

1935

38015

1935

38015

KENYA

CO 533/454

Governor's Salary

Previous

3248/33

Mr. Pearson

30

R. 297

17/8

Mr. Flood

17

R. 297 H342

Subsequent

1297

19/30

L. S. Tomlinson

19/34

3297

19/34

L. S. Tomlinson

19/34

L. Flood

17

L. S. Tomlinson

19/34

297

16/7

L. S. Tomlinson

19/34

L. S. Tomlinson

23/7

L. S. Tomlinson

19/34

L. S. Tomlinson

20

L. S. Tomlinson

20/7

Secretary of State

21/7

L. S. Tomlinson

19/34

L. S. Tomlinson

20/7

Considerable loss.

JHM
3/XII

Sir G. Tomkinson

I have mentioned the
matter of the Governor's salary
to Mr. Wood, who has set out

(a) that in certain cases he
might not wish to recommend
to pay the cost for: until we
have some idea of what of
man who will be appointed.

[In 1925 the local people
were really to pay anything
of the kind, and the
considerable increase in the
instruments that have been
set more than sufficient to
attend Sir Edward Duff.]

(b) that both Sir J. Payne &
Sir H. Moore had the monthly
outpays at between £400
& £500. Further, much
added local taxation, some
change, & probably some
taxation.

Historical information should
be regarded

suggested that the opportunity
should be taken to give the
Governor the right of free
importation, but all to other
East African Governors.

Perhaps we might discuss
sometimes.

27/12/35

W. H. G. L.

Sir C. Bellamy & I have
discussed. Sir J. Payne is
not due to retire until early
in 1937. We shall get Sir
A. Pinn's report early 1936.
It will on the whole be easier
to deal with this question
(if there will be plenty of time
to do so) when we know
what Sir A. Pinn recommends.

Some
LHM
FHM

After detaching Sir A. Russell's
letter, will you send me three
minutes to be registered &
bracket up in three months.

Noted
APM

JHM
13/XII

You may like to see
9.12.35

Sir G. Tomlinson

Brought up as requested.

W.L.
11/5/36

G. Tomlinson

R297 9/3/36

Brought up again in two months.
S.P.P. account
9.3.36

Sir G. Tomlinson

Brought up as requested

G. Tomlinson
R297 11/5/36

W.L. Flood

I don't think when the Pim report will be ~~done~~. Would you give directions about the best approximation of this file?

S.P.P.

11.5.36

The report is being finally typed now. The first draft says that the expenditure is not excessive and that it could only be substantially reduced by a deep - but unwise - cut in the obligations of the
so that!

? 2 months

W.L. Flood

11.5.

W.L. Flood
11/5/36
He had better see it again before the leave season begins, so going up in two months.

S.P.P. account

15.5.36

W.L.
11/5/36

Sir G. Tomlinson

Revised as requested

S.P.P.

R297

11/5/36

(The Pim report is not available)

Sir C. B. Bly

Time is running on.

W.L. Flood has gone to leave. You know the position better than I do. So will you give the best direction?

S.P.P.

20.7.36

Sir G. Tomlinson

I have examined the

his final revision of the part of his report, Sec. A. This

has made no alterations in the passage quoted by the Flood Report. With that

minor alterations & other obligations & our own ideas & the qualifications required, I think the summary must be considered as the basis of the final statement.

£5000 + £2000 by means

£1000 in 1936/37

with W.L. Flood is now paid from

his section of the report is the appropriate letter

Nov here
8/9/37

public funds for upkeep
of house grounds, Parks
Secretary

On the other hand, I
frequently like that it
may be possible to get a
fixed rate across for the
money, that no question
of higher interest rates will
arise.

If this is agreed to, it
would be necessary, before
the decision of the committee is
announced, to send a report
on the subject of no. 2 on
31.12.35, saying that the
S. 44, under light of the A.

Principle which has not
found himself able to agree
to any reduction in the
daily allowance.

23.7.36.

Sir J. Maffey.

This arises out of your
minute of 3.12.35. Please also
see No. 10 in 20534/1/35.

Sir A. Pinn recommends no
change. Assuming, therefore,
(as we may) that there is no
question of a reduction in the
pay of the Governorship, the
only question is whether the
appointment of a successor to
Sir J. Byrne will need necessitate
an increase. Like Sir C.
Bitterley, I hope it can be
avoided.

I don't know whether the
Sec. of State can say now that
there will be no change in
the emoluments. But at least he
had better know what the
present position is. If a
decision has to be postponed, we
can bring up the file again
in the hope of bringing to
process as Sir C. Bitterley
suggested at the end of his
last minute. S.P.P.