

1925

1924

51

KENYA

C.O.

935

6 JAN 25

DATE

6th January 1924.

GOVERNOR CORYNDON, TEL.

REGULATION —

Dr.

Ir.

Act. U.S. of S.

Mr. Secretary

Act. U.S. of S.

Act. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

Previous Paper

B.O 523

VISIT OF H.R.H. THE DUCHESS OF YORK.

Mr. Secretary
Explains measures taken to ensure that sensational reports of events during tour are suppressed, but Gov's powers are limited. Raises certain queries and requests immediate reply by tel.

MINUTES

I understand that this tel. was being copied as usual, but it is too late in the afternoon to make use.

The updated information to M.R.A. says all is now out of place & I simply don't understand the passage I have added in.

The tel. is a return of the interpretation that the sum money makes the sum value from 25000/- up to 50, it is a sensible number to before information on the

Following Paper

Govt/1092/25

MINUTES.

MINUTES NOT TO BE WRITTEN
ON THIS SIDE.

local Govt. seems to think
it is impossible to
resist the conclusion that
they are using I.R.C.H. to
cover the big game &
occasions of hunting.

As regards the local
Pics, the sellers are
not controlled in
1954, so far, but
opened most of them
in 1955 along on their
own farms.

I am not sure that it
is necessary to do so
anywhere in this - we
apparently had the S.H.
just take off from our
area by changing the
inf-pgnd given to
anyone who is informed
of the possibility of
obtaining such big game

get otherwise, once that that
degree is observed that no 52
regularly made before
either than taking other steps
should be done.

Oct 6/1/54. 5
C.S. 2125

It is quite clear that the Govt must
not hold up press telegrams illegally
(or fulfill legally). It is a case
of holding back bad info as it
is in the power of the Govt not to
communicate and using such
influence as the Govt possesses
with the pressmen to restrain
their ~~expressions~~ publications.

He had been reply on them
but he had had the
information from Mr. Marshall

12/7/54

I know this is not but only
to make the Govt aware of
the fact and the Govt
will have to do something
about the same. It is not
known to be right for the Govt
to do not do much to do

legal action under
Telecom Act and a notice
in good form to Walgreen's
There is no provision in
the notification of a telegram
of the right to add a clause
to a telegram that authorizes
non-delivery if no reply
is received in the time
allowed. It is the
right to make very large
and difficult and impractical
to do the course of doing
the same.

Draft telegram in these
lines to you as at once

103 F. 1. 25

late

Draft telegram addressed at once
Copies of 103 F. 1. 25 together with
an reply at 8th to Earl Stanhopeham
on subject covering letter

103 F. 1. 25

529
Telegram from the Governor of Kenya to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, dated 6th January, 1925.

(Received Colonial Office 2.40 p.m. 6th January, 1925).

6th January confidential.

Your telegram 3rd January I am deeply concerned that the Press cables should have caused annoyance to His Majesty. The position is a difficult one. Any form of censorship is obviously undesirable and would be resented not only by local correspondents which does not matter much but by powerful papers in England which employ them. There are fifteen correspondents registered by the Post Office for recognised newspapers and evasion of local censorship is always easy through Agents in places like Zanzibar and Bombay. As you are aware as Governor in Council I am only empowered under Indian Telegraph Act Appendix 1 Part 2 paragraph 5 to intercept or detain messages in the event of Public Emergency or for Public Safety. As neither state has arisen it has been difficult to take any action short of passing Emergency Censorship legislation, but information supplied to local Press has been as carefully checked as possible and in the instance referred to in your telegram under reply was only permitted on the understanding that no coded reference should be made to rhinoceros in any. This understanding was not observed. Incidentally such occurrences are seldom as dangerous as they sound and are common to practically all hunting safaris. I may say that the Government has already delayed certain non-European telegrams in print outside England so that possible undesirable deductions would be nullified, this is only mentioned to show that the Government has had the whole question of publicity in mind throughout.

throughout. Even in this action I was not sure that I was within the powers permitted under the Act.

A case in point has now arisen. His Royal Highness shot on New Year's Day a lioness and two buffaloes in circumstances which reflect great credit on his ~~intrepidity~~^{intrepidly} and good shooting. I have just returned from a two days private visit to his Camp and can vouch for accuracy of account which appeared in local Press. Is this incident to be suppressed in cabled reports. No reporters or photographers have been allowed near the camp but I think it right to add that in any case it would be almost impossible to prevent messages reaching England by roundabout routes and in more garbled form.

I shall be glad to be informed if there is any objection to the Press reports of safari going home subject to supervision as to brevity and to absence of sensational detail as to the Duke's safety. Government will use every effort to secure cooperation and goodwill of correspondents concerned upon whom in my opinion we are almost entirely dependent. In this view my Attorney General and Postmaster General concur.

Copies of your telegram and this reply are being sent at once to His Royal Highness's staff at their camp who will make every effort to see that news does not leak out through the camp attendants who are essential to all large safaris. I must add that I have again insisted myself that every possible precaution is being taken to ensure His Royal Highness's personal safety. I am glad to receive reply at the earliest possible moment as the Press cables are being held up in the meantime.

throughout. Even in this action I was not sure that I was within the powers permitted under the Act.

A case in point has now arisen. His Royal Highness shot on New Year's Day a lioness and two buffaloes in circumstances which reflect great credit on his ~~safety~~ ^{intrep. ability} and good shooting. I have just returned from a two days private visit to his Camp and can vouch for accuracy of account which appeared in local Press. Is this incident to be suppressed in cabled reports. No reporters or photographers have been allowed near the camp but I think it right to add that in any case it would be almost impossible to prevent messages reaching England by roundabout routes and in more garbled form.

I shall be glad to be informed if there is any objection to the Press Reports of safari going home subject to supervision as to brevity and to absence of sensational detail as to the Duke's safety. Government will use every effort to secure cooperation and goodwill of correspondents concerned upon whom in my opinion we are almost entirely dependent. In this view my Attorney General and Postmaster General concur.

Copies of your telegram and this reply are being sent at once to His Royal Highness's staff at their camp who will make every effort to see that news does not leak out through the camp attendants who are essential to all large safaris. I must add that I have again assured myself that every possible precaution is being taken to ensure His Royal Highness's personal safety. I should be glad to receive reply at the earliest possible moment as the Press cables are being held up in the meantime.