1924 1923 KENYA 1 25 Jan 1 1924 sem officel) Indians a Keniga Proposal of Indian En! A hublish certain comerkandence. Suittend 19 11 14 Previous l'aper MINUTES 1 10/mg secret be are to have an official letter but I have thought it light to to comment on the Sate. Office sugar 4. 60 The first front he sound by do not employed to fact The decision to be allasta by petucation of conspicularies care those of N M fort The Merry's letyran is of come wing from at fact of Subsequent Paper Seria posit of wear, understy terpretare

to do not

Acous that they do not care whose this things way do to

by a, it series, off

The question is whether, as the first of hora are

( of they we with a seemed to to

so) in raying there to

un do not were more by sening

& start that the printing

I star that the printers

for the 9.0. for it was

flaction. It can be may

5.18.

Proflection of per orally

Kin maning my rims (as

from) of Kin grantin of

publishing from of the

Marine competence (in the

paramin of the foot of hadin)

Kar had who he to the while

Profess.

Mistrice you will marine

in digning Phisics this afternoon.

Laborate in this think of

Phisics beller which reference in

this defeate which wis in to

about you obligate could

ben - instit

KS 7114

INDIA OFFICE.

LONDON. S.W.1.

25th January 1924.

Dear lo ; wley,

que the publication in India of certain correspondence on the first on in News. I so lose advance (annual phrased danies of tele, ratio one from the viceroy.

The series of tele, ratio one from the viceroy.

The series of tele, ratio one from the viceroy.

The series of tele, ratio one from the viceroy.

The series of tele, ratio one from the viceroy.

The series of tele, ratio one from the viceroy.

The series of tele, ratio one from the viceroy.

The series of tele, ratio one from the viceroy.

We fix now write officially sending copy of the Government of India's letter and paraphrases of the telegrams. Your ill see from the telegram of 24th January that it; will not the an easy task to persuade the Government of India to give up the idea of publishing something.

Yours sincerely,

Lustin

Colonial Office,

From Secretary of State to Vicercy (Department of squestion, Health & Lands) dated 14th January 1924.

J.X



137. Your telegram bth January. Kanya correspondence.
You will understand that before authorising publication it was necessary for me to consult Colonial Office. Latter have advanced following objections to publication of documents referred to firstly risk of giving handle to critics of resulting decisions secondly publication of any correspondence which has been supplemented throughout by oral discussion would give a defective and misleading impression thirdly demand would probably arise here or is kenya for publication of further correspondence with consequent risk of reviving whole controversy at time when emigration question is still outstanding.

There is some audulance in these objections from Colonial Office point of view and a Should be gied to tearn what degree of importance as compared therealth you consider attaches to publication of whole or part of correspondence referred to.

I understood your telegrem of 14th august had to refer to correspondence that passed in July.

& O 371

Copy of telegram from Vicercy, Department of
Education, Health and Lands to Secretary of State for India.

Dated Delhi, 24th January 1924.

Received 24th January 1924.

Your telegrem of the 14th instent, 137. Kenya correspondence. We appreciate the strength of the objections advanced by the Colonial Office, but, in view of the fact that the substance of early portion of the correspondence was published in White Paper, actual text will be in our opinion, conducive to a correct appreciation of the situation, and, in any case, be less liable to give rise to misconceptions than the rumours of personal interviews ourrent in this country. We consider that, from our point of view, advantages of publication outweigh the disadvantages indicated by the Colonial Office, and, as the agitation in this country has not abated recently, do not regard the risk of its revival as material consideration. We now apply formally for leave to publish the correspondence referred to in Butler's letter of the 22nd November.

0 371

Copy of telegram from Vicercy, Department of
Sducation, Health and Lands to Secretary of State for India.

Dated Delhi, 24th January 1924.

Received 24th January 1924.

Your telegram of the 14th instant, 137. Kenya correspondence, de appreciate the strength of the objections advanced by the Colonial Office, but, in view of the fact that the substance of early portion of the correspondence was published in White Paper, actual text will be in our opinion, conducive to a correct appreciation of the situation, and, in any case, be less liable to give rise to misconceptions than the rumours of personal interviews ourrent in this country. We consider that, from our point of view, advantages of publication outweigh the disadvantages indicated by the Colonial Office, and, as the agitation in this country has not absted recently, do not regard the risk of its revival as material consideration. We now apply formally for leave to publish the correspondence referred to in Butler's letter of the 22nd November.

& O 371

Copy of telegram from Vicercy, Department of

Education, Health and Lands to Secretary of State for India.

Dated Delhi, 24th January 1924.

Acceived 24th January 1924.

Your telegrem of the 14th instant, 137. Kenya correspondence, We appreciate the strength of the objections advanced by the Colonial Office, but, in view of the fact that the substance of early portion of the correspondence was published in White Paper, actual text will be in our opinion, conqueive to a correct appreciation of the situation, and, in any case, be less liable to give rise to misconceptions than the rumours of personal interviews current in this country. We consider that, from our point of view, advantages of publication outweigh the disadvantages indicated by the Colonial Office, and, as the agitation in this country has not abated recently, do not regard the risk of its revival as material consideration. We now apply formally for leave to publish the correspondence referred to in Butler's letter of the 22nd November.