

18235

1932

18235

KENYA

✓C0533/426

MORATORIUM ON LEAVE

118

*W Grant* 19

17302/E/31

quent

<i>R. J. J.</i>	9/7
<i>L. J. J.</i>	9/7
<i>W. J. J.</i>	19
<i>D. J. J.</i>	19
<i>T. J. J.</i>	20
<i>M. J. J.</i>	20
<i>T. J. J.</i>	20/7
<i>W. J. J.</i>	21
<i>R. J. J.</i>	27
<i>Appl. Dept.</i>	27/2
<i>City (second)</i>	27/2
<i>W. J. J.</i>	30
<i>R. J. J.</i>	5/8
<i>W. J. J.</i>	6/8
<i>W. J. J.</i>	9/8

18235

1932

18235

KENYA

✓ C0533/426

MORATORIUM ON LEAVE

NUM

*Dr Grant 19/1*

17302/E/31

QUANT

<i>Asp</i>	<i>9/7</i>
<i>Lyell</i>	<i>9/7</i>
<i>in London</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Dr Nelson</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Dr Byatt</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Mr Jeffries</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Tambourin</i>	<i>20/7</i>
<i>Dr Grant</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>Dr Jordan</i>	<i>22/7</i>
<i>App's Dept</i>	<i>21/7</i>
<i>City (London)</i>	<i>27/7</i>
<i>Mr. Roberts</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>RM</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>...</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>...</i>	<i>28</i>

1 Extract from d.o. letter  
Mr H.M.M. Moore to Sir C. Bottomley dated 9.7.1932

As to modification of the Leave Moratorium.

This decision seems reasonable -  
the local post will make the  
savings on passages which was  
the main object of the moratorium proposals.  
No action seems necessary but  
C.S.P. should see.

J.R. P. [unclear]  
19/7/32

There will, no doubt, be cases of difficulty  
in ~~transfer~~ regard to transferred  
officers, but we can only deal with  
them as they arise.

Yes, & etc  
Benefits will vary  
acc. to whether in the  
case of his transfer  
the officer may or may  
not carry over ~~benefits~~ to him  
etc

Mr. Nelson to see, as regards the last

para.

J. [unclear]  
19.

Allen  
A. [unclear] 6/19/32

The CA forms a agreement for officers  
recruited or reengaged during the  
moratorium period has been amended  
so as to stipulate that persons arriving  
in Kenya  
Engagement or reengagement during the  
moratorium period will have their tour  
extended by six months which will  
not count for leave.

On the whole I will leave it  
like that in those cases & the

As to modification of the Leave Moratorium.

This decision seems reasonable -  
the local post will make the  
savings on passages which was  
the main object of the moratorium proposals.  
? no action seems necessary but  
C.S.P. should see.

J.S. Pissinatti  
19/7/32

There will, no doubt, be cases of difficulty  
in ~~transfer~~ regard to transferred  
officers, but we can only deal with  
them as they arise.

Yes, & etc  
Benefits will vary  
acc. to where they are in the  
case of his transfer  
the officer may or may  
not carry over ~~leave~~ to him  
etc.

The ~~reply~~ to see, as regards the last  
para.

J. Pissinatti  
19.

Allen  
A. Pissinatti  
6/19/32

The CA form of agreement for officers  
recruited or reengaged during the  
moratorium period has been amended  
so as to stipulate that persons arriving  
in Kenya on  
engagement or reengagement during the  
moratorium period will have their leave  
extended by six months which will  
not count for leave.

On the whole I w. leave it  
like that in these cases. The

new modification of the moratorium  
rule can be left to come to  
them as a pleasant surprise.

It will be no additional  
attraction to persons contemplating  
service in Kenya to be told,  
for instance, that on reattachment  
in due course they may be  
given the benefit of a few weeks  
extra leave in respect of ~~their past~~ <sup>this</sup>  
excuse from service.

Apple Dept  
Mr Jordan see this paper.  
Army Agents

See 20/7

See 20/7

W. Grant  
20/7

I agree that there is no  
question of modifying the  
agreements. The C.A. will  
appreciate that the file is  
sent to them <sup>their</sup> for information,  
and not with a view to  
their taking any action.

C. J. Jeffries

20/7/32  
20/7/32

20/7/32

(b) It has been decided on reconsideration to modify the original Leave Moratorium proposals to this extent; that leave earned in respect of the additional six months service should not be lost entirely, as originally proposed, but should be drawn by the officer on ultimate retirement, on transfer, or on retrenchment. **This** while it will involve the Government in little, if any, extra expense, during the current year, will be of distinct benefit to officers ultimately, and may be regarded as some quid pro quo to the additional salary levy. Further, on reconsideration, it is proposed to restore to certain officers whose duties necessitate constant travelling some form of commuted travelling allowance. The total of the expenditure so involved has not yet been estimated, but it will probably be between £4,000 and £7,000. This will be of definite benefit to travelling officers, and the additional levy scale now proposed will, it is thought, distribute the burden of sacrifice more fairly, in accordance with the individual capacity to bear it.

*Noted on  
Travelling  
Allowances  
M.*

(c) The above proposals will assist us in confronting difficulties raised by Uganda as regards the incidence of the Leave Moratorium on Joint Services.

Yours etc.,

(Sgd.) H.M.M. MOORE.