

1924

KENYA

C.O.  
35753

28 July 24

War Office  
(the Cash)

S.O.

DATE

26 July 1924

CIRCULATION

in Britain and

U.S.

U.S.

Last, U.S. of S.

Perm U.S. of S.

Perm U.S. of S.

Secretary of State

Previous Paper

Sent 23/7/24

MINUTES

Reference is made to my note of 26th April and further note that it is my belief that the above mentioned have effected the agreement with regard to the 17th Army regarding the supply of coal to the area. It is requested that no coal be issued by that agreement.

Recd 8 Aug 24 (100)

My copy come to Kenya 07/08/24  
to  
Kenya 30/8/24Copy above to 100 - 8 Aug 1924  
30/8/24 held down 15 A.G. 7/4/24For typewriter 1000/272425  
copy despatched 1000/1924

Subsequent Paper

86  
36099  
30  
S.E. Account4904  
1924  
1924

Tel. No.—Vest. 9400.

further communications on this  
should be addressed to—

The Secretary

War Office

London, S.W. 1.  
Following number quoted

(F.1.)



C. O.

35753

23 JUL 24

WAR OFFICE,

LONDON, S.W. 1,

26th July, 1924.

Dear Bottomley,

Colonial Office letter of 24th July, 50516/24  
has reached me just as I am about to go on leave. I am ~~now~~  
arranging for it to be dealt with as far as possible in my  
absence.

One point strikes me as regards the Jubaland K.A.R. Our  
agreement of 17th May to the charges was on the understanding  
that the only question at issue was the incidence of the cost  
of the 8th Battalion K.A.R. for the financial year 1919/20,  
and that the question whether the battalion was or was not  
outside the theatre of war was not material.

A first reading of the despatch of 12th April rather  
suggests that there may be more in it. The despatch  
certainly contains some new information e.g. (i) that the  
charges relate to a previous year (1918/19), though not  
brought to account till 1919/20, and (ii) that not only  
Hisselton, but the Treasurer and (apparently) the Governor  
thought that the question whether the unit was outside the  
theatre of war might be held material (see para. 3. of  
Treasurer's letter and para. 3 of the Governor's).

It would have been better, I think, if we had been given an  
opportunity of seeing the despatch of 12th April before you  
sent the cable of 21st July notifying our agreement.

I cannot say off-hand whether it would in any way have  
affected our agreement. But I think I ought to say, by way  
of precaution, that if we find that it would, we could not in  
the circumstances regard ourselves as bound by the agreement  
of 17th May, especially as the fuller information was in the  
hands of the Colonial Office before we agreed, viz. on 12th  
May.

Yours sincerely,

*Mark*

Bottomley Esq., G.B.E.  
Colonial Office,  
S.W.1.

MINUTES.

-MINUTES NOT TO BE WRITTEN  
ON THIS SIDE.

one day later  
P.M. 131786/31st Apr  
A.A. 35253/

P.M. 131786/31st Apr  
and

8 Aug 1924

Dear Cash

O, for Mr Bottomley

DRAFT.

T. T. Cash Esq.

MINUTE.

Seal 4.8 m

Mr. Bottomley (7/100)

Mr.

Sir C. Davis

Sir G. Grindie

Sir H. Read

Sir J. Middleton Smith

Lord Arnold

Mr. Thomas

I have received your letter of the 26 July, (F.),  
about the cost of the 5' K.A.R.  
in full and on the  
point of fixing a leave-  
date myself

I can only add  
that our telegram  
~~of the 7th April 1924~~ is so  
far as the General's date  
6 April 12 affects the  
question of the evidence of this  
cost, you were not given  
an opportunity of reading  
it in our telegram of

The 21<sup>st</sup> of July was sent  
to the Governor.

We will ask the  
Governor at once to say  
what sum should be  
added to the Remun-  
eration to cover  
that part of the cost of the  
H.A.R. item which is  
in respect of charges incurred  
in a previous year. <sup>But so far as</sup> On the  
~~Item 74-11~~ <sup>is concerned</sup> It is not quite  
Sparrow, however,  
~~fails to see now the question~~  
whether the Battalion  
was, or was not, in the  
the theatre of war can  
be material <sup>to the question</sup>  
only ~~as regards~~ <sup>of those</sup> ~~two questions~~  
we two questions to  
which <sup>are</sup> ~~are~~ What is  
so considered in the sum

The question is whether the  
cost of the Battalion during  
the period in question is  
included in the sum

which cost of the Garrison  
of the Colony during the  
period April - March 1920,

which the War Office bore  
in the first instance and  
in replacement by  
which a sum of £250,000  
is to be made by Remun-  
eration, Two questions arise.

What was the cost of  
the Battalion met in  
the first instance by the  
and was it covered

by the Garrison or the  
Colony's estimator for 1920.  
On both these points there

is not the slightest doubt  
so far as I can  
and I hope that  
you will agree.

DRAFT.

(2)

MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir O. Davis

Sir G. Greville

Sir H. Kipps

Sir J. Mervyn

Capt. A. Nichols

Mr. Thomas.

35753  
No. 3656/24 Kenya

5 AUG  
1924

Sgt 8 Aug 1924

Sir [unclear]

DRAFT.

Anod  
4 GOS

seen

6/5/24/24

5/10/24

4 JUN 1924

T.T.

No. 2000

O.A.G.

MINUTE.

See 4.8.24

Mr. B. Hawley & 3 f.

Mr.

Sir G. Davis

Sir G. Grindall

Sir H. Read

Sir J. Middleton Smith

Lord Arnold

Mr. Thomas

No W.O. 6005. SD 4951/24  
To U.O. 26 Oct 50095/24  
No W.O. 29 Nov 56510/24  
Recd. (London) 29/11/24  
Recd. (London) 29/11/24

Article 0 to yesterday  
No 724. of the 5th November.

1924 There also to

inform you that after  
consultation with the  
w.o. it has been agreed  
that the total amount  
is payable by the T.T  
to the Common Chargor  
Account in respect of the  
expenses of the N.P.R

for 1919/20  
of £226.500. This  
amount includes the

cost of the Garrison for  
the months of February  
and March, 1919.

2. Re-garrison of  
Bengal was informed by  
the Garrison on a telegram  
dated the 21<sup>st</sup> of July, and  
in view that the necessary  
arrangements for adjustment  
had already been made.

3. Enclose for your

information Annexure B

which contains the  
list of the various

units.

4. Take this  
opportunity to inform you

that up to the present it  
has been necessary to  
have been arranged that  
in the important districts  
the work of the contribution  
should be assigned  
to the territory in question

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir C. Dacos

Sir G. Grindall

Sir H. Read

Sir J. Masterton Smith

Lord Arnold

Mr. Thomas.

to the garrison and allowances and  
other charges respectively. As  
the new post office, the new  
exchequer of the garrison were  
subjected to these financial accounts,  
and the A.R. Account, and  
the Comptroller Accounts  
for the two northern &  
Southern areas, and their  
accounts and a balance  
be apportioned, in proportion  
to be maintained, between the  
Kanara Fort and Kanya,  
Gurada, and Nagardao  
regarding payment of the  
same account that the  
prosecution of the R.R.  
against Bondi, before  
recommendation, be made  
by the Queen of the various  
authorities, by virtue of  
the 1909 Act; and it  
is suggested that such time  
be given to the various responsible

by the contributions has a  
further borne on the Canadian  
charges, the first account  
which should be reduced by  
a corresponding part of the  
contribution as the proportion  
of percentage shares often

left uncollected in the  
Government and in the the  
Government's own Accounts,  
it would that the reduction  
should be relatively effected.

I shall be glad if you will  
give me the information among  
to now this unpaid adjustment  
so far as the two Territory's  
contribution is concerned.

(SIGNED) J. H. THOMAS.

~~Cash~~

35753  
40. 3000/- Recd.  
Accd 51706

Sgt 8 Aug 1924  
G.M. S.

DRAFT.

Uganda 18/10/24  
No. 388 16/10/24  
Gov. C.R.C.H.

MINUTE.

Recd 4/8/24  
Mr. Bottomley 4/8/24

Mr.  
Sir G. Davis.  
Sir G. Grindie.  
Sir H. Reed.  
Sir J. Masterton Smith.  
Lord Arnold.  
Mr. Thomas.

for Rent to U.S.A.  
for Lending 8/4/24

From W.O. 1600/- 500/-  
To W.O. 2600/- 500/-  
From W.O. 29 Nov 28/10/23  
Extract (U.S.A.) filed  
Per W.O. on 27/5/24

19/9/24 in £61.000

With reference to your

dep. No. 13. of the 14/8

March last, I have the  
pleasure to inform you that, after  
consultation with the W.O.,

it has been agreed that  
the total amount payable  
by Uganda to the Canadian

Chango Account in  
respect of the expenses of  
the K.A.R. Garrison for

19/9/24 in £61.000

2. The Governor of

Kenya was informed of  
this decision in a telegram

dated the 21<sup>st</sup> July, and  
I presume that the necessary  
instructions for adjustment  
have already been given.

3. I enclose, for your  
information, copies of copies  
with the W.O. on the subject.

~~4. Take this opportunity  
to inform you that I have answered  
the question of the inclusion  
of charges for Volunteer units  
in the Common Charges Account~~

~~does not agree, as the Volunteer  
units had disappeared before  
the institution of the Common  
Charges Account, owing to the  
loss of their personnel to  
the K.A.R. and the common~~

*See next page*

DRAFT.

Uganda (2)

MINUTE.

Mr.  
Mr.  
Mr.  
Sir C. Davis  
Sir G. Grindall  
Sir H. Head  
Sir J. Marion Hall  
Lord Strickland  
Mr. Thomas

4. Take this opportunity  
to inform you that up to the  
present it has been arranged  
that the whole of the contribution of  
Uganda in respect of the 1909/10  
financial expenditure should  
be deducted from the  
apportionable amount of  
the K.A.R. account. It has,  
however, been pointed out  
that some part of the  
contribution represents  
expenditure borne on the  
Common Charges Account,  
and that that part of the  
contribution should therefore  
be deducted from the  
 latter account - As the  
Protectorate's shares of the  
two accounts will in the  
general settlement be  
based on different percentages,

it is desirable that information should be obtained as to the amounts to be deducted from each scheme with respect of the account in respect of the 1914 (20) contribution, and I shall be glad if you will have enquiry made on this point.

5. It is intended to divide the Common Charges Account on a numerical basis, and it has been pointed out by General Blakie, as representing the proportion of each dependency, its quota of the members of K.A.R. personnel (so far as it can be ascertained). The question has arisen whether to this quota

should not be added the members of the Volunteer units from time to time.

It had been assumed that this question did not arise, as the Volunteer units had disappeared before the inauguration of the Common Charges Account;

owing to the transfer of their personnel to the K.A.R. and the common local organization especially the C.A. Natives Labour Corps. I shall be glad to learn whether this view is correct. so far

as the Uganda Province is concerned; and if not, what was the numerical strength of the Volunteer units from time to time after the inauguration of

#### DRAFT.

Uganda (3)

#### MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir G. Davis

Sir G. Urquhart

Mr H. Reed

Sir J. Matanya Sudd

Lord Arnold

Mr. Thomas

it a desirable that information should be obtained as to the amounts thus abstracted from each account, in respect of the 1919/20 contribution, and I shall be glad if you will have enquiry made on this point.

5. It is intended to divide the Common Charges Account on a numerical basis, and it has been pointed out by General Blake, as representing the proportion of each dependency, its quota of the members of K.A.R. personnel (so far as it can be ascertained). The question has arisen whether to this question

#### DRAFT.

Uganda (3)

#### MINUTE.

Mr.  
Mr.  
Mr.  
Sir G. Davis  
Sir G. Grindall  
Sir H. Read  
Sir J. Mansfield Smith  
Lord Arnall  
Mr. Thomas

Should not be added the members of the Volunteer units from time to time.

It had been enquired that the question did not arise, as the Volunteer units had disappeared

before the institution of the Common Charges Account, owing to the transfer of their personnel to the K.A.R. and the various local organisations, especially the C. A. Native Labour Corps. I shall be glad to learn whether this view is correct.

As the Uganda Prot<sup>4</sup> is concerned; and if so, what was the numerical strength of the Volunteer units from time to time after the inauguration of

35753  
W.O. 30566/24 Kenya.

~~Answer~~  
10568  
25

~~DTT~~

August 1924

Sir

~~LAW~~

I have the pleasure to receipt

DRAFT.

Kenya  
No 828  
G.W. London.  
MINUTE.

Mr. Seal 48 24  
Mr. Butterley 4/8/f

Mr.  
Sir G. Davis  
Sir G. Grindie  
Sir H. Reed  
Sir J. Masterman B.M.A.  
Lord Arnold  
Mr. Thomas

27/8/24 W.O. LF

W.O.	Date	Reference
20	26 Oct.	35750/24
20	29 Nov.	35751/24
20	29 May	35752/24
20	Reply	35756/24

of your despatch No 483 of the 12<sup>th</sup> of April, and to confirm my letter of the 21<sup>st</sup> July, on the subject of E. African War

Expenditure

I now enclose,  
for your information, copy  
of a letter of correspondence

on this subject

the War Office, in which

in the margin

is my answer  
out of the settlement  
of the East African

Military Expenditure  
Common Charges  
incorporating all  
Accounts with the War Office and  
Treasury,  
have drawn my attention  
to several points of detail,

which are indicated  
below. I shall be glad  
to have your views on  
these various matters:

(a) The total War  
(Military) Expenditure  
incurred by Kenya.

See S.O. letter of  
17 May on  
36089/24

(next sheet)

55797/23

DRAFT.

(2)

MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir O. Davis.

Sir G. Grindie.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Masterton Smith.

Lord Arnold.

Mr. Thomas.

This statement  
is now being prepared  
for you.

that the statements  
furnished in the enclosure  
to your department No 1569, &  
No 18<sup>th</sup> of October, are  
not entirely correct.

Statement "A" is in  
order, and the first two  
columns in Statement  
"B" are correct. The figures  
in the third column  
are merely the totals  
of the Military Expenditure  
Heads, less the figures in  
the second column, they  
include the amounts  
provided in Estimates for  
other charges and not  
entirely spent, but transferred  
to a suspense account for  
the future liquidation  
of Kenya's war expenditure.

~~and debt.~~

The attached Table  
~~opposite~~  
has been prepared for  
correctly showing Kenya's  
War (Military) expenditure,  
subject to the following:

On page 10 of the  
Report on the 1917/18  
accounts, £92,903 is  
said to have been placed  
to the Suspense Account for  
the liquidation of the war  
debt, and that this  
amount represented  
the current provision for  
Other Charges in 1917/18  
plus the savings on the  
Extravagant provisions for  
Other Charges in the  
years 1916/16 and 1916/17.

Para. 8 of the Report on the  
1918/19 Accounts refers to

~~cut to 500 lines~~  
17 May - 36099

#### DRAFT.

(3)

#### MINUTE.

Mr.  
Mr.  
Mr.  
Sir C. Davis.  
Sir G. Grindie.  
Sir E. Head.  
Sir J. Masterton Smith.  
Lord Randolph.  
Mr. Thomas.

the Suspense Account to the  
credit of Military Expenditure  
Head, and it is not clear  
why this was done, since the  
amount which had been  
transferred to the Suspense  
Account represented  
exactly the total savings  
on the Extravagant provisions  
for Other Charges.

Para. 8 of the 1918/19  
Report refers to another  
transfer of £33,600 from  
the Suspense Account,  
but it appears from the  
1919/20 report that there  
was an error and a  
retransfer is made in  
that year.

Para 46 of the 1918/19

Report says that no  
further payments to  
the credit of the

Bursar's Account were made during that year. The Post Office having accepted direct charges against Military Expenditure up to the full amounts provided therefor in the Estimates. On page 59, however, it is stated that the amounts provided in the Estimates for Other Charges were placed in suspense for future liquidation of the Debt Charge.

In the ~~attached~~<sup>encl</sup> table, it is assumed  
(i) that the £23,209 does not represent expenditure in addition to that shown in the Military Expenditure Head and (ii) that there was no expenditure on Other Charges in 1918/19.

I shall be glad to learn

are correct.

I would add to what is written on page 59 in the 1918/19 abstract, that I do not understand why, if the provision for Other Charges for both the 2nd and the 5th Batt. K.A.R., were carried in suspense, the item "Other Charge"

left blank in the case of the 5th Battalion, but filled in in the case of the 2nd Battalion.)

#### DRAFT.

(4)

#### MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir C. Diana

Sir G. Grenville

Sir H. Head

Sir J. Musterson (Vice)

Lord Arnold

Mr. Thomas

S.O. letter 8/12 Post  
a 30 May (missed)  
a 36099/24  
See 1918 abstract

Post receipt  
See 1918 abstract  
a 30 May (missed)  
a 36099/24  
See 1918 abstract  
in R.A. Note to Re-  
calculation the whole

has, however, been divided out and some  
part of the contributions refunds appear in  
White account of the Protectorator

comes on the Common Charges account and the other  
Contributions for the rest of the 1919/20 year.  
Report of the contributions received  
is deducted from the K.A.R. account,  
received from the Colonies however, because  
Warren Smith's claiming that

should be deducted from the Common  
Charges account, for the Protectorate.

shares of the two accounts are

~~different, viz 15% and 8.6%~~  
~~will be in the same amount be~~  
~~but are based on different~~

percentages, being 15% and  
8.6% respectively. At the  
E.A. Prot

Revenue Estimates for 1919/20  
shows obtained a sum for account 62  
contain a block vote only  
Estimates for reduced imports £18,000  
for the contribution, it is not possible  
contribution, as I have to take 9%  
divide the deduction here,  
and have enough to deduct  
and I shall be glad if you  
will indicate the correct figure  
which should be deducted from  
each account.

(c) It is announced that

### DRAFT.

(5)

### MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir G. Davis.

Sir G. Grindall.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Middleton Smith.

Lord Arnall.

Mr. Thomas.

(c) It is intended to divide  
the Common Charges account  
on a numerical basis, and  
it has been proposed to  
take, as representing the  
proportions of the each  
dependency, the quota of  
the members of K.A.R. members  
(so far as it can be ascertained).  
The question has arisen  
whether this quota  
not be added the numbers  
of the Volunteer units  
from time to time. It  
had been argued that  
this question did not  
arise, as the Volunteer  
units had disappeared  
before the institution of

The Common Charges Account, owing to the transfer of their personnel to the K.A.R. and the common local organisations, especially the E.A. ~~Common~~ Charges Account Military Colonies I shall be glad to learn whether this view is correct, so far as the G.P.M. Protectorate was concerned; and if not what was the numerical strength of the volunteer units from time to time after the inauguration of the Common Charges account?

(6d)

—(next sheet)

DRAFT.

(6)

MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir O. Davis

Sir G. Grindell

Sir H. Read

Sir J. Masterton Smith

Lord Arnold

Mr. Thomas

The question of the reduction of charges for volunteer units in the Common Charges Accounts does not arise, as the Volunteer units had disappeared before the institution of the Common Charges Accounts, owing to the transfer of their personnel to the K.A.R. and the common local organisations, especially the E.A. Military Colonies. I shall be glad to learn whether this view is correct, so far as the G.P.M. Protectorate was concerned.

I also enclose a further statement from

Done (letter from  
Norway, 30 May, 1604A)  
~~Voluntary contributions~~

(d) I also enclose

100

The W.O. of Kenya K.A.R.

Contributions 8 March, 1919,

from which it appears that

The Officer in Charge, K.A.R.

Clearance Section, has credited

The E.A. Protectorate in 1918/19

with Rs 37,500 more than

The Protectorate accounts show

as having been credited.?

shall be glad to have you

point on my account

4. I have further to write  
you attention to the same official  
correspondence letter No. 1000 W.O.

dated 26 July, and the  
~~date~~ <sup>July 26th</sup> reply thereto, regarding the cost.

of the 5<sup>th</sup> Br. K.A.R. in 1918/20

I shall be glad to see your ~~assistance~~ experience.  
There can in my opinion be no  
doubt that the cost of this

An expenditure must be regarded  
as covered by the contribution

10516/24

DRAFT.

(7) 

MINUTE.

23404/24

M.

M.

M.

Sir C. Davis

Sir G. Grindall

Sir H. Read

Sir J. Masterton

John Arnold

Mr Thomas

of £250,000 by your Govt  
the Govt of Kenya referred

to in my tel. of the 21<sup>st</sup> of

July; but I shall be

glad to know if you

will inform me what portion  
of the sum of Sh. 653,269.60

referred to in your draft

No 433 of the 12<sup>th</sup> of April should

be added to the Kenya

contribution, as representing

expenditure ~~actually~~ <sup>financial</sup>

incurred ~~mainly~~ <sup>to the</sup>

your April 1<sup>st</sup> 1919 D.M.C.

(SIGNED)

MA8

WD. 35753/Sy Kenya

DRAFT.

Nyasaland  
No. 226  
Sir. Downing

MINUTE.

Mr. Seal  
Mr. Williams  
Mr. Mackay  
Sir C. Davis

Sir G. Grindall

Sir H. Read

Sir J. Masterton Smith

Lord Arnold

Mr. Thomas

*see note to legend  
on this page*

15 August

Sec

With reference to previous  
Circular relating to the  
incidence of the cost of

the game on of Nyasaland  
during the financial year  
1919/20, I have to inform  
you that it has been  
arranged up to the present  
that the whole of the  
contribution of Nyasaland  
in respect of it is not  
should be deducted from

The approachable amount  
of the K.A.R. account. It has,  
however, been pointed out that  
some part of the contribution  
represents expenditure borne  
on the Common Charges Account.  
and that that part of the  
contribution should therefore  
be subtracted from the latter  
account. As the shares of the  
Mysore and Protectorate of the  
two accounts will in  
the general settlement be  
based on different percentages.

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir C. Davis.

Sir G. Gorrie.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Masterton Smith.

Lord Arnall.

Mr. Thomas.

It is desirable that  
information should be  
obtained as to the amounts  
to be deducted from each  
account separately  
in respect of the 1909/10  
contribution, and  
shall be glad if you  
will have enquiry  
made on this point.

2. I have also to

inform you that it is  
intended to divide  
Mysore and  
the Common Charges  
Accounting on a

(no. 2. Common charges  
Account respectively)

102

the assessable amount  
of the M.A.R. account. It has,  
however, been pointed out that  
some part of the contribution  
represents extra duty borne  
on the Common Charges Account.  
and that that part of the  
contribution should therefore  
be subtracted from the latter  
account. As the shares of the  
Mysore and Protectorate of the  
two accounts will in  
the general settlement be  
based on different percentages,

it is desirable that  
information should be  
transferred as to the amounts  
to be deducted from each  
account separately  
in respect of the 1929/30  
contribution, and  
shall be glad if you  
will have enquiry  
made on this point.

MINUTE

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir C. Davis.

Sir G. Grindle.

Sir H. Reid.

Sir J. Masterton Smith.

Lord Arnold.

Mr. Thomas.

1. The M.A.R. Common Charges  
Account respectively }  
(the Common Charges  
Account to a

2. I have also to  
inform you that it is

intended to divide  
Mysore  
(the Common Charges  
Account to a

DRAFT.

It is desirable that information should be obtained as to the amount to be deducted from each account separately in respect of the 1919/20 contribution, and shall be glad if you will have enquiry made on their point

MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir O. Davis.

Sir G. Gossell.

Sir H. Reid.

Sir J. Masterton Smith.

Lord Arnold.

Mr. Thomas,

2. I have also to

inform you that it is intended to dispense with the accounts (charges

, the L.A. Common Charges  
(Account respectively)

) Accounting on a

numerical basis, and  
it has been proposed to  
take, as representing the  
proportion of each dependence,

the quota of the members of  
K.A.R. personnel (so far as  
it can be ascertained).

The question has arisen  
whether this quota should not  
be up-dated the members of the  
Volunteer units from time to  
time. It had been assumed,  
that this question did not arise  
as the Volunteer units had  
not appeared before the

### DRAFT.

### MINUTE.

Mr.  
Mr.  
Mr.  
Sir O. Davis.  
Sir G. Grindle.  
Sir H. Read.  
Sir J. Middleton Smith.  
Lord Arnold.  
Mr. Thomas.

(See Mr. Pitt-Rivers  
S.O. 13594/1  
36094)

Institution of the Common  
Charges Account, owing  
to the transfer of their  
personnel to the K.A.R.  
and to the common  
local organisations.  
It is not at all clear,  
however, that this is  
so in the case of Nyasaland  
and I shall be glad  
to be informed of the  
position in this respect.

If the assumption stated  
above is not correct, I  
have to ask that you will

numerical basis, and it has been proposed to take, as representing the proportion of each dependency,

the quota of the members of K.A.R. personnel (so far as it can be ascertained).

The question has arisen whether the quota should not

be added the members of the volunteer units from time to time. It had been assumed

that this question did not arise, as the volunteer units had not appeared before the

Institution of the Common Charges Account, owing

to the transfer of their personnel to the K.A.R. and to the common local organisations.

It is not at all clear,

however, that this is so in the case of Abyssinia and I shall hope to be informed of the position in this respect.

If the assumption stated above is not correct, I have to ask that you will

### DRAFT.

### MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Sir O. Davis.

Sir G. Gorst.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Merton Smith.

Lord Arnold.

Mr. Thomas.

(See Mr. Attali's  
S.O. 675 July 1<sup>st</sup>  
360<sup>99</sup>)

furnish particulars of  
the numerical strength of the  
Volunteer Units from time to  
time after the inauguration  
of the Common Charges accounts.

(for the Secretary of State)

(Signed) ARNOLD

furnish the particulars of  
the numerical strength of the  
Volunteer Units, from time to  
time after the inauguration  
of the Common Charges Accounts.

(for the Secretary of State)

(Signed) ARNOLD