

38179

CO 533/471
KENYA

38179

KENYA UGANDA RAILWAYS & HARBOURSINSTRUCTIONS GOVERNING ALLOCATION OF EXPENDITURE

Previous

1935

Subsequent

1937

R. 299 31/10/26

R. 309 20/5

Mr. Jenkins 20

Mr. Warren 24/6

D.C.A. 25/7

Mr. Flood 19/8

Mr. Walker 25/8

Mr. Flood 21

Mr. Walker 23/6

Mr. Flood

R. 299 3/5

297 4/9

DCA 10/9/37

Trs, for approval, draft of a revised edition of Instructions Governing the Allocation of Expenditure in connexion with New and Additional Works, Replacements, Withdrawals, etc and comments thereon stating that the draft has been referred to the Auditor who has no comments to offer.

The departures from the Instructions approved in 1935 are adequately explained in the schedule of amendments. I find nothing of a revolutionary nature in the amendments, and I think that they generally improve and clarify the existing instructions.

The Colonial Auditor is satisfied with them. So, unless Mr Walker and the S.C.A. have any bones to pick, the draft of the revised edition may be approved.

C. A. Grossmith.
19. 5. 37

J. J. Bacon
20/5

From an accounting point of view I find nothing to which objection need be taken.

① The contribution to Capital for Renewals Fund in the case of assets withdrawn and replaced is the value of the expired life of the asset, tho' the full total of cost

value will not have been contributed to the fund under the "2 1/2% Contribution" arrangement. It would be more prudent to take out of the fund only what had been put in on account of the asset in question, but I do not think the instructions are inconsistent with the decision taken to charge the 2 1/2% contribution.

(2) Para 3 III Sec 2 Annual Estimates
(Page 2 of Detail of Expenditure Sec 4
Line 2 ("New Indios"))

Para 3 states the schedule of works making up the totals of Abstracts L & M is set out from Part of the Annual Estimates & under para. 4 the schedule may be varied by applying savings within the global totals to the cases of new works.

The 1934 Indios P. III ~~is~~ ^{is} provided for work to be detailed & para 4 (as to which the "Detail" is not as silent) provided for a schedule of staff specially engaged is there at Chap III, Sec I para 9 of New Indios. The old Indios then conformed with Col Reg 282.

In any case ^{all} salaries staff of the type should be included in lists for comparison of Regs. & L of S. ~~as the same~~
I find however, that the old

3

Indios were not in fact observed in 1935, 6 as) but matter in which I can find no schedule either of works or staff specially engaged. It may be therefore that staff has already appeared the new Indios in this respect, but if not I think staff paid out of abstracts L & M should be detailed ^{in lists} & subjected to the same control (I am not sure what the control is) as staff paid out of other abstracts.

R. Walker
11/1/37

Nov 3673/6.

Mr. Flood,

I have no comments from the Audit point of view, but I should like to have a copy of the despatch that is sent.

A. J. Harding
Director of Colonial Audit.

20-7-37

Mr. Walker

* There were no new works and no staff specially engaged so the point would not arise.

S.S.P.
216

Mr. Flood,

Under contrary, page 76 of Reg Indios, 1937, shows works expenditure of £704,390

(Loan portion £79,314)

No details of these loans are included (Ch III Sec 2 Para 3).

Ref Ch III Sec 1 Para 9 (Page 11)
Any technical or other salaried staff specially engaged, of which I think there must surely be some instances, will be paid direct out of this works provision, & their emoluments do not figure anywhere in the Estimates. I think they should - a. in the case of staff paid out of other abstrats.

Note that the list has already been approved by Board

28/7/37

R. Walker 28/8/37

Mr Walker

There is nothing in that schedule of works to suggest that any extra staff would be required. The biggest item is rolling stock (£259,000) which would all be spent here & on freight. The other items would be done in the normal way, & no extra salaried staff needed.

Anyhow will it suit you if in approving we refer to Chap III Sec (9) and say that salaried staff specially engaged should be shown somewhere? The cost of such is charged to the works on which they are used & I suppose they would have to produce a - perfectly needless - list of staff required - salaries included in cost of works

Engineer on £2-£7 £11
- £4 £4
2 Foremen £2 to £4 £2.2

and so on. The list could appear along with abstrats

* The next is permanent way which means rails sleepers & telegraph wires.

Mr Hood.

Yes: this would stop mess, I think. I'm afraid I don't follow why a list of staff is of any or of less value when provision is made under Abstrats L & M than when the salaries are paid under other abstrats.

R. Walker 23/8/37

N

2. Transport Kenya Uganda N: 78

5 SEP 1937

Copy of No 2 taken.

Cy. Harding

10-9-37

copy ✓

Copy of 2 to 6/10/37
1937 file

C. N.
R 25AIG
D 20 11

5
2

G. O.

Mr. Flood. 24 8.37.

38179/4/36. Kenya.

Mr. Walker 24/8
Mr. f.

If this will do
please 'fo' it
38179/4/37

Sir H. Moore.

Downing Street.

Sir G. Tomlinson.

And. (H) on
A.S.S.

August, 1937.

Sir C. Bottomley.

SEP 1937

Sir J. Shuckburgh.

Parms. U.S. of S.

Parly. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

Sir,

DRAFT.

In continuation of my
despatch No. 70 of the 31st of July,

TRANSPORT
KENYA-UGANDA.

NO. 78

HIGH COMMISSIONER.

I have the honour to inform you, in
connection with the revised
instructions governing the
allocation of expenditure in
connection with new and additional
works, ^{etc. etc.} my attention has been drawn
to the desirability of including in
some suitable place ^{in the estimate} a schedule of
the salaried staff specially
engaged in connection with ~~new~~ works
which may be included in Abstracts
L and M. In Chapter ^{Paragraph} (1), Section 9,
it is stated that additional staff
engaged in connection with the
programme of works under Abstracts L

FURTHER ACTION.

copy to C.A.
✓

copy to
1937 file

and M shall be charged direct to the

works, and this procedure is, of

course, correct. ^{It} ~~That~~ is desired, *however,*

~~is~~ that there shall be a list of such

staff, together with their salaries,

with a note to the effect that these

salaries are included in the cost of the

works.

I have, etc.

(Signed) W. ORMSBY GORE.

6
END



TRANSPORT.

KENYA-UGANDA

C. N. REGY

OFFICE OF THE HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR TRANSPORT,
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI,
KENYA.

No. 89

18th November, 1936.

Sir,

In his despatch Transport No.69 of the 26th July, 1934, Sir Philip Cunliffe-Lister (now Viscount Swinton) conveyed his approval of Instructions Governing the Allocation of Expenditure in connexion with New and Additional Works, Replacements, Withdrawals, etc.; and in my despatch Transport No.136 of the 17th December, 1934, I assured your predecessor that no important departure from those Instructions would be introduced without prior reference to you.

(1) in 38779/5/36

2. I now have the honour to transmit, for your approval, the draft of a revised edition of these Instructions. From the schedule prefixed to this draft you will note that the alterations which it is desired to introduce, though numerous, involve no substantial change in principle with the exception of the instructions relating to Renewals Funds (Part I Section III of the existing Instructions; Chapter I Section 3 of the draft). This section has been extensively recast so as to bring it into conformity with those recommendations of the Departmental Renewals Committee for which your approval was notified in your telegram No.7 of the 14th October, 1936.

Revised - (2 out of 1)

(6) in 38779/5/36

3. The draft has been referred to the Auditor, who has no comments to offer; and I trust that your approval of its adoption will be forthcoming.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient, humble Servant,

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE
W. G. A. OMSBY GORE, M.P.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES.

for B. G. Smith
HIGH COMMISSIONER.