

1924

KENYA

C.O.
25239
27 MAY 24

334

INDIA OFFICE.

Date
26th May 1924.

CIRCULATION :-

Boothby

EDUCATION BILL.

Fwd copy of letter from Govt of India enquiring as to interpretation of Section 25 of Bill, which ~~relates~~ to certificate of competency required in a teacher.

at U.S. of S.

*Sinthead
2/11/24*

at U.S. of S.

at U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

Previous Paper

6.6 - 92. 22296

MINUTES

There are no schedules to the bill registered in 22245/24-1, but they appears in the Gazette of 19th March (copy L. with). It is clear that schedule "1" is worded in such a way as to at once arouse apprehension in India. The question is whether Indian Universities come under "any recognized University in Great Britain and the Dominions" (i.e. recognized by the Kenya Education Dept.) or under "other institution approved by the Director." A Kenya Director of Education with an anti-Indian bias could rule them out. The schedule... also

10 JUL 1924

600 - 6 JUN 1924

copy comes to Govt by a ... 10 JUN 1924

Subsequent Paper

Govt 26891

MINUTES.

MINUTES NOT TO BE WRITTEN
ON THIS SIDE.

objectionable on other grounds of
 why should an American
 missionary with a good
 American degree be prohibited
 from teaching in an American
 mission school "except where
 exemption is granted by the
 Director upon the recommendation
 of the District Committee." At
 the same time I am surprised
 that the Indian Govt. should
 have raised this point while
 overlooking the important anti-
 Indian provision in clause 41 of
 the Bill.

I don't think India would
 object to its children being
 equipped by a knowledge
 of English for full competition
 in Benga activities

2. Advise the S. of P. premises
 that the views of the Govt. of
 India is correct, but add that the
 Govt. has already been requested
 to report fully on the objection
 Bill before its introduction to
 the Legislative Council & that
 this point will not be
 overlooked; & copy letter & reply
 to Govt. for info. L.F. J.W.
 2-6-24.

W.S.
 26. 24

at once
 J.W.
 2/27/24

objectionable on other grounds e.g.
why should an American
missionary with a good
American degree be prohibited
from teaching in an American
mission school "except where
exception is granted by the
Director upon the recommendation
of the District Committee." At
the same time I am surprised
that the Indian Govt. should
have raised this point while
overlooking the important anti-
India provisions in clause 41 of
the Bill.

2. Action. The S. of S. premises
that the views of the Govt. of
India is correct, but adds that the
Govt. has already been requested
to report fully on the Education
Bill before its introduction to
the Legislative Council & that
this point will not be
overlooked; & reply letter & reply
to Govt. for info. Lt. *W.S.*
26.24

I don't think India would
object to its children being
equipped by a knowledge
of English for full competition
in foreign activities

W.S. 26.24

at once
J. S.
2/11/24

Further communication on this subject should
be sent to—

Under Secretary of State for India,
Economic Department,
& Overseas India Office,
London, S.W. 1.

E & O 1119/24



INDIA OFFICE,

305

C. C. WHITEHALL,
25239
26
27 MAY 24

LONDON, S.W. 1.

26th May, 1944.

Form 8820. I.O. Est. No.

London, London.

Sir,

I am directed by the Secretary of State for India to transmit, for the information of the Secretary of State for the Colonies, copy of a letter received from the Government of India on the subject of a bill entitled "An Ordinance to provide for the management of education throughout the Colony and Protectorate of Kenya" published for information and criticism in the Kenya Official Gazette of the 19th March, 1924.

2. Lord Olivier would be glad to be informed as to the reply which should be given to the enquiry made in paragraph 2 of the Government of India's letter.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

E. Turner

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office,
S.W. 1.

Dated
1.5.24.

no. 178

Government of India,

Department of Education, Health & Lands.

(Overseas).

Sir, the 1st May 1924. 1924

From

G.S. Bajpai, Esquire, B.A., I.C.S.,

305

Off. Deputy Secretary to the Government of India,

To

His Majesty's Under-Secretary of State for India,

Education and Overseas Department,

India Office, London.

Education Ordinance, No. 1924.

Sir,

I am directed to address you with regard to the Bill entitled "An Ordinance to provide for the management of education throughout the Colony and Protectorate of Kenya" which is published for criticism on pages 493 to 495 of the official Gazette of Kenya dated the 19th March 1924.

2. Section 20 of the Ordinance provides that no person shall ordinarily be appointed as a teacher in any public, private or assisted school etc. who does not hold a certificate of competency or a license to teach issued or recognised by the Education Department of the Colony in schedule II which prescribes the certificates to be accepted. The Government of India assume that the phraseology of the last paragraph of schedule II is intended to cover diplomas in teaching of recognised Indian universities and I am to request that, with the permission of His Majesty's Secretary of State for India, they may be informed whether this assumption is correct.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. G.S. Bajpai.

Offg. Deputy Secretary.

10-25239/24 Kenya

307

or 500/26891/24



SH

6 June 1924

DRAFT.

Minister of Education
Colonial Office

Sir,

Minister of Education
Colonial Office

10
MINUTE.

Mr. Brett June 3

Mr. Calder 4.6

Mr.

Sir C. Davis.

Sir G. Grindle.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Masterton Smith.

Lord Arnold.

Mr. Thomas.

copy to Sir Rya 594-600 6 JULY 1924

reference to act. the recd
of your letter, E.O. 1119/24,
of the 26th of May, regarding
an enquiry of the Govt of India as to
the Bill entitled "An
Ordinance to provide for the
management of education
throughout the Colony and
Protectorate of Kenya," &
to request you to inform

Lord Olivier that Mr Thomas
presumes that the assumption
of the Govt of India with regard
to the intention of Schedule II
of the Bill is correct.

2. The Govt of Kenya has
however already been requested
to report fully on the Bill before
its introduction to the Legislative
Council & this point will not
be overlooked.

Joz

Lord Olivier that Mr Thomas
presumes that the assumption
of the Govt of India with regard
to the intention of Schedule II
of the Bill is correct.

2. The Govt of Kenya has
however already been requested
to report fully on the Bill before
its introduction to the Legislative
Council & this point will not
be overlooked.

Joz