

1932.

Kenya.

1

No. 18108 / 1.

SUBJECT

C0533/423

Native Affairs Department.

Annual Report

Arrangements for local printing and publication

Previous

1932/31. (Report for 1931)

Subsequent

1. Mr. Klay

The Minister

22
14/1/32
Submits that a summary of Expedition in progress, the N.P. Report should be published locally.

N.A. Dept:

This has come into my hands just before going on leave, registration having been delayed owing to the previous papers being in circulation. Lord Passfield attached great importance to the issue of this report as a non-parliamentary publication here, as by that means it would come to the notice of members of Parliament and other people interested. This arrangement was adopted for the 1929 and 1930 reports, and there has undoubtedly been a very great delay in publication in consequence, but we managed to reduce it in the case of the 1930 report. Prior to 1929 the report was printed locally and sent home in that form, the Colonial Office not having any opportunity of editing it. In the case of the 1929 and 1930 reports they came home in manuscript and were carefully examined in the Department. This necessarily occupied time in the case of such a long report which had to pass through several hands, and further time elapsed as the report is rather a formidable document to print, including elaborate tables and illustrations. I cannot see that there is any reason for printing the report here from the point of view of enabling the Colonial Office to edit it - it is not really our function - but I think there is a method of meeting the situation and yet maintaining Lord Passfield's view that it is desirable that it should be published as a non-parliamentary report. This could be done on somewhat similar lines to the arrangement in the case of certain Colonial annual reports, that is to say, the report should be printed locally and the requested number of sheets sent home here for the Stationery Office to bind in a cover as a non-parliamentary paper. In that case, there seems no real reason why the Colonial

A

Colonial

Colonial Government should not publish locally as well, and I doubt whether it is really necessary for a simultaneous publication. I believe this arrangement is adopted in the case of some of the Colonial annual reports. The printing of covers would be a very simple matter, and publication could take place here very shortly after the receipt of the sheets. If this system were adopted, it would, of course, be necessary to ask the Kenya Government to indicate the price of the publication, and there would be certain other details to be considered, but these could be gone into when the main decision was taken.

(Sd/-) H.T. Allen.
1.4.32.

Mr Allen's minute above.

The procedure as regards the printing and publication by S.O. of annual reports is indicated in the despatch of 4/7/32 on 25429/27 Gen.

I understand from Mr. Christie that S.O. from the sale price of the reports they publish and refund to the colony the cost of local printing of the sheets - but that it would be preferable if S.O. after selling the colony for the sale price of the reports and ~~the~~ arrange for the office payment of their expenses from the proceeds of sales to refund any balance to Kenya.

There are however points to detail which can be settled later.

The question for consideration now³ is whether these reports may be printed and published locally without first sending to the office - with subsequent publication through the office to the required effect.

As regards the printing see A.O. Mr Allen's minute. The local authorities will no doubt take steps to ensure careful editing of any reports themselves for embarrassment. I approve the proposal with such modifications as may be necessary to maintain the publication of the reports as a non-partisan colony report.

H.T. Allen

7/4/32

Placed up for review

J. Christie
2/4

Sir B. B. B. B.

The draft you refer to Mr. Allen's suggestion in his minute of 11 April I send on in case you should have any doubt as to the point at A/; the NAD reports for '39 is so called for a good deal of editing in the C.O. as the originals (which) will show.

J. Christie
9/4

TO

Sir S. Wilson:

I think that underlying Lord Passfield's decision there was also the idea that, if we received the Chief Native Commissioner's typescript, it would be possible to ensure publication of any matters which he brought to light, even if reflected on the administration. It is not so much a matter of editing here as of possibly "stating" the matter which the Secretariat might wish to omit.

I do not think that this should weigh with us. Although the Joint Committee's recommendation as to the position of the Chief Native Commissioner has not yet been crystallised, it is well known, and there is no danger of its not being recognised.

There is the practical advantage of the present arrangement that we have early knowledge of matters which may provoke public criticism. As a local publication copies will be sent home privately, and the critics will read the report as soon as we do.

But the delays have been very great, and on that ground, and also to save a little money, I agree that we should proceed as in the draft.

W.S. 11/4/32.

Dis R Hamilton

You should see. I

Yours

R.H.B.

13432

Mult

14.4.32

To Gov. 340 (1 Answered) Contd. 4th May, 1932 4

3 Gov. Kenya 388 17th June, 1932
Suggests that as the cover and general binding of the copies to be printed in Nairobi will be exactly similar in colour and design to those printed in London last year, it will be cheaper to send bound copies. Has no reason to urge on behalf of simultaneous publication. Suggests price remains 3/- a copy.

Presidents
Library
to
the
Minister

Para 2 seems to miss the point entirely. It so cannot be expected to arrange for the distribution and sale of a publication which is reported to be impossible. The reports prior to those of 1929 and 1930 were not issued as non-Parliamentary publications.

? It seems unnecessary to put this to 30. which can now be approached on the basis of para 5 p 2

115. Price list
13/7/32

4 To Stationary Office - (1/2 c. 1.2 v 3) - contd - 12/32

5. Stationary Office 12/7/32
Concern in the suggestion that future reports should be printed locally & copies in sheets form sent to the Comptroller for binding & publication. 250 sets of sheets will be required, falling price maintained at 4/- a copy, provided 7 sheets to be printed to C.A.

? Now ack 3 and say that it is not practicable for bound copies to be sent to HKSO for issue as a non-parliamentary publication and that it has been arranged with

The 30. act 5. - and notify Mrs. 1
the management.

Mrs. Paris ma
3/8/32

J.H. Allen

48

atacc

To C.A., - No. 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 - 11. 8. 32

STATIONER'S REGISTER

To 501 587 (3 Annual) 11 AUG 1952

See in library

J.H. Allen

25/8/32

Relay to [unclear]

The P.D. were quite unaware
of this arrangement as it does
not appear to have been considered
necessary to consult them at the
time or even to let them see
the decision in a matter in
which they are peculiarly interested.
My attention has only been called
to it by the receipt from the
Stationery Office (see memo of 7 Dec
atta) ordering two sets of sheets
of the Report for 1931. I presume
that it is intended that
publication should be arranged
in this country, forthwith under
a cover similar to that of

£ 50. Some
affair may need.
260 copies
L.P.

previous reports as a non-partisan
public & I shall be glad to
have authority to proceed
accordingly

L. J. Brearley

9 Dec 32

We can only express regret for the omission
to let P.D. see their papers at an earlier stage

? Publish as - N.O.P. (authority off
of an Act US/S. is required)

J.H. Allen
21/12

J.H. Allen

21/12/32

W.S.D.

21.12.32

atacc

8. 4. 11. 50

12 Jan 33.

Enquire whether any revision of the distribution
list to the press is required for 1931 report.

as, rather against the wishes of
the local fed, it was decided
2 to publish the Report here
as a non-parliamentary publication
it seems necessary to give it
the publicity it merits last year.
? Reply agreeing to similar
publication for 1932 as that in
the United Kingdom and send copy of report
The reply to former letter of reference 71

H. P. ...
H. P. ...

Sheay.

18/1/33

Letter S.O. 20/1/33

10 in for 52 - 40 209 - A7, 20.1.33

The report was published as
a r.f.p. on 1 Feb. 1933

L. P. Brantley
2/2

Crown Agents 6th July, 1933.

DESTROYED UNDER STATUTE

Encls. account from H.M.S.O. and enquires whether
this maybe paid from Kenya funds.

Your note annexed

The first item in the account relates
to the Native Affairs Department Report and the
charge is for printing covers, wrapping, and binding
for publication in this country. It appears to
be in order (see 18108/1/32)

The second item is in respect of a
supply of maps to the Crown Agents acting on behalf
of Kenya. The C.O. is not concerned. The Crown
Agents reference (given to me by the S.O.) is
5828.

J.H.S. Christian.
25.7.33.

Copy 7 minutes
in 1833/732

? Request C.A. to pay the sum
of £44. 8/11 from Kenya funds
& return the £44. 8/11
C.A. ...
2/8/33

Subsequent C.A. will regard to
the claim of £110 in the £44.
& asked for details. They asked
that the £44 might be returned
as it should not have been sent.
(The returned to Mr. A. Davis G. Dept
2/8/33.)

My ...
C.A. ...
11/8/33
at ...

W

C. O.

19108/1/32

9

Mr. Hunt 1911/32
Mr. Vernon 18/1/32

Mr.

Mr. Parkinson

Mr. Tomkinson

Sir C. Bottley

Sir J. Stuckburgh

Permt. U.S. of S.

Part. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State

1911/32

Handwritten initials

20 Jan., 1932

DRAFT.

Sir,

The Director of Publications,
H.M. Stationery Office.

of the 12th January, addressed to Mr.

Priestman, regarding the Kenya Native

Affairs Department Report for 1931, and

to request that you will arrange for the

distribution of the Report for press review

in accordance with the list enclosed in

your letter.

Copy forwarded to:

Handwritten notes and initials

Signed L. B. FREESTON

88

TELEPHONE: WHITEHALL 4343.



H.M. STATIONERY OFFICE.

PRINCES STREET.

WESTMINSTER, S.W. 1.



Ref. **Publicity.**

12th January, 1933.

RECEIVED
13 JAN 1933
COL. OFFICE

3c

Dear Sir,

In accordance with our telephone conversation I send herewith copy of a list of 36 periodicals to which review copies of the Kenya Native Affairs Department Report for 1930 were sent by the Stationery Office after consulting the Colonial Office.

As the Report for 1931 is now in the press I shall be glad to know if it is desired that a similar distribution shall be made to the press, or if any revision of the list is required. The cost of the review copies for last year's Report was on the "agency" basis.

Yours faithfully,

Hattam

for Director of Publications.

Recd. 20.1.33

W. J. P.

- Priestman, Esq.,
Colonial Office,
Downing Street,
S.W.1.

Press Review List for 1930 Report of the Kenya
Native Affairs Department.

Evening Chronicle (Manchester)	Westminster Press
Press Association	Liverpool Post and Mercury
Exchange Telegraph	Financial Times
Sheffield Telegraph	New Editor, Northcliffe News- papers.
Daily Mail	Central News
Times	Reuters
Scotsman	Financial News
Daily Express	Economist
Daily Telegraph	Daily Herald
Daily News and Chronicle	Observer
Courier and Advertiser (Dundee)	Sunday Times
Manchester Guardian	New Statesman
Glasgow Herald	Saturday Review
Independent Newspapers Ltd.	Specialist
Western Mail	Review of Reviews
Yorkshire Post	[Week-end Review]
Morning Post	East Africa
Birmingham Daily Post	[Foreign Affairs]

C. O.

18608/1/32 Kenya

10
R
10
AUG
10

Mr. Priestman *SP*

Mr. *SP*

Mr.

Mr. Phipps

Mr. Tomlinson

Sir C. Baskinley

Sir J. Shackleton

Parlt. U.S. of S.

Party, U.S. of S.

Secretary of State

11 August 1932

DRAFT.

KENYA

No. 108
Governor

Sir,

I have etc. to acknowledge the
No. 3 receipt of your despatch No. 200 of
the 17th of June on the subject of
the printing of the annual report of
the Native Affairs Department locally.

2) It is not practicable for bound
copies to be sent to H.M. Stationery
Office for the issue of the report as
a non-Parliamentary publication. It
has therefore been arranged with the
Stationery Office that copies in sheet
form should be sent to that office for
binding and publication; *on the basis of*
previous year experience it is considered that
Parliamentary publication for which the
purpose it has been ascertained that
250 sets of sheets will be required.
in this country

2 *km/lo*

I agree that the selling price
should be maintained at 3/- per copy
and arrangements have been made with
the Controller of H.M. Stationery
Office that the net proceeds of sales
will be remitted to the Crown Agents, and
credited to the account of your Dept.
I have etc.

(for the Secretary of State)

(Signed) R. W. HAMILTON.

511

TELEPHONE: VICTORIA-2200 WHITBREAD CO.

H.M. STATIONERY OFFICE,

PRINCES STREET,

WESTMINSTER, S.W. 1.

Any reply to this letter should be
addressed to—

THE CONTROLLER,

quoting G. 39/1045.

2 August, 1932.

RECEIVED
3 AUG 1932
COL OFFICE

Sir,

Now

With reference to Mr. Freeston's letter 18108/1/32 of the 22nd ultimo and enclosures, I beg leave to acquaint you, for the information of the Secretary of State for the Colonies, that I concur in the suggestion that future Annual Reports of the Native Affairs Department in Kenya should be printed locally and copies in sheet form sent to this country for binding and publication as non-parliamentary publications; 250 sets of sheets will be required. I agree that the selling price should be maintained at 3/- per copy; the net proceeds of sales will be remitted to the Crown Agents in due course.

I am,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

H. G. Seargeant
Controller

Copy C.O., 11-8-32

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office,
Downing Street,
S. W. 1.

4/11/32

C. O.

18108/1/32 Kenya

- Mr. Priestman 18/7
- Mr. *Smith* 19/7
- Mr.
- Mr. Parkinson
- Mr. Tomlinson
- Sir C. Bollenby
- Sir J. Shackburgh
- Parnt. U.S. of S.
- Parly. U.S. of S.
- Secretary of State

Handwritten initials

Q	D
R	JUL
D	21

22 July, 1932

DRAFT *Original*

THE CONTROLLER

H.M. STATIONERY OFFICE

- (1)
- (2)
- (3)

Copy C.O. 11-3-32

I am etc. to refer to the arrangement made in 1930 for the printing by H.M.S.O. of the Annual Report of the Native Affairs Dept, in Kenya. And to transmit to you the accompanying copies of correspondence with the Governor of Kenya on the subject *in regard to* the printing of future Reports.

It will be observed that in order to prevent the delay in the publication of the reports which at present occurs (in no way, of course, attributable to your Dept.) the alternative method adopted in the cases of certain Colonial Annual Reports has been suggested; of my

printing

ing that ^{shall be printed}
printing the Report in Kenya and the

sending the requisite number of
sheets ^{shall be sent}
~~to be sent~~ for transmission

to your ^{the Station} Office for binding in a

cover as a non-Parliamentary
publication.

3. I am to invite an expression
of your views on ^{the suggested paper} this procedure and

also on the suggestion made in the
Governor's despatch of the 17th June,

which it is assumed is impracticable.

that bound copies should be sent to

you ^{for publication} ^{in accordance to}
~~in accordance to the despatch~~

enclosed ^{be impracticable}

4. ~~In the procedure proposed~~

~~commends itself to you.~~ I am to

suggest that the sale price should be

maintained at 3/- per copy, and that

the receipts from sales in this country

should be paid to the Crown Agents for

the colonies after deduction of the

expenses

expenses of your Office for
binding, distribution, etow
I have, etc.

(Signed) L. B. FREESTON

KENYA.

No. 288



GOVERNMENT HOUSE.

NAIROBI.

KENYA.

RECEIVED
11 JUL 1932
COL. OFFICE

17 JUL 1932.

Sir,

No 2

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your despatch No. 341, dated 4th May, 1932, concerning the printing in figure of the Native Affairs Department Annual Report locally.

2. As the cover and general binding of the copies to be printed in Nairobi will be exactly similar in colour and design to those printed last year in London I suggest that it will be cheaper to send you bound copies rather than loose sheets which would have to be bound later by His Majesty's Stationary Office. This would also be in accordance with the practice which was followed before the report was printed in London.

3. I have no reason to urge on behalf of simultaneous publication.

4. I suggest that the price remain the same as previously, namely, shs. 3/- per copy.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient, humble servant,

BRIGADIER-GENERAL

GOVERNOR.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

MAJOR SIR PHILIP CURLIFFE-LISTER, F.C., O.B.E., M.C., M.P.

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W. 1.

City Stationery Office 20.7.32
C.O. 10-8-32
Control 587 11 AUG 1932

314

Page 2/15

C. O.

Mr. Priestman. 8/4

Mr. *Drish* 9

Mr.

Mr. Parkinson

Mr. Tomlinson

Mr. C. Bottomley. A. 4

Sir J. Shuckburgh.

Permt. U.S. *Office 13.4.32*

Parly. U.S. of S. *14th 14.4.32*

Secretary of State.

C. D.
R 30 APR
D *2nd*

DOWNING STREET,

May
~~April~~ 1932.

Sir,

SK

I have, etc., to acknowledge the receipt of your despatch No.22 of the 14th January, proposing that the Annual Report of the Native Affairs Department should in future be printed and published locally.

2. I agree that there has been ~~not~~ delay in the publication of the reports printed in this country which is mainly attributed to the careful examination to which they were subjected in the Colonial Office before their release for publication.

3. I consider, however, that there should be no necessity for the reports to be edited here, and I should not be prepared on this ground to object to your proposals for the local publication of the report.

DRAFT. *Conson*

KENYA

NO. *341*

Gov.

Am 3

*Off Stationery Office
P.O. 11-8-32
22-7-32*

provided that due care is exercised in Nairobi

4. The reason for the adoption of the present arrangement was, as indicated in paragraph 3 of Lord Passfield's Despatch No. 246 of the 20th March, 1930, that great importance is attached to the issue of this report as a non-Parliamentary publication in this country, as by that means it is brought to the notice of Members of Parliament and other persons interested in the subject.

(No. 7 on 15633/29)

5. In order to meet your views in this matter while maintaining the publication as a non-Parliamentary paper I suggest, for your consideration, a method which has been adopted in the cases of certain Colonial Annual Reports, i.e. the Report is printed locally after which the requisite number of sheets are forwarded to this Office for the Stationery Office to bind in a cover as a non-Parliamentary publication.

6. Unless you have any reasons to urge in favour of simultaneous publication, I think that the report might be published in Kenya as soon as it has been printed and it should be possible for publication to take place in this country very shortly after the receipt of the sheets.

7. I shall be glad to learn if the method suggested above meets your views. If so the necessary steps will be taken to approach the Stationery Office with a view to obtaining their consent to this procedure; and it would be convenient if you would indicate at which price the suggested sale price of the Report should be placed on sale to the public. I have, etc.,

(Sgd) P. CUNLIFFE-LISTER

6. Unless you have any reasons to urge in favour of simultaneous publication, I think that the report might be published in Kenya as soon as it has been printed and it should be possible for publication to take place in this country very shortly after the receipt of the sheets.

7. I shall be glad to learn if the method suggested above meets your views. If so the necessary steps will be taken to approach the Stationery Office with a view to obtaining their consent to this procedure, and if you would be convenient if I would ^{in the Committee} ~~advise~~ ^{discuss} ~~at which~~ the suggested sale price of the Report should be placed on sale to the public.

I have, etc.,

(Sgd) P. OUNLIFFE-LISTER

Mr. President

This relates to the

Native Affairs Dept.

Report, pp. on wh.

are marked to

return to you

Mr. Grossmont

re: reservation

file and contents

JRO

24

KENYA.

No. 22



GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI,
KENYA.

14th January, 1932. *CC*

RECEIVED
6-FEB-1932
GOV. OFFICE

Sir,

I have the honour to refer to paragraph 3 of Lord Passfield's despatch No. 246 of the 20th March 1930, on the subject of the printing in England of the Annual Report of the Native Affairs Department.

2. You will be aware from paragraph 3 of Sir Edward Grigg's despatch No. 580 of the 8th September 1930, that he asked for a little more working experience of this procedure before finally taking a decision thereon. Experience now shows that the fear of considerable delay in publication of these Reports has been realised. The 1929 Report was despatched on 8th September 1930 and eventually was published here on the 26th February 1931. The 1930 Report was despatched on 21st August 1931 and was published on 8th January, 1932. Had the 1930 Report been sent to print at the Government Press, Nairobi, by the middle of August, it would have been printed and available for circulation early in September, and considerable delay would have been avoided. This delay gives rise, unfortunately, to the criticism that the Report on publication is so out of date that much of the interest which it might have contained is lost. Such a criticism is, I feel, both just and also somewhat disheartening to the Chief Native Commissioner.

3. The question of expense too, deserves con-

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

MAJOR SIR PHILIP CUNLIFFE-LISTER, P.C. G.B.E. M.C. M.P.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,
DOWNING STREET,
LONDON, S. W. 1.

Sideration, ...

*Copy Memorandum Office 22.7.32
C.A. 11.8.32*

Minutal 3461 4 MAY 1932

*No 7
15832/39*

17323 (A) / 31

Check as per ...
with 17323/32

(Party Question)

Please deal with
them when pp return

Y. J. ...
6 2/3

19



KENYA.

No. 22

GOVERNMENT HOUSE
NAIROBI,
KENYA

7. January, 1932. *AL*

1-EB 1932

Sir,

No 7
15832/29

I have the honour to refer to paragraph 3 of Lord Passfield's despatch No. 246 of the 20th March 1930, on the subject of the printing in England of the Annual Report of the Native Affairs Department.

2. You will be aware from paragraph 3 of Sir Edward Grigg's despatch No. 580 of the 8th September 1930, that he asked for a little more working experience of this procedure before finally taking a decision thereon. Experience now shows that the fear of considerable delay in publication of these reports has been realised. The 1929 Report was despatched on 8th September 1930 and eventually was published here on the 26th February 1931. The 1930 Report was despatched on 21st August 1931 and was published on 8th January, 1932. Had the 1930 Report been sent to print at the Government Press, Nairobi, by the middle of August, it would have been printed and available for circulation early in September, and considerable delay would have been avoided. This delay gives rise, unfortunately, to the criticism that the Report on publication is so out of date that much of the interest which it might have contained is lost. Such a criticism is, I feel, both just and also somewhat disheartening to the Chief Native Commissioner.

Copy Memorandum Office 22-7-32
" C.A. 11-8-32

4 MAY 1932

Received 366

3. The question of expense too, deserves con- sideration, ...

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE
MAJOR SIR PHILIP CUNLIFFE-LISTER, P.C. G.B.E. M.C. M.P.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,
DOWNING STREET,
LONDON, S. W. 1.

sideration, especially during the present financial stringency. I am informed that the printing in England of the 1929 Report cost Shs. 2278/67, whereas the Government Press estimate that the cost of printing the 1930 Report locally, including illustrations would have been only Shs. 1200/-, - a saving of approximately £54.

4. On the grounds therefore, of expedition and economy in publication, I hope you will favourably reconsider the question of the local publication of this Report, a procedure which is, I would add, in accordance with that adopted by other Government Departments.

5. No difficulty would be experienced here in supplying whatever number of copies might be required to ensure wide distribution of the Report in England in the interests of publicity and no doubt appropriate circulation for this purpose could be arranged through the Colonial Office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient, humble servant,

J. M. Smith
GOVERNOR'S DEPUTY.