

1936

38262

CO 533/475

38262

KENYA

CLOSED
UNTIL

Mr. Richard Pares.

Request for access to Kenya Records at C.O. Form 1890

Previous

Subsequent

Room 297	13/x
Sr C. Bottomley	14/10
R. 297	16/11
R. 80	16/11
R. 297	25/11
298	9/12
R. 297	

FILE A.

16 Prof. Coupland. Enquires whether we can have access to Kenya records back to 1890 for historical survey 7.10.36. 2

c.i. Title.
Nominal.

1826

M. Davies

SIR CECIL BOTTOMLEY

MR. VERNON

You will see that in the attached letter, Professor Coupland asks whether Mr. Richard Pares, who is a son of Sir Bernard Pares, and a Fellow of All Souls, may be given access to all Colonial Office records concerning Kenya from 1890 to the present time. Professor Coupland himself will accept full responsibility and will submit to the Colonial Office the proofs of the work which will be compiled as a result of the study of the Kenya documents.

The Secretary of State wishes, so far as may be possible, to comply with Professor Coupland's request. In particular, he would like Mr. Pares to be given access to the papers dealing with Sir Charles Eliot's regime and the encouragement of white settlement.

Will you please advise how far we can go in this matter and up to what year we can allow Mr. Pares access to Colonial records with regard to Kenya?

Mr Vernon

M. Davies

9.10.36:

Will you please deal with the journal about 1st part? (It has decided not to give financial facilities to Lord Hailey, research people?)

of 1885 is open to the public - unless
to later correspondence is a matter
of public discussion of the State. There
will probably not be any discussion
of the inspection was being a definite
public object. (See my minutes of 14/1/33.

May X

on 70004/1857 had. Unfortunately X 2609 3/3/34.
cannot be found. Should be permission
before to inspect the class. Since the
fact it seems to be a condition that the
copy of any writings taken or made to remain
sh. be then by someone in the Co. before
they are published - if public is interested

The app. about Karl Philips research
people are attached to the relations
this class. app. to be for C. B. M. M. M.

May Y

min. of 11/9/33 on 4204/33 app.
In this case it was decided to
let of doc. It is not deemed to be
the to publish & not can be considered
a matter. ~~document~~ to be further for
advice might be followed in the
case.

(There was a letter to
regr. Kenya & my personal
interest by the President there)

10/1/34

Mr. Vernon.

Records prior to 1885 are in the custody
of the Public Record Office and are open to
public inspection. The question of examination
by member of the public of unpublished records
subsequent to that date is a matter for the
discretion of the Secretary of State, and the
guiding principle appears to be that such
permission should be given only if it would serve
a definite public object. Where permission is
given it is clear that any writings consequent
on the examination should be submitted in proof
to this Office and any directions we may give
complied with. Professor Coupland is prepared
to do this. I think also, however, that not only
should proofs be submitted but any extracts of
examined correspondence should also be submitted
before they are taken away from the Office.

Wicks

Wolfe

The question ^{whether} whether the historical
section of the Kenya Survey which is being
conducted under the auspices of the Oxford
University Social Studies Research Committee
is a public object which would justify permission
to examine records here seems to be a matter on
which the Kenya Department rather than the
General Department should advise. In the case
of the African Research Survey conducted by
Sir Malcolm Hailey under the auspices of the
Institute of International Affairs and with the
aid of a grant by the Carnegie Corporation, the
Secretary of the Survey, Miss Matheson,

Yes
10/1/34

apparently

apparently conducted certain researches in the Library here, and the question arose in 1933 of what facilities she should be granted. It was ruled then (Sir Cecil Bottomley's minute of the 16th September, 1933, on 4204/33) that "As regards unpublished matter, Departments should point out that communication is against the rules and should say that the only course would be to make a list of the documents she wants to see and to submit it for consideration". Whether in fact she pursued the matter does not appear to be recorded, but it seems to me that the African Research Survey was at least as much in the public interest as the object of the present application.

F. B. Jones
10/10/36

Sir C. Bottomley

The above minute set out the General practice. I should not have thought this was a case for allowing any extra facilities. The files which started from Sir C. Eliot's candida are not yet finished.

V. Verma
12/x

Sir Jeffrey

As regards King's records generally, I cannot agree that the rule as to records since 1885 should be relaxed. The most inconceivable survey of King's story cannot fail to produce controversy, and to show how, if not demands for access to the records, at least demands for copies, publications.

Ch. 2099

As regards Sir C. Eliot, the copies of White's settlement appear fairly clearly from the correspondence published after his resignation, filed that would also be Paris, London.

The circumstances are different from those in which (I believe) Mr. Greenwood was given access to certain records for use in his life of Joseph Chamberlain, but that is the nearest precedent. (I refused - I was upheld - to let Mrs. Huxley have access to conf. material for her book "White Man's Country")

Finally - the records of Sir C. Eliot's time are a matter for F.O.
W.S. 12.10.36.

P.T.O.

Since I wrote the foregoing, I
have asked Sir G. Tomlinson about
the relative importance of the
revenue & Sir Hailey's. He
says that the latter is undoubtedly
the more important. This one is
a purely University enterprise
(funded from Rockefeller money).
Miss Perkins' visit to Europe
is part of the same scheme.

W.L.S.

17.10.26.

The ruling is very restrictive, but
it will be desirable to relax it.

J.P.

J.P.
13/X

W. L. S.
Sir C. Boltwell, 15/10

I am well & happy about Sir
Sir Sir Hailey's survey is of much
current political and administrative
importance, and Professor
Cayland's survey is of more
historical and academic
interest. Rules must be
interpreted very much in
the light of each knowledge
of the individuals concerned.
I admit that I do not
know beyond Professor Cayland's
circumstances - how far Sir
R. Pears is to be trusted to
be both intelligent and
discreet.

The course I suggested is
that Sir C. Boltwell should
see Sir Pears personally, tell
him of the rules & customs
verbally and ascertain more
in detail what he wants
to see and how he wishes
to use anything he has seen.
I quite agree that we must
control not only the publication
of extracts from Secret papers & declassified
documents, but also the taking
away of any extracts from them.

but it can always be put
to Mr. Pears that we are anxious
to help the Oxford Chair of Colonial
History and realize its value
importance & that all we are anxious
is that history should be published
under its auspices which will get
it out of us under public criticism.

If I were Mr. Pears I would
not seek to quote or document
the work he is engaged upon from
other than published sources but
use any facts of significance apparent
in the relevant confidential documents
as his own "susmises" with the
necessary qualifying adverbs.

I think we may well put a date
limit - say August 4th 1914 as the
first confidential documents he
may see here. Post-war documents
are all of a date inevitably!
Pre-war history in East Africa is
not susceptible of academic treatment
if intelligently handled.

I would also put the Foreign
Office part to Mr. Pears verbally.
In effect I would give him a
lay provisional, and any "disputes"
can be submitted to me personally.

6/14/15-38

Mr. Pears

You have agreed to write
to Professor Coupland about
to writing that Mr. Pears will
write him & say that he can
help. He will then refer the file to me.

6/14/15-10

2 2 Coupland - (and also 15.X.36)

X. [N.B. This has been reported because
it got to the Secretary - not by any direction from
me.]

3 3 Professor Coupland 50-16.X-206
4 1/2 from 20 de 21
5 5 from 21

Professor Coupland was unable to ring me up
before 4 on Wednesday and I had then been called away
to an office meeting. He rang me up at home in the
evening as he was going to Palestine next day.

I explained the difficulties and he had not
realised that the rules were what they are. I said
that the Secretary of State was anxious to help
wherever possible but eventually we left it that we
should hold up this question of the closed records
until he returned to England, and in the meantime Mr.
Pears should consider what help he really needed and we
should go into the question with him of how much we
could do by putting him on to published matter which

is not commonly known to the public.

I forgot about the point of the early Kenya records being in the Foreign Office, but that can obviously wait for the present.

Put by.

WCH

6.11.36.

880-11033
2298-211

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

W.
Precedents to note
division.

20th October, 1936.

My dear Coupland,

I am sorry that I have not answered your letter of the 16th before this - the previous correspondence had gone adrift.

It is clear that Pears will not be able for some time to say definitely what he wants of us, and until then I do not think that he need come up specially to see me. But if he is in London and has time to spare perhaps he will ring me up so that we can fix up a meeting.

That applies rather strongly to you also. I should welcome a chance of talking the matter over with you.

Yours sincerely,

PROFESSOR H. COUPLAND, M.A.

WOOTTON HILL

OXFORD

October 15th. 1936

RECEIVED

17 NOV 1936

O. REG

38

Dear Bottomley,

I travelled to Oxford with Ormsby Gore the other day, and, among other things, we happened to discuss my Kenya Research Scheme. On the historical side I told him that I had approached Richard Pares (whose monumental work on the West Indies in the 18th. Century has just been published) to undertake the section dealing with the Political history of Kenya since 1890, and that Pares had said that it would be impossible to do it in a scholarly manner unless he were permitted to see something of the unpublished material at the Colonial Office. Ormsby Gore told me to write formally to him on this question, and I have now heard from Mr. Davies saying that "Mr. Ormsby Gore thinks that it would be a good thing if Mr. Pares would come up to London and state verbally exactly what his requirements would be", and that he has asked you to see him.

Pares has not yet begun to study the published material, and will be unable to do so before Christmas. He knows, therefore, practically nothing about Kenya at present, and would be unable to specify the material which he would want to see. But would you care, if you could spare the time, to have a preliminary talk with him? You would be able to

tell him what sort of material there is, and perhaps to give him some general assurance as to his being able, in due course, to see things. The difficulty on my side is that, unless he can get some such assurance, he feels unwilling to commit himself to the work, and on this point I sympathise with him, because a really scholarly history would, (I feel you will agree) be impossible on the basis of the published materials alone.

I do hope this Kenya Scheme is not going to prove more troublesome to you than you expected. I cling to my two main arguments: (1) that anything we print will be submitted to you in proof beforehand, and is under my complete editorial control, and (2) a true account of Kenya under academic auspices must prove more useful in the end than the writings of publicists and partisans.

If you think a preliminary talk will be useful, I will ask Pares to communicate with you.

Yours sincerely,

R. Compland

Sir Cecil Bottomley, K.C.B.
Colonial Office
Downing Street
LONDON. S.W.1.

15th October, 1936.

Dear Professor Coupland,

Mr. Ormsby Gore has asked me to write to you to say that he has been considering your letter of the 7th of October in which you ask whether Mr. Richard Pares, on behalf of the Historical Section of the Kenya Survey, which is being conducted under the auspices of the Oxford University Social Studies Research Committee, might be given access to records available in the Colonial Office regarding Kenya from 1890 to the present day.

Before coming to any decision in the matter, Mr. Ormsby Gore thinks that it would be a good thing if Mr. Pares would come up to London and state verbally exactly what his requirements would be.

In this connection, he has asked Sir Cecil Bottomley to give an interview to Mr. Pares. Sir Cecil would be glad to do this, and perhaps Mr. Pares will communicate with him direct in order to fix up a time upon which it would be convenient for him to call on Sir Cecil in the Colonial Office.

Yours sincerely,

Professor R. Coupland, C.I.E.

DAVIES

11
END

WOOTTON HILL,
OXFORD.

7. 4. 36

RECEIVED
16 NOV 1938
REGY

Dear Armstrongs

In the course of our talk on
the train yesterday you asked me
to write to you about some plans
for the study of the C. O. Records
on Kenya from 1890 to the
present day.

This is for the historical section
of the Kenya Survey which is being
conducted under the auspices of the

Oxford University Society of Studies
Research Committee

The person I propose to write to
with the historical data is Richard
Parks son of Sir Benjamin Parks.

He is a Fellow of the Society and
has just produced a manuscript with the
the West-India.

The Society will be under my
complete personal control & I am
prepared to submit the proofs to
the Colonial Office & accept all
the usual conditions.

Yours sincerely

R. Campbell