

1925

KENYA

5157

DATE

2nd February 1926.

REF. 3 FEB 25

SESSION OF JUBALAND.

Have agreed to re-insert in 1925-26 Col. Services Estimates provision of 25000 for frontier delimitation. Accept principle that no expense shall fall on Kenya. Reply to further points raised in C.O. letter of 20th January.

MINUTES

As to the work, see Mr Gov's 5247
As to para 5 of Mr Gov's 52561,
we cannot take in matter further
under the Planning. The Govt will
have to be provided with a
copy of the correspondence, and
a number of copies to put
on as reserve his views on the
effect, correct on the administration
& auxiliary estimates. There will
probably be a showing on the
papers
after action has been taken

Previous Paper

Subsequent Paper

copy to Gov 325
50
525
525

5247, of the second revision

in preparation for the Parliamentary

Debate.

West Oct 5 2 14

TREASURY CHAMBERS

2nd February
January, 1935.



S. 25637.

RE-
R/ 3 FEB 25

Sir,

I have laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury Mr. Bottomley's letter of the 20th ^{inst.} instant (59561/1934) relative to the Bill which has been introduced into Parliament in order to give approval to the recent Treaty for the transfer of territory to Kenya to the Government of Italy.

In reply I am to request you to inform the Secretary of State for the Colonies that My Lords have agreed to re-insert in the Estimates for Colonial Services 1935/36 provision of 25,000 in respect of the anticipated expenses of frontier delimitation and that they accept generally the principle that no expense should fall on Kenya in this matter.

My Lords agree to the proposal in paragraph 4 of the letter under reply. With regard to paragraph 5 they are not prepared to give an assurance to recognise

claims

Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

claims by Kenya on the hypothetical basis there discussed nor could They consider the reimbursement of expenditure which had not received Their prior approval.

While therefore a pledge may be given to Parliament that the non-recurrent cost of actual transfer will be borne by Imperial Funds, it would be desirable, should occasion arise, to negative in more definite terms than proposed any suggestions of consequential claims by Kenya.

My Lords note that Mr. Amery will resist representations on behalf of private companies which have held properties in the area to be transferred but in view of the provisions of Articles 3 and 7 of the Treaty no purpose would in Their opinion be served by conceding a promise to give personal consideration to claims which may be put forward.

I AM,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

John Bantam