

We know nothing of Mr. J.B.

Liewellyn personally beyond what is
stated in the letter from Mr. R. Wynn
attached to 15091. He is certainly a
good fellow from what one can judge from
interviews.

The position with regard to his land is outlined in the minute in 8196/22: He borrowed money in order to buy land near Nairobi which under the proposals for residential segregation, would have been of great value as an Indian Township; that before the residential segregation proposals had been definitely formulated and five years before the Indians heard anythin about the proposals. It is not, however, material to enquire how "r. blewllyn got such early information of what was in the wine.

to the general Indian controversy, nothing has been done to give effect to these proposals, and according to the latest plans for settling the Indian question, residential segregation will be dropped. A year ago Mr.Llewellyn's creditors were apparently on the point of foreclosing; but it would seem that they have not done so yet.

Mr.Llewellyn's first proposal
was that the Kenya Covernment should give
him land in exchange for part of this
town land, so that by selling the new
land he would obtain funds for clearing

his debt and developing the remainder of the Nairobi plot.

Sir E. Northey was unable to agree.

When the matter came up again last
November, we asked the new Coverner to give
further consideration to the matter because
owing to the uncertainty as to residential
segregation he could not dispose of the
land to anyone. We have not yet had a
reply.

In this new letter, Mr. Liesellyn su ests that a superior Indian township cuch as he could supply gives the solution the segreration difficulty; if the better class Indian could live in such an area he \_ would not want to live in the areas affected. by Europeans. This is a new light on the question and I should be mlad to believe at we had so easy a way out of our serregation difficulty but what the Indians claim is the right to live among Europeans the attempt to coax them into a different area would I feel sure be rejected simply because their objection to residential segregation is more sentimental trar rea...

If it levelly nould hang on for a year or two, after the political sattlement is effected. I dare say he would, at all events, clear his loss; but I am unable to advise that an individual speculator should be saved from the consequences of our political difficulties, and although a copy of this letter also might be sent out to the Covernor for his consideration, I do not see that we can give the Governor any

lead in the matter.

his debt and developing the remainder of the Nairobi plot.

Sir E. Northey was unable to agree
When the matter came up again last
November, we asked the new Covernor to give
further consideration to the matter because
owing to the uncertainty as to residential,
segregation he could not dispose of the
land to anyone. We have not yet had a
reply.

In this new letter, Mr. Liesellyn sincests that a superior Indian township such as ne could supply gives the solution to the segremation difficulty, if the better class Indian could live in such an area he would not want to live in the areas affected to Thropeans. This is a new lightion the obestion and I should be glad to believe that we had so easy a way out of our segremation difficulty but what the Indians has is the right to live among Europeans, the attempt to coar them into a different area would I feel sure be rejected simply because their objection to presidential segregation is more sentimental than real.

If in levellyn could hang on for a year of two, after the political settlement is effected. I daresay he would, at all events clear his loss; but I am unable to advise that an individual speculator should be saved from the consequences of our political difficulties, and although a copy of this letter also might be sent out to the Covernor for his consideration, I do not see that we can give the Covernor any

lead-

I may add that Mr.Llewellyn telephoned to me a week ago to ask how the Indian settlement stood. I said that I could give him no information; but on his pressing me I told him that I did not think that the decision on residential segregation would be favourable to his schemes.

6 cd. 30.1.23

Is only the bun went to orter? Lord Duke Red 1 14823 The Indian question in Kenza Colony ous me in Extreme formancial difficulties to regard to eng property and there + though are written on several rocasions to the and office and the forema of the Colons, hight Lash you to be food enough consider the following matters and if I have spent some years in the Colony ... What I combend would go a long was releving the present difficulties out there; mely:-land land out as a model Indian whip the only mitall land for trees tono hear hairob

HOUSER TWO
TOO, GROAT POUTLAND STREET.
LORGON, W. 1.

hy contention with report to knowledge of forwhip fropicts is board on the Experience faund on the Bute of Rutland o proporty of Bakewell + Alterton under the Payne fallows, or have further superience faund in south

The reasons There for believing it would a cutain schould release the present despealth is many a few of which are as follows. I ho there have been been taken to wright the high class Indian from the saverpen law in residential area of the gention has altached in the sorry man affected in the sorry man affected in the sorry man affected in the sorry man appearance of and in the started upopation of a white or high class Indians from the class Indians

2 hoshes have soes been taken to allow

Space Too 100, Goory Postland Street, Loncon, W. 1.

hy contention with report to know Pite of forotip property is based on the Experience pand on the Bute of Rutland o proporty Bakewell + Stephen under the Payne fallow, retain further superience fained in south

The reasons There for believing it would a curtain Extent relein the present defreulting many a few of which are as follows.

uregate the high class Indian from the tourpen (as a in residential areas of the general to in the sorry manual from the surpensation of acialies from the surpersation of acialies from the surpersation of white or high class Indians from a class Indians

2 hoships have soes ben taken to allow

Remore Two 100, Gener Postunes Street, London, Mr.

Indiana to prove in Shilade husombings , of they are capable of municipal Control profresie health awangements. 3. If a subable poton of land is set aside as a Residential area for Indians attractively developed with load, arenno the in Which clares can be contined to demanding the exection of building land particular take in despective anas - then to Indian would be kind to his in that area where he could be fire numerful control or five seprences to his clear of development. 4 The Indian out a Kenza who is Aucaked has some justification in conflaint were nothing has been done for him ? is treated practically thering on a par

Separa Tro Security Products Street, Lance, S. r.

his the some surper: If he saw that his interests were being shedies at all, whis requirement catered for, he would be inclined to fre fo his interest Claims. I am fre part to fat this property at the chipmal of the foreinment Sither as Esthance for other property or for a very resonable dim of money.

The present emcertainty of the Inhan taken in Kenza breaked me from having the meenary finance to pay my hablish were or dentoping it I I am being considered to with lyard to the matter

The local authorities and there returnedly courier my proportion is Consecued a lively for framewal fain + I believe to let five it the far sighted + lopeal Construction desires; besides which, I thank they are of over anxion to such any money in

with his own mupes: If he saw that his interests were being studied atall, this requirement cahered for, he would be arelined to fre to his source Claims. I am prepared to put this property at the disposal of the forcement Sither .. Exchange for other property or for a very resonable dim of money. The present uncertainly of the Intian Halus in Kenja precludes he from havens the meanary finance to pay my habilities were or developing it & fam being considered coed with lyard to the matter The local authorities out there taturally consider my proportion to Conterned to levely for funcial fam + I believe to I five it the farty iled + lopeal countral discurs; besides which, I think they are over anxions to sent any money in

-

he divelopment of such a truly betien hepartion regardles of the fact that any relief to the present important britism may have the hard luminous offer outer futures aminable relations between England and India

Mean auft my apolige for the

Believe me Last Duke Tour france met obelient servant

IB Klanty

should be fortiful forthe effortunity of

Square Tree,

the development of med a truely betien hoporation regardles of the fresh that my releif to the present unfortunate without many have the most unnumer fect outse future amounts relations between England and India

Please accept my apologic for the

Believe my long Duke

Tour fraces mot obeliens servant

IB Klandy

should be patiful forthe effectional, of

when he's

Mat January , 1928

Dear Sir,

The Duke of Devenshire desires me to asknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th January and to say that the matter has received his careful consideration. He proposes to send a copy of your letter to the Governor of Kamya for consideration; and in the meantime he does not feel that any useful purpose would be served by an interview on the subject.

Yours faithfully.

White ?

31st January, 1923

Dear Sir,

The Duke of Devenshire desires me to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th January and to say that the matter has received his careful consideration. He proposes to send a copy of your letter to the Governor of Komya for consideration; and in the meantime he does not feel that any useful purpose would be served by an interview on the subject.

Yours faithfully,

Llevellyn , Enq.

Let February , 1923

Dear Sir.

since you rang me up this norming I have made further enquiries and I find that there is no of he kind you make any heart to true here of any undertaking having been given to you by Sir Edward Morthey. The whole question of your claim was referred to Sir Edward when he was still Governor of Kenya and as you know he advised the Secretary of State in an adverse sense. This gives rise to a strong presumption that there was if some misunderstanding employer part, as if Sir Edward had given you an undertaking this would certainly have been a factor in the view which he formed on the case.

A further despatch on the subject was sent the present Governor towards the end of last year and pending the receipt or a reply to this I do not think any purpose would be served by your seeing the Secretary of State.

Yours faithfully

let Pobruary,1923

Dear Sir.

since you rang me up this norming I have made further enquiries and I find that there is no trace here of any undertaking having been given to you by Sir Edward Morthey. The whole question of your claim was referred to Sir Edward when he was still deverner of Kemya and as you know he advised the Secretary of State in an adverse sense. This gives rise to a strong presumption that there was some misunderstanding empour part, as if Sir Edward had given you an undertaking this would certainly have been a factor in the view which he formed on the case.

A further despatch on the subject was sent to the present Governor towards the end of last year and pending the rescipt of a reply to this I do not think any purpose would be served by your seeing the Secretary of State.

Yours faithfully,

Mr. Liesellyn telephoned to say that he thinks Mr. Maraphas misunderstood his letter.

Mr.L. was referring to the Government - not to the Governor.

Lord Elgin and Lord Milner both declared a certain policy regarding must africa and the Government in 1911 sent out Professor Simpson on the subject of Mucation and on his recommendation to the Government that

Mr. L. bought his land. The Government is not prepared to confirm the policy declared by Lord Milner and sent-out Prof. Simpson.

In Nottonley has been of when I are not of the vorm, a the above every we taken down It is quite culsin that he did I ay that he had an undulaking from his E. Northy!

I said think I need take any writer

[11]

Mr. Llewellyn telephoned to say that he thinks Mr. Massishas misunderstood his letter.

Mr.L. was referring to the Government - not to the Governor.

Lord Elgin and Lord Milner both declared a certain policy regarding East Africa and the Government in 1911 sent out Professor Simpson on the subject of Education and on his recommendation to the Government that

Mr. L. bought his land. The Government is not prepared to confirm the policy declared by Lord Milner and sent-out Prof. Bimpson.

An Bottonley

Mu Clewellyn ray an of when Sam out

of the room, a the above evenage was taken down

It is quite cutain Keat he did say that he had
an undulaking from In E. Northy!

I soit think I need take any notice

Number Two
100, GREAT PORTLAND STREET,
LONDON, W. 1.

7th Pebruary, 1923.

E. Marsh, Esq., Colonial Office, S. W. 1.

Dear Sir.

I have to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 1st instant.

I am afraid you misunderstood me on the telephone with reference to the "undertaking". You probably thought I said "Governor" when I actually said "Government". I referred to the declarations of policy made by Lord Elgin and Lord Milner and the further recommendations of the Government expert, Professor Simpson.

You may bave ress a memerandum on the Indian question dated September, 1921, unanimously approved by the unofficial members of the legislative Council and the Convention or associations of Kenya, in which it is pointed out that should the Government change its previously dealared policy it will break a solemn pledge given to the Suropean (not only British) colonists in Kenya. If you have not seen the memorandum I shall be pleased to show it to you

NUMBER TWO

100, GREAT PORTLAND STREET,
LONDON, W. 1.

7th Pebruary, 1923.

E. March, Esq., Colonial Office, S. W. 1.

Dear Sir.

I have to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 1st instant.

I am afraid you misunderstood me on the telephone with reference to the "undertaking". You probably thought I said "Governor" when I actually said "Government". I referred to the declarations of policy made by Lord Elgin and Lord Milner and the further recommendations of the Government expert, Professor Simpson.

You may have read a memorandum on the Indian question dated September, 1921, unanimously approved by the unofficial members of the legislative Council and the Convention of Associations of Kenya, in which it is pointed out that should the Government change its previously declared policy it will break a solemn pleage given to the European (not only British) colonists in Kenya. If you have not seen the memorandum I shall be pleased to show it to you

Number Two 104, GREAT PORTLAND STREET, LORDON, W. 1.

- 2 -

as it very clearly explains the attitude we suropeans who have lived long in that country adopt towards the Indian question.

My reason for wishing to see the to do enything in my particular case as to ask him whether there was any chance of the Government being unable to confirm the policy which previous secretaries of State have declared. By this I mean will the Indians round about Emirobi be allowed to live where they like or will they only be allowed to reside in specially reserved areas?

urgent? Might I ask you to treat this matter as

I hag to remain

Yours very truly,

B. hlewit

MUSSER TWO 100, GREAT PORTLANS STREET, LONDON, W.1.

\_ 0 \_

as it very clearly explains the attitude we suropeans who have lived long in that country adopt towards the Indian question.

My reason for wishing to see the to do anything in my particular case as to ask him whether there was any chance of the Government being unable to confirm the policy which previous Secretaries of State have declared. By this I mean will the Indians round about Mairobi be allowed to live where they like or will they only be allowed to reside in specially reserved areas?

ur gent?

Might I ask you to treat this matter as

I beg to remain,

B. blewity

9th February, 1923

Dear Sir,

I have soor letter of the 7th, and I am sorry if I minunderateog our telephone senvernation, in which I certainly thought you had mentioned Sir R. Morthey by a name, telling me that you had gone through the plane of the preposed settlement with him, and that he had committed himself to the cohere.

I am afraid it is quite impossible for the Best stary of State to see you at the present time about the Indian question, but with regard to the special point which you mantion I am any that there is at present no intention to reverse the policy of a piacing the residential segregation of Indiana by a system of building and manitary regulations.

Yours faithfully,

wate Too EAT PORTLAND STREET. London, W. 1.

11.2.23.

arsh, Esq. onial Office, S.W.1.

er Sir.

I have to acknowledge with thanks the receipt our letter dated the 9th inst.

regret that I am not quite clear as to the aning of your last paragraph.

Do you mean that there is a policy which is to cel the old policy of segregation of Indians; and that tain building and sanitary regulations will be the y bar to Indians living in what is now the butterean dential quarter.

If the above is correct, would you kindly let mow if the policy is definite and final, and when it be put into operation for certain,

For personal reasons the matter is of the utmost ortance and urgency, and I shall be very grateful If it reply at your earliest convenience.

Tours tagentully.

Sunge Too Lousou, W. 1.

11.2.23.

arsh. Esc of onial Office, S.W.1.

rar Sir.

I have to acknowledge with thanks the receipt our letter dated the 9th inst.

regret that I am not quite clear as to the aning of your last paragraph.

Do you mean that there is a policy which is to cel the eld policy of segregation of Indians; and that tain building and sanitary regulations will be the ly bar to Indians living in what is now the European dential quarter.

. If the above is correct, would you kindly let mow if the policy is definite and final, and when it be put into operation for certain.

For personal reasons the matter is of the utmost rtance and urgency, and I shall be very grateful if d reply at your earliest convenience.

Yours raighfully.

12 26. 1923 Then we say it 1154 Cherry & 20mg; Che I afond in way bee of the fact the wespend to which of to Survey topling of uplacing to Action lys mide are exiger by a sec I ander and senting upating Mr Davis. Non employing, for you for the Sur G. Grindle. Sur H. Rend. The Juneating he strong Ser J. Maderton laster you che you alpeas Mr Ormsby Gore. ... of Denoualire 6 km is farming - dish are botting that the decision on undertel origina wow to formed type where. Miscuprice for me to may

Me ! 12 Re. 1923 Then you say you ist Maraga & Zenny Gen I a form on way but of the g. That the wes present to within of to Demane to parting of enflowing the Ves milet opposin be see W. Garade 12 I diding and sering regratery Non andafying, for you for some Sur G. Grindle. Sur H. Rend. What I amentered he Betting or J. Maderica Lastre you che you alpean Mr Ormsby Gore. -ke of Deponative. 6 km is farmay - the ac hosting the the decision on underted appointe wow to formed byon school. the infinite for we aray

the in listing functions and as to word, he day to to the with womanications chief to have had on the discussion, as appears a large on the home, queton so sugestion for its mente for lan made. It may be a lay time after anything more definite can be ming borntelling you so be received and we have ong told you so some because we wit 2 to let in hear archarg or we can when the stand

for from y (Sa) & M.

the the litting factions and as he would, he lang to the day with annuniating chair to have had on the diamin, an eifigen, a large on the horing queties to regestion for its morne has been made. It may be a lay time lafter anything more definite can be ming barratelling you so ke secretion and we have one took you so semal because we wit & to let you know as chang as we can when you stand for factifully