

reply affirm, but it may as well be
made clear that Mr Stanley will get

computer's rate.

? Telegraphic

the date of 11 June 467 proposed

approved (in the understanding that

Stanley will be paid at computer's rate

Stamp: 15/6/15

83.7/15
21/7/15
22/7/15

The Memorial Chamber
that as the clause
in question was
published in the Bill
and formed a Supplement
to the Official Gazette of
the 17th Dec 1813 and as
no exception has been
taken to the same since that
date and the passage of
the Ord^r of the 17th
is not prepared to
take any objection to
matter.

27057

No 27057/1915

6197-

CO



26 June 95

de

Cherres & you

Letter of the 11th of June

concerning a

copy of a telegram

from the Secretary

General of Commerce

relating to the

Trade Order of the

1915. I have decided

to send you a

copy of the

telegram which

was sent to the

Secretary of Commerce

DRAFT

The Secretary

of Commerce

WHITE

12 June 1915

Washington

1915

1915

1915

1915

1915

27057

no exception has been taken!

= = = spurnest

[to them] between that date
surgeful danistarium

and the passing of the Ordinance
rejection = offer

I am not prepared to
amputate playgoor

take any steps in the
regards in mingled

matter of You will find the doct
downward

considering in a dual case of
Cantharum

in a paper the two clauses
bound = =

Paula

By your order
the 10th day
is the date of
apointment to
Sultan of
under which
a Minister
could be
to a post in

no exception has been taken

= = = = =
spurned

[to them] between [that date]
surgeful Sanistaurum
and the passing of the Ordinance
rejection = vice

I am not prepared to
imputaris playgoer

take any steps in the
regards in mingled

matter of Committee do not
downward
= considering individual cases of
Canthorum
= under the two clauses
ground = =

Frank

By order
of the
is the date of
agreement
Sultan of
under which
a Minister
could stop
to a suit in

for 27037/1915 car

9 d
sent 24/6/15



25 June
filed

DRAFT Telegram

Governor
Karoo

Your tel 21/June 15,
understanding

208 from same

MINUTE

Wagner 24/6/15
Battley 24/6/15
read 25

Minance request that

you will inform members
reprinting

Member Gloucester that
'ata rroas

as the clause in question
suborning

were published in the
- summary

Bill which formed

Supplement of [unclear] paper
chapshot
[unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
effect [unclear]

27057

493

6196-20

27057
12 AM

Handwritten signature or name

~~Dear Sir,~~
~~Enclosed for you are~~
~~the following documents~~

[Amended by No 27057]

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text follows]

and why the date 12-13-95 was fixed

Vol 16 1875

I have not been a of any kind
 only he who is what the
 of persons is that in the mind
 towards the citizens "and" in the
 year limitation then but any
 referring to any part of the
 and planting "before" the 1st of
 while if this is any, the date
 November last of length of possession
 a useful reading of the law that says
 the end of the law is the

As: 1111

1875

actually matured between
during the lacuna, until
a practical case is brought
to our notice.

? as proposed.

C. 15/6/15

H. J. R.
15/6/15

Mr. R. S.
You had better send - for Dr
Pomeroy's opinion on a ^{business} thing
very brief to principles ^{of liability} ^{to} ^{the} ^{only} ^{debts}
incurred. P. 15/7/15

Dr. G. D. S. Sir, I enclose
James two memoranda
which will, I think, give the
necessary info.

H. J. R.

15/6/15 P. 10.8.15

clearing of floating and other debts by
bankruptcy law, and if the date proposed
to be fixed is prior to the alteration of that
law, we shall have to consider favourably
any case of occupator by clearing and floating
during the interval. I should add that as
to the proposed litigation that the two will not
doubt consider any individual cases of hardship
under the proposed clauses, and I should

you and tell her to copy the Charter
of Commerce that is the clause in
Question was published in the Bull
which formed a supplement to the
Official Gazette of the 1st of June 1799
and was excepted to be taken
from before that date and the
printing of the Order, the 5th of June is not
prepared to take any other date
back

And of the books of
Commerce, with the 1799, that
is here as published

and so

It appears from the 11th of
the regulations of 29/4/97 that
they were preceded by Reg. 11
of 7/1/1897. I believe I have no
copy of these & we cannot say
whether they were the first and
regulations or were preceded
by them.

At any rate the vacuum is
thus reduced to a maximum
of 13 months. I think we
can leave the question of making
special laws for titles which