

EAST AFR. PROT.

C O
13940

13940

25 MAR 15

Crown Agents

1915

24 Feb.

Last previous Paper.

& a.

7039

14-5

Kilindini Pier

warehouse extension

Sends report with plan by Cons. Eng'rs. rep.
proposed re arrangement & extension. Present
proposals will not interfere with proposals by
Horton Eng'rs with regard to deep water accommodation.
Requests return of print for purpose of copying.

~~Under~~ Sri. G. Fiddles

It is not very easy to see where the
C.E.S. 25% increase in ^{consumption} accommodation
but presumably there will be an excess
on the estimate (£8333).

On the recent despatch (11056/15 - in case)
on the disposal of the £375,000 loan
we ^{proposed} that the Customs Office
(£5000), proposed in 7039 to be omitted, ~~is~~
stand part of the loan programme &
that the balance available (with
which the figures in 7039 are not more
correct) should be used for the
warehouse & foreshore work, the
balance - £200 - being found from
revenue.

31 March 15
Plan & Architect's Cons -
Copy Cons 277 - Plan's Sent

Next sub

be found from revenue but will not
disturb the loan allocation.

'Copy of concept to Gov', with the C.E.'s
Plan, say that the work is approved,
& on the extended terms recommended by the
C.E. unless the G.M. sees good reason
to the contrary: refer to one despatch of 19/11/51
of 19 March 1956 & say that any
excess on the ^{estimated} cost of the work shown, like
the £303, to meet from revenue.

When, Act: met & return the Proto plan &
ask for two more copies, if they can
be obtained without inconvenience, of
the C.E.'s plan.

Wes. 26/3/5

H. J. R.

26/3/5

at once (M) 30.3.5

Room 3

be found from revenue but will not
disturb the loan allocation.

Copy of rough to G.P., with the C.E.S.
At G.P. say that the work is approved,
& on the external basis recommended by the
C.E. unless the G.P. sees good reason
to the contrary. refer to one despatch 20/187

Q19 branch on 1056 & say that any
excess on the ^{estimated} cost of the work should, like
the £303, be met from revenue.

Walter, Act: met & return the Profit plan &
ask for two more copies, if they can
be obtained without inconvenience, of
the C.E.S. plan.

W.C.B. 26/3/15

H. J. R.

26/3/15

at home (M) 30.3.15

Room 3

22

E.
283/28.

60
13911

ALL COMMUNICATIONS
TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE
CROWN AGENTS FOR THE COLONIES,
THE ABOVE REFERENCE AND THE
DATE OF THIS LETTER BEING QUOTED.

WHITEHALL GARDENS,
LONDON, S. W.

TELEGRAMS, "CROWN, LONDON."
TELEPHONE 7730 VICTORIA (6 LINES).

24th. March, 1915.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt
of your letter No. ⁱⁿ 7039/1914-15 dated 16th. February
last, transmitting a despatch from the Governor of the
East Africa Protectorate relating to the proposed re-
arrangement and extension of the present warehouses at
Kilindini Pier.

Oct/15.

2. In compliance with your request the Consulting
Engineers for the Uganda Railway were asked for their
observations on the proposed extension. I attach a
copy of a letter dated the 19th. March which we have
received from them and also the plan referred to therein.

3. The general arrangement of the sidings etc.
shown on the plan prepared by the Consulting Engineers
is substantially the same as that shown on the Colonial
drawing No. 987 which accompanied the Governor's dispatch.
Additional standing room for trucks has however been
obtained by the provision of curves of shorter radius
for the siding connections. The extensive use of curves
of such small radius as 242 feet may be objected to by
the railway officers on account of the resulting increased

wear

Under Secretary of State,

&c. &c. &c.,

Colonial Office.

247

wear and tear on the permanent way and rolling stock. The Consulting Engineers in paragraph 3 of their letter referred to the use of similar curves on 5 ft. 6 ins. gauge lines at Calcutta but as against this it might be mentioned that the new construction regulations of the Nigerian Eastern Railway forbids the use of curves of so small a radius. This, however, is a matter of detail which can be left for the decision of the railway officers.

4. As we are not fully conversant with the local arrangements for marshalling the traffic entering and leaving the warehouse we are not in a position to comment usefully on the general arrangement of the lines proposed. It would appear from paragraph 2 of page 2 of the acting General Manager's report that the shunting of the traffic for the sidings leading to the platforms is delayed at the present time owing to all the sidings having to be shunted on one line. Neither of the proposed schemes appear to adequately deal with this point.

5. We have been assured by Messrs. Coope, Matthews, Fitzmaurice and Wilson that the proposals of the railway Consulting Engineers do not interfere with the proposals which the harbour engineers have put forward with regard to the deep water accommodation.

6. We return the Colonial print and we also enclose the drawing prepared by Messrs. Rendel Palmer and Tritton. These gentlemen would like the loan of the Colonial drawing again as they have not retained a copy. We

forward

wear and tear on the permanent way and rolling stock. The Consulting Engineers in paragraph 3 of their letter referred to the use of similar curves on 5 ft. 6 ins. gauge lines at Calcutta but as against this it might be mentioned that the new construction regulations of the Nigerian Eastern Railway forbids the use of curves of so small a radius. This, however, is a matter of detail which can be left for the decision of the railway officers.

4. As we are not fully conversant with the local arrangements for marshalling the traffic entering and leaving the warehouse we are not in a position to comment usefully on the general arrangement of the lines proposed. It would appear from paragraph 2 of page 2 of the acting General Manager's report that the shunting of the traffic for the sidings leading to the platforms is delayed at the present time owing to all the sidings having to be shunted on one line. Neither of the proposed schemes appear to adequately deal with this point.

5. We have been assured by Messrs. Coode, Matthewe Fitzmaurice and Wilson that the proposals of the Railway Consulting Engineers do not interfere with the proposals which the harbour engineers have put forward with regard to the deep water accommodation.

6. We return the Colonial print and we also enclose the drawing prepared by Messrs. Rendel Palmer and Tritton. These gentlemen would like the loan of the Colonial drawing again as they have not retained a copy. We

forward

forward the drawing to you now as we believe there
is some urgency with regard to this matter but we shall
be glad if you will kindly return the Colonial drawing
to us to enable the Consulting Engineers to make a
copy.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

for Crown Agents.

copy. 213
Messrs. Rendel Palmer & Tritton to Crown Agents.

13940

13, Dartmouth Street,
Westminster, S.W.

19th. March, 1915.

Improvements at Kilindini Pier.

Gentlemen,

We have considered the proposals shown on the drawing accompanying your letter, and are in agreement with the scheme generally. The siding accommodation is obviously too small for the traffic, and the provision of more room on the land to be reclaimed seems absolutely necessary.

2. The only comment we have to make is on the lay-out of the new lines. We think that better use could possibly be made of the restricted area afforded by the reclamation works, if the lines are laid out in accordance with the plan sent herewith. The standing room is increased by over 25 per cent in this arrangement, and if it is not necessary to put in so much at present, one or two lines can be omitted until the development of traffic requires more sidings.

3. The proposal is based on the use of 1 in 6 crossings, with curves of 242 feet radius, and we think this is, if anything, more than ample for metre gauge sidings. In the port of Calcutta, where the gauge is 5 ft. 6 ins., curves of 250 feet radius are now the rule for sidings and sorting grids, so that in a metre gauge 200 feet radius would ^{be} perfectly practicable. If this

latter

Messrs. Rendel Palmer & Tritton to Crown Agents.

13340

13, Dartmouth Street,
Westminster, S.W.

19th. March, 1915.

Improvements at Kilindini Pier.

Gentlemen,

We have considered the proposals shown on the drawing accompanying your letter, and are in agreement with the scheme generally. The siding accommodation is obviously too small for the traffic, and the provision of more room on the land to be reclaimed seems absolutely necessary.

2. The only comment we have to make is on the lay-out of the new lines. We think that better use could possibly be made of the restricted area afforded by the reclamation works, if the lines are laid out in accordance with the plan sent herewith. The standing room is increased by over 25 per cent in this arrangement, and if it is not necessary to put in so much at present, one or two lines can be omitted until the development of traffic requires more sidings.

3. The proposal is based on the use of 1 in 6 crossings, with curves of 242 feet radius, and we think this is, if anything, more than ample for metre gauge sidings. In the port of Calcutta, where the gauge is 5 ft. 6 ins., curves of 250 feet radius are now the rule for sidings and sorting grids, so that in a metre gauge 200 feet radius would ^{be} perfectly practicable. If this

latter

latter curvature could be adopted at Kilindini, there would be a further increase in the capacity of the sidings. This matter, however, can well be left to the Railway Authorities.

4. We have discussed the plan with Messrs. Coode Matthews Fitzmaurice and Wilson, and have their assurance that the recommendations we make will in no wise affect the scheme which they have in hand.

We are etc.,

(Sgd.) RENDEL PALMER & TRITTON.

CP

latter curvature could be adopted at Kilindini, there would be a further increase in the capacity of the sidings. This matter, however, can well be left to the Railway Authorities.

4. We have discussed the plan with Messrs. Coode Matthews Fitzmaurice and Wilson, and have their assurance that the recommendations we make will in no wise affect the scheme which they have in hand.

We are etc.,

(Sgd.) RENDEL PALMER & TRITTON.

2

Col E.A.P.
13940

215

Love

31 March 1915

Amos 19217

Gentlemen,

I am directed by

W. Sey: Harcourt to

ack. the rest of yr

letter of the 24th of

March (E 283/25),

and on the subject of the
proposed rearrangement

of the warehouses at

Kilindini Pier, and to

return the plan originally

received from the Gov.

of the E.A.P.

I am to request that

if no ~~serious~~ inconvenience

would be caused two

additional copies of the

plan be forwarded to the

DRAFT.

2 own copies

MINUTE.

Mr. *Asst. Secy 31.3.15*

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sr G. Fiddes.

Sr H. Just.

Sr J. Anderson.

Lord Islington.

Mr. Harcourt.

copy (marked A)

CA E.A.P.
13940

for

31 March 1915

avoid reply

Gentlemen,

I am directed by

Mr. Secy. Harcourt to
ack. the recd of yr

letter of the 24th of

March (E 283/15), ~~and~~

and on the subject of the
proposed rearrangement

of the warehouses at

Kilidini Pier, and to

return the plan originally

received from the Gov

of the E.A.P.

& I am to request that

if no ~~serious~~ inconvenience

would be caused two

additional copies of the

DRAFT.

2 own copies

MINUTE.

Mr. Astorley 31.3.15

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir G. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Islington.

Mr. Harcourt.

done
(marked A)

CA EAP
13940

16

fine

21

24 March / 05

Sir,

I have the honor to
acknowledge the receipt of your
letter no. 1007 of the
23rd inst. (I see you do not
transmit to you the
account book of why of
course I will be CA for
the (old) in the subject of
the scheme for the
re-arrangement of the
of the present carbones
at Helmsdale, Peebles & the
reclamation of the forestland.
2. I approve of the work
being undertaken and,
unless the General Manager
has found reasons to the contrary
I

Rev. J. G. G. G.

DRAFT

E.A.P. no: 217
for Belfield
MINUTE.

- Mr. ~~Belfield~~ 312 10/0
- Mr.
- Mr.
- Mr.
- Sir G. Fiddes.
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Istington.
- Mr. Harcourt.

To CA 16 Feb
7029

CA 24 March
(John B. B. B.)
13940

I consider that the
extended arrangements
recommended by the (Committee)
Engineers for making
accommodations, &c. &c.
adopted.

3. ~~I~~ With regard to
the ~~of~~ arrangements for meeting
the cost of the work, I have
already, in my letter 200
(8th of the 19th of March,
1872) stated that I considered that
it will be desirable to retain
the provision of £5,000 for
the new Customs Office in the
appropriation of the £875,000
loan and to charge to
revenue any excess over
the estimate of £833,300
the work now in question.
Any further excess due
to the adoption of the
C.E.'s recommendations

11056/5