

1925

5 *Post fed*
Pub. by General
Passed

KENYA

47607

REF ID: A655
REF 2200125

FROM

DATE

CROWN AGENTS.

21st October 1925.

FOR CIRCULATION —

Brayton
Mr. L. G. Pitt
Benton
Wash.

Amst. U.S. 1978

1868-1870

ESTATE TAXES

EMPLOYMENT

Journal of Oral Rehabilitation

REFERENCES

Aug. 1877

JUBALAND BOUNDARY COMMISSION. PASSAGES

State the name and place of birth was omitted from the list of cost of passenger of Lieut. Col. King and Sergt. Foster request the most convenient to be supplied at time of England

The passes were booked by (2nd Inst.)
or intimation from this place on the 20th
of 2 April 1869, 1869/25. The recognised
Channel for obtaining passes is the post-
office which will fall on Parliament. Votes
under the control of this place with the Post
Dept. is no question of a booking fee would
then arise. In at least one similar in-
stance the former Dept. refused to charge
this booking fee, and they would have been
fully prepared to pay it in the case of Middle
East horses if we would have consented to
this it having been agreed.

I think the omission to claim the fee in April was intentional but we are here I do not consider that we ought to pay a fee subject implying that as the cost of these lawyers will fall on the Party. What do you say?

WJD 28/07/2019

Re my note to Mr. Bullock attached. Please to
be sent for action when he replies
10th May 1925

See note from C. Dept. of 25 Nov. stated.

The claim for booking fee or passage has been set
out of Co. Acc't of 26 April 1925 (14/169/25 Hong) & was
withdrawn. "Bullion" note (9) of 19/26/1 Dec 1925 defines
what we in a case of passage chargeable to the
Parliament via or vessel of Nov 1925 as the
action by board.

A summary note also, to be desirable.

=1

~~14/169/25~~

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

14/169/25
14/169/25

Studding
12/5/25

564

the water.

Yours sincerely,

A. J. S. G.

X M. Jaffar

Defendants have been notified notice was received
to hearing. (A. 64 of August 1926)

Placed to 1926 as above

D. M. G. 8/1/26

Regd Reg., I.S.O., O.B.E.,

At my
order.

Mr. Fiddian

4/12 atm'd

Mr. R. J. Gedling

Mr. Shand

Sir G. S. Macmillan

Sir G. L. Gurnell

Sir G. D. B. Scott

John p-trised in Bulwer

"where it is necessary to take a

"passage the cost of which is charged

"to Votes of Parliament, the accounts

"Department should be consulted in

"the first instance."

Mr. Secretary State,
I have the honor to inform you that
the names of the passengers
on the vessel "Liberator" which
arrived at Colonia on the 21st
of April, 1915, during your visit, in
order of their passage are as follows:
Agents were asked to take in Colonial Office 1, 11
2nd April, 1915, 1409/1.

The letter of 2nd April states to have been
written at a suggestion by the author's son,
and is to be understood as built on the original letter.
With regard to the name Mr. or should be, when
addressed by the author's son, and no question of a
booking fee would be raised.

I find on looking at your official letter of 5th February 1925, H.P.C. No. 2764, that to cover the same, you made a claim for booking fees in the case of the
passages taken by you at the request of the Colonial
Office for the members of the Parliamentary Commission
who proceeded to Capetown in August 1924 en route for
East Africa, the cost of which was subsequently
reimbursed to you by this Office from Imperial funds.

WICKHAM, ESQ.

The

The present claim for \$5.00 per passenger
looking after our men during their passage
is for General Officers. It does not apply to this
culty. However, we know the law very well and
the Am. Legion will be in a position to make a
charge for looking after in view of what appears to
been your own law in the limited number of cases
passage for General Officers have been taken by
the request of this Office, it occurs to us that
it may be ~~suggested~~ to withdraw the claim. This is
a suggestion, and if you consider that we should
with the claim officially, I will put it forward
consideration on hearing from you.

Yours very truly,

(M) D. A. Egger

cannot expect the Crown Agents to
be responsible for drawing attention
to much a departure from the proper
~~It would be rather late~~
method to be possible for you.