

EAST AFR PROT

2671

REC
Regd 18 JAN

Admiralty

1915

By J.A.M.

Last previous Paper.

Copy
43028
1/4

Set for my 22 Jan 15
Copy above to Admiralty 24 Jan 15
Copy same to 26 Jan 15
Copy same to 28 Jan 15
Copy same to 29 Jan 15
Copy same to 30 Jan 15

Next subsequent Paper

Admiralty
33582

Lake Steamer
front of Commission

Occurs in opinion that as the R.A.R. off
employed on these vessels are not borne on ship's
books for service in the Fleet, the regns concerning penal
do not apply to them. Any persons & practicable
in case of disablement or death by enemy act cannot
be borne by Naval funds.

Mr. John Sir G. Fiddes.

It is not surprising that the numbers
of the actions puzzled us. The arrangement,
as in some respects the embarkation, is
entirely different in the Reg'd as ~~the~~
shown in the Navy list.

Clearly we shall have to promise that
the crew shall have the same treatment
as in case of wound or death in active service
as if 3346 + 48 ~~are~~ added together,
so great loss made from ~~not~~ be found.
This follows on our decision as to
military cases.

On the main point - that the
vessels are not commissioned - & on
any other disability which will cut out
of that fact, the Board are silent. You
will remember that we have reason to
believe that they will raise the
question of their ~~being~~ status

? Telegraph 5/9/15
that don't say that they do not apply, but that the officer can be assured that in the court-martial question they will be accorded the treatment from Post. funds as if Section 4 did apply.

6/9/15

I understand from Dr Strachey that a similar course is being followed in Nigeria.

as proposed?

P. J. R.

20/9/15

Adm. P.

22/9/15

Adm. P.

2. Telegraph to Dr. Strachey
that don't say that the officers
do not apply, but that the officers
can be assured that in the eventuality
existing they will be accorded the
same treatment from Post. funds as if
Section 4 is applied.

6/11/1915

I understand from Dr. Strachey
that a similar course is being
followed in Nigeria.

As proposed?

P. J. R.

20/11/15

Also P.M.

22/11/15

In any further communication on this subject, please quote
M.-1041.

and address letters to—

The Secretary,
Admiralty, Whitehall,
London, S.W.

2671

REC.

REG'D 3 JAN 15

4

Admiralty.

12th January 1915

Sir,

I have laid before My Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty your letter of the 12th November last, No. 43928/1914, forwarding copy of a telegram from the Governor of the East Africa Protectorate, relative to the grant of commissions to certain vessels of the Uganda Railway Lake Steamer Service.

2 :- I am commanded by Their Lordships to acquaint you, for the information of the Secretary of State for the Colonies, that they concur in the opinion that as the Officers of the Royal Naval Reserve who are employed in these vessels are not borne on ships' books for service in the Fleet the regulations concerning pensions and allowances contained in Articles 46 and 48 of the R.N.R. Regulations (Officers) on page 921 of the Quarterly Navy List do not apply to them.

3 :- Having regard to the fact that these Officers are not employed on Naval Service My Lords consider that any pensions and allowances which it might be proper to pay to these Officers or their dependents in case of disablement or death through acts of the enemy could not properly be borne by Naval funds.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

W. Graham Greene

Admiralty 2671/1915

5

EAS

26

St

23

Draft
Telegram.

22 January
Jacketful

You tel - 29 Nov. no.

[for
45929/4]

untroussed

342 Admiralty

day
33500/5

24 Aug '15

Adm'ly 22 Aug '15

Cop

state that articles
showers

fortysix forty eight

Naval Reserve Regulations
nations recompensatio

do not apply (C)

allowest Silver

Officers can be given

goldstilla

assurance that in the
airdrome sumptuous

eventualities in question
engineering queensware,

they will be accorded

such special

same treatment from

Protectorate funds

prohibited

as if the articles

similar

did apply.

Harcourt

they will be awarded

to such place

some treatment given

Protectorate funds
provident
as if the articles
annexed
did apply.

Harcourt