

1938

38022

CO 533/489
KENYA

38022

SISAL INDUSTRY
(DECORTICATING MACHINERY)

~ SUPPLY OF SISAL LEAVES FROM GOLD COAST

Previous			
	297	1/2/38	
1937	309	1/2	
	Mr. Jones	1/2/38	
	R. 309	1/2	
	297	1/2/38	
Subsequent			
	Accounts Dept.	1/2/2	
	Mr. Gossley White	1/2	
	Mr. Jones	1/2/38	
	Accounts Dept.	2/2/2	
297	297	1/2	
309	Accounts Dept.	2/2	
Mr. Parker	Mr. Gossley - White	2/2/3	
D. Tempary	Mr. Jones	2/2/38	
Mr. Jones	Mr. Palmer	2/2/2	
298	Mr. Palmer	2/2/2	
Dr. Tempary	Mr. Jones	1/4/38	
298	Mr. Tempary	2/2	
297	297	2/2	
309	A/c Dept.	2/2/2	
Mr. Jones	Mr. Edmonds	2/2/2	
297	Mr. Jones	2/2/2	
309	297	2/2	
Mr. Jones			
38022			

1. Mitchell Cotts & Co. Ltd. 19.1.38
Confirms arrival of Seical Leaves & requests C.O. to good enough
to write as before to the effect that they are the produce of British
East Africa and are accordingly admissible to Imperial Preference.

The leaves shipped in the 'Aba' were intended for Captain Magnall (of the Hydraulic Engineering Co., Chester - see no 2) or -3) but by some mistake have arrived in the hands of Mitchell Cotts and Co (see no 1 herein).

After much telephoning on the 21st & 22nd of January the position was discovered to be as follows.

Mitchell Cotts also are the legal owners of the leaves as they have in their possession the 'Bill of Lading'; they are liable to the steamship company for freight and to HM customs for any duty on the goods; and they do not want the leaves themselves as none of the firms which they are in the habit of supplying with leaves are at the moment prepared to receive any.

Captain Magnall on the other hand says that he does not want the leaves now as they have been lying about in the open air for some days (in the ship they were in cold storage) during which they will have rotted considerably.

Mitchell Cotts offered to deliver the goods to Captain Magnall in Chester if we could give an assurance that Captain Magnall or we ourselves would accept Mitchell Cotts' debit note for freight & delivery. This assurance

was not obtainable from Captain Maggall's
Law Office.

It is not known exactly how
Mitchell Cotts got possession of the leaves;
it may be that force of habit led them
to accept delivery, as they have had
many consignments in the past, or it may
be that they were incorrectly addressed to
be that they were incorrectly addressed to

Any way it seems that it is up to the
either to sort bad leaves or Maggall, who
has evidently not been responsible for the
mistake, or to expect Mitchell Cotts to pay
freight on good they did not order (that
is assuming no mistake was made by
them in import).

It has been arranged on the telephone
(that we will make his letter up, &
I submit drafts accordingly. Captain
Maggall is to let us know later what
arrangements he would like about
a second consignment of leaves, &
Mitchell Cotts want a letter to the
Customs as they will have to move
the leaves from the dock even if only
to chuck them into the sea.

Clarky White
29/1
Frimpong
25/1

7 To Custom House — costs
DEstroyed under Statute
7 To Messrs. Mitchell (w/c 2) —
Cotts & Co Ltd (Harad). } 26.1.38.

7 To Capt Maggall — so. Costs.

5 To Mr. A. F. G. Hall (c)
DEstroyed under Statute (Accra) and state that he would be grateful if another consignment
could be sent by the "Accra" which leaves the Gold Coast on 12.2.38.

7 To Gov. G. B. Tel No 25 — 28/1/38

DEstroyed under Statute

7 To Custom House — 3.2.38
States that they have given instructions for the further consignment
of ~~more~~ leaves shipped per s.s. "Aba" to Liverpool, to be admitted to
Imperial Preference.

Draft submitted for action on lines of - (19) or - (37)
Clarky White 5/2

Final
7/1/38
as above

8 To Mitchell Cotts — 7.2.38.
DEstroyed under Statute

9 Mitchell Atts & Co. Ltd

4.2.38.

Acto (3) with thanks.

DESTRUOED UND STATUTE

Gov. Govt. Comst Tel. 33 5.2.38.

(Acto 3) States that shanent will be made as requested.

DESTRUOED UND

STATUTE 10/20 8/2

? Short letter to Capt. Maugnall for Dr Penman's signature telling him his shipment of leaves has been arranged as requested in No 5, and adding that if it is shipped this time they will not go astray.

(Nos 10 & 6 were not made recoverable as Capt. Maugnall paid for the Cels. on the abortive shipment)

No 9 ? Put by

Clarke White
8/2

Clarke
8/2/38
at once

✓ To Capt. Maugnall

10 Fe. 38

DESTRUOED UND

STATUTE

Mrs. Clarke-White.

Please see minutes below (26) on 1937 file.
We sent claim to the Hydro-Electric Engineering
Co. for the cost of Nos. 25 or 26, but they
have not paid. The telegram cost
£1.14.10 and 15/4d. respectively.

In view of the situation explained in
your minute below (1) in the far do you
see any objection to Capt. Maugnall being

reminded (? by letter). We could perhaps
explain to Capt. Maugnall that no charge
will be made for the telegram relating
to the second shipment which was money.

W. Smith
18/2/38

Upon reminding I think it should be made
clear, as the W. Smith proposes, that Capt.
Maugnall is only being asked to pay the cost
of Cels - i.e. those on the abortive shipment and
not those at the present lot coming in his "Acra"
not those at the present lot coming in his "Acra"

Clarke White
8/2

The reminder might stand
over until the "Acra" arrives &
Capt. Maugnall gets his leaves.

Clarke
19/2/38
at once

Capt. Maugnall be not paid? An
letter reminding him should be sent.

W. Smith
21/2

Clarke White 20/3

Clarke
28/2/38
at once

I have issued the letter to him
H. J. M.
2/4

~~✓~~ Capt. Mangnall 2/15 cons 1/4/38

Ref to his copy of 12

13 Captain W. R. Mangnall 2/0 — 4/4/38.
Ref 12. States that account has been passed for payment
of part of for cablegrams: comments on condition of
consignment.

Ref para. 1. The account has
not yet been paid.

? See again on 4/5/38.
Note

Capt Mangnall

John Smith
23/4/38

23/4/38

W. J. Dyer
2/4
x x

ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE COMPANY

TELEPHONE
CHESTER 2087
Private Branch Exchange
3 lines

CONTRACTORS TO
THE ADMIRALTY, WAR OFFICE,
AIR MINISTRY, INDIA OFFICE,
CROWN AGENTS FOR THE COLONIES,
AND FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.

TELEGRAMS
HYDRAULIC, CHESTER
CODES
A.B.C. 8th EDITION.
BENTLEY'S.

The Hydraulic Engineering Company Ltd

LONDON OFFICE
TRAFAELGAR HOUSE, WATERLOO PLACE,
PALL MALL, S.W.1
TELEPHONE: WHITEHALL 9284
TELEGRAMS: HYDROCHEST, PICCY, LONDON



Chester 4th April 1938.

REFERENCES YOURS
Ours ARM/

Dr. M. A. Tempany, C.B.E.
Colonial Office,
Caxton House (East Block),
Tothill street, S.W.1.

Dear Dr. Tempany,

1. I have looked into the question of the small account for cablegrams to and from the Gold Coast and I find that this was passed through with last month's accounts.

2. We have not received any further account for the later consignment which came by the "Accra".

3. From an agricultural point of view, you will be interested to know that the consignment of leaves which reached us were in absolutely perfect condition, and it would have been difficult to find any difference between their condition and the condition of freshly cut leaves.

4. This is very interesting in view of the fact that on almost all previous occasions the leaves have either been quite "rotten" or dried up like pieces of leather.

Yours sincerely,

[Handwritten signature]

38022/1/38

b

C. O.

Mr. Corke White 25/1

Mr. Parkin 25/1

Dr. Tampano 25/1

Sir H. Moore. Mr. Jones 25/1/38 f

Sir G. Tomlinson.

Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Shuckburgh

Permit. U.S. of S.

Party U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

S/o far? Tampano's signature

ST 26.1.38

3 DRAFTS

Captain A.R. Kagnall

In the absence of Stockdale abroad I am writing to explain what happened to the sisal leaves that were to have arrived for you on SS. "Aba".
You have heard about it by telephone, but we don't know how much got relayed up to you in Chester from your London office, so here is the full story.
The leaves arrived and

signted in Liverpool but
1800-1500 Wt. 13035-13000 M/S 6/37
1304-1500 Wt. 13452-13000 T.S. 6/37

FURTHER ACTION.

owing to some mistake (we don't know exactly what) came into the possession of a firm which is in the habit of receiving such consignments. As soon as the mistake was realised that firm offered to hand the leaves over to you, but we quite realise that you were chary of accepting delivery of stuff which had been sitting about on the quayside for a number of days, and almost probably certainly deteriorating fast. So that lot of leaves has been wasted.

I expect you will want another lot to be sent from the gold coast in due time, & if so will you let us know, and we will try to make sure they don't go astray over twice.

(Signed) H. TEMPERY.

(? any objection
to mentioning
Mitchell Cotton Co?)

19 P.
Dinner paper
to be
in 5 ft.
Gandy

C. O.

Mr. Colly White 27/1

Mr. Parkin 25/1

Dr. Tempney 26/1

Sir H. Moore M. Jones 25/1/38

Sir G. Tomlinson.

Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Shuckburgh.

Perma. U.S. of S.

Party. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

3 DRAFTS

Mitchell Cotton also 1st.

To Customs House
Draft letter

FURTHER ACTION.

38022/1/38

3

26. January 1938

O.D.
B.R.M.A.N.
P. 26/1

Gentlemen

I am etc. to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th of January, regarding the arrival of a consignment of sisal leaves in ss "Aba" and to enclose a copy of a letter which has been addressed to H.M. Commissioners of Customs in accordance with your request.

It is understood that delivery of the leaves in question was accepted by your representative in

Liverpool in the belief that your company
was expecting their arrival. In fact,
however, the leaves were intended for
another destination from. It is understood, also,
that by accepting delivery you have become
liable to the steamship company for freight
charges on the leaves. It is further
understood that you are unable ^{yourselves} at the
moment to find any use for them. Assuming,
therefore, that on equity it is
established that the leaves failed to reach
their intended destination owing to no error
on the part of the representatives of your
company, I am to inform you that the
expenses you have incurred in this connection
~~from S.C. funds on your furnishing~~
~~will be reimbursed~~ on receipt of an account
to the office. [It is assumed that the
proceeds, if any, of the disposal of the leaves
will be offset against the above mentioned
expenses.]

Yours very truly A. J. DAWR.
I am etc.

? and []
Mrs.
Gandy

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESSES { FOREIGN NONPAREIL, LONDON
CODES BENTLEY'S (FIRST & SECOND), SCOTT, BROOKHALLS, A.B.C (ALL EDITIONS), WESTERN UNION, LOMBARD, BOX
INLAND NONPAREIL STOCK, LONDON
TELEPHONE NO LONDON WALL 4731 (FIVE LINES)

MITCHELL COTTS & CO LIMITED.

DIRECTORS:
ALEXANDER MELVILLE HAMILTON
L ALDRIDGE R C MITCHELL COTTS
L G DAWN J M DONALD
F C HORNER A F PROCTER C A

LONDON & CARDIFF

AGENCIES
PORT SUDAN AND COLOMBO

Agents for
MITCHELL COTTS & CO (SOUTH AFRICA) LTD
CAPE TOWN, PORT ELIZABETH, EAST LONDON
JOHANNESBURG, LOURENCO MARQUES, BEIRA

WM COTTS & CO LTD
DURBAN AND PORT NATAL

MITCHELL COTTS & CO (EAST AFRICA) LTD
MOMBASA, NAIROBI, KITALE,
KAKAMEGA, NISIUMU, MAKURU
KAMPALA, DAR ES SALAAM

DINGWALL COTTS & CO LTD
VANCOUVER, B.C

J.J. Paskin Esq.,
The Colonial Office,
Downing Street, S.W.1.

Dear Sir,

We beg to confirm telephone conversation of this afternoon when we advised you of the arrival of 20 bundles of Sisal Leaves per s.s. "Abe" at Liverpool.

In the absence of a certificate of origin, H.M. Customs in Liverpool will no doubt enforce the payment of duty, and therefore we shall be glad if you would kindly write as on a previous occasion to the effect that the Sisal Leaves are the produce of British East Africa and are accordingly admissible to Imperial Preference.

Thanking you in anticipation of your kind attention.

Yours faithfully,

EX-IM CO. (IMPORT & EXPORT) LTD



C. O.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir H. Moore.

Sir G. Tomlinson.

Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Shuckburgh.

Permt. U.S. of S.

Parly. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

Dear Captain Mangnall

In continuation

DRAFT.

(1) Of my letter of the 10th of Feb about the consignment of sisal leaves in the "Acrea", I have been asked to drop you a line to remove a possible source of uncertainty over the business of the recovery of the cost of the telegrams which had to be sent to the Gold Coast.

I understand that your company has been sent an account for the telegrams on the shipment on the "Aba" and the amount outstanding has reached you, but that no amount has been sent for the telegrams on the late shipment on the "Acrea" — which is why we reached you slightly by

FURTHER ACTION.

10000 650 10000 650 10000 650
10000 650 10000 650 10000 650
10000 650 10000 650 10000 650

now. ~~If it is not inconvenient~~
~~would you mind regarding the~~
~~telegrams on the above shipment~~
~~as applying to the second shipment~~
Although this is really the wrong
way round, would you find it
inconvenient to treat the sets
in the former as applying to the
latter shipment? There is of
course no question of sending
in amounts for ~~both~~ sets of sets,
but as the latter set were a bit
larger than the former, and as
the account for the first set has
already ~~been sent off~~ ^{gone}, we thought
it would be simplest ^{if you agree} to let it
stand like that.