

The Regulations have
been in force for 18
months and the
shopping people have
have had been for

tan Tana. of By? Cetter

(see 3/968/23) Hore have been no protects.

a spe ofward.

4.9.24

the Report of the book to the star to the

Ran ANK

N. 5649/24

MERGANTILE MARINE DEPARTMENT, BOARD OF TRADE, 3. SANCTUARY BULLDINGS

GREAT SMITH STREET,

LONDON, S.W.1.

30th August, 1924.

lifth interance to your letter of the 9th January, 1924, regarding the Kenya Public Health (Port Health) Regulations, 1923, I am directed by the Board of Trade to transmit herewith, for the information of Mr. Secretary Thomas, copy of correspondence with the

Ministry of dealth on the subject.

As Mr. Thomas will no doubt agree, there are considerations other than purely medical ones, which also have a bearing on such a case; and the Board question whether the Port Health Officer would be justified in requiring a person on board a ship visiting a port in the Colony to be vaccinated against his will, if such person could, and would, give adequate guarantees that he would not land in the Colony.

de Under Secretary of State. Colonial Office, S.W.1. The

Board of Trade,
Board of Trade,
3. Sanctuary mildings,
Great Smith Street,
London, S. W.L.

27th February, 1924

Sir

With reference to the letter from this Department of 20th June last (E.8416/23) forwarding a copy of the Kenya Public Health (Port Health) Regulations, 1923, I am directed by the Board of Trade to transmit herewith a copy of correspondence between this Department and the Gelenial Office in the matter.

From the last letter from the Colonial Office it appears that a Regulation on the lines of the new Regulation which the Beard jake exception to is already in force in South Africa. This South African Regulation had not previously been brought to the Board's notice.

The Board have mot received any complaint as to the operation of the South African Regulation but much would doubtless depend on the manner in which the Regulation is administered. There is,

The Secretary of Health

The Board recognise that the mere possibility that a regulation may be administered in an oppressive manner may not, in itself, be a sufficient reason for rescinding it; but I am to express the hope that Port Health Officers in the Golony will use the greatest possible discretion in the exercise of their powers under Article 28 of the Regulations.

I am to add that similar considerations would appear to apply to Article 14 of the South African Regulations. Those Regulations do not appear to have been previously brought to the Board's notice.

I have the honour to be.

Sir.

Your obedient Servent,

CH. Garage

in the Board's opinion, a certain danger that the assumption by Port Authorities of the power to require every person on every ship entering the port to be vaccinated may lead to considerable difficulties unless it is very carefully safeguarded; and the question whether the exercise of such powers is really necessary in the interests of health appears to require examination.

The Board would be glad if they might be favoured with the Minister's observations as to the validity of the argument contained in the memorandum dated 12th Rovember prepared by the Acting Principal Medical Officer, Nairobi. In particular they would be glad to know whether, in the Minister's opinion, a person on board a ship was declines to be vaccinated is likely to become infected with smallpox from the shore, even if he likely to become a source of infection to persons on shore even if he himself may be isolated.

Your obedient Servent, (Sed.) G.E. Baker.

in the Board's opinion, a certain danger that the assumption by Port Authorities of the power to require every person on every ship entering the port to be vaccinated may lead to considerable difficulties unless it is very carefully safe-guarded; and the question whether the exercise of such powers is really necessary in the interests of health appears to require examination.

The Board would be glad if they might be favoured with the Minister's observations as to the validity of the argument contained in the memorandum dated 12th Kovember prepared by the Acting Principal Medical Officer, Nairobi. In particular they would be glad to know whether, in the Minister's opinion, a person on board a snip was declines to be vaccimated is likely to become infected with smallpox from the shore, even if he does not land, and, if so, whether he himself is likely to become a source of infection to persons on shore even if he himself may be isolated.

Your obedient Servant, (Sgd.) 7.E. Baker. Сору.

Ministry of Health, Whitehall, S.W.l. lst August, 1924.

161274/23.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of 8th ultimo 1.5649/24, I am directed by the Minister of Health to state that the delay in replying is due to the fact that your communication of 27th Pebruary last (regarding the Kenya Public Health (Port Health) Regulations, although duly received, is unable to be found.

I am to express regret for the cause of delay and if you would be good enough to send a copy of the original communication it is hoped that no further delay will be occasioned.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Assistant Secretary, Mercantile Marine Department, Board of Trade,

(Sgd.)

3, Sanctuary Buildings, Great Smith Street, S.W.l. Ministry of Health,

Whitehall, S.W.1.

21st August, 1924

1110/161274/23,

Bir.

I am directed by the dimister of health to advert to your letter of the 7th instant, No. 3.5649/24 relative to article 28 of the Kenya Public Health (Port Health) Regulations, 1923, which empowers the Port Health Officer to require in certain circumstances the vaccination of any person on board any ship at a port in the Colony.

I am to state for the information of the Board of Trade that the Minister is advised that the arguments of the acting Principal Medical Officer at Nairebi in his memorandum of November 12th, 1923, are sound from the medical point of view. They represent the arguments on which it is outtomary in several foreign countries and apparently also in South Africa to require vaccination as a condition of landing in the country when smallpox is prevalent or even when that disease is not actually prevalent.

Assistant Secretary, Mercantile Marine Department, Board of Trade,

3, Band wary Buildings,

S.W.1.

144

As regards the argument in favour of vaccinating persons on board who do not land, such persons would not be necessarily free from risk of contracting smallpox, if the disease was prevalent at the time in the port. As suggested by the acting Principal Medical Officer it is possible for intection to be conveyed by means of contacts, or infected clothing and baggage, while it may sometimes be difficult to control the movements of the persons on the ship so strictly that they do not go ashore.

It may be considered therefore, that the vaccination of an unprotected person while in the port is desirable, whether he goes on shore or not, if at the time smallpox is prevalent at the port to any notable extent. The Regulation proposed should not give rise to hardship or objection, if it is used with discretion and limited to occasions on which there is substantial risk of smallpox infection.

In practical administration it might be desirable not to enforce the requirement in the case of a passenger who declines to be vaccinated and gives sufficient guarantees that he will not go ashore and will

submit to any necessary measures of isolation and undertake to pay the cost of treatment in hospital should be be attacked by smallpox. Action of this kind, however, would be within the discretion of the Port Medical Officer and could hardly be provided for in the Regulations.

I am to express regret that your letter of the 27th February last on this subject has been mislaid.

1 am, Sir,

Your obedient Servant, (Sgd.) F. Slator.

500 Kanya 62538/24 Sept 1924 with retts you repail to Sor longador (6254) 1725 of the 23th of New last, (Pat Halt) Rigulation , 1923 M. fewell 5 9.24 Marching 5/9 for fd/ There the burnto transmit to puth are offo proms Sir G. Grivelle. Sir H. Read. solpist Copies of the admin 200 do 30 hay (4134) the late front Board 2 2 Comment

my thank I would have minte your attacked to the Associated the Blow of Trade of Die as to I serving his humaning of all positle Bireration i mo escrite & Ihave (for the Secretary of State) (Signed) HENRY LAMBERT

Downing Street,

with reference to your despatch

September, 1924

DRAFT.

KENYA.

(62538/23) Gov. Coryndon.

MINUTE.

Mr. Jewell 5.9.24.

Me Machtig 5.9.

Sir O. Davis.

Sir G. Grindle.

Sir H. Read.

Bir J. Masterton Smith.

Lord Arnold.

Mr. Thomas

B. of T.9 Jan. 1924 (62538/23)

-40- 30 AHR. (41340)

No. 1725 of the 23rd of November, I have the honour to transmil to you the accompanying conies of further, corresp undence with Ane Boardof Trade regarding the provisions of Article 28 of

the Kenya Public Health (Port Health)

Regulations 1925 .

Having regard to the views expressed by the Board of Trade I am prepared to approve the retention of the regulations . I would, however, invite your attention to the Board of Trade's opinion as to the desirability of using

append that the Regulation to 28 appendix of the second in the second of the second in unite ju attation to the ofowntiand the Board of Trade in grision as to it working . he heriality q consiste wing all posite bireresis is no excusive of the forces which it confers (Signed) HENRY LAMBERT

all possible discretion in the exercise

of the powers which it confers

1 have etc.

(for the Secretary of State)
(Signed) HENRY LAMBERY