It was not been possible to collect all the papers, but with the enactments annexed I think

- In 1923 the King said there he members, and until they had been elected, there would be four nominated Indian
- (2) A local ordinance was then passed applying to Indians the provisions of the (European Electoral Ordinance, 1919, which, in effect, said that, the registration of voters with a view to election, and the candidates for election must be on the voters' roll.
- There see 57 Indians registered, and (3) the arrangements for election broke down for want of nominations. Subsequently, as the result of political discussions, it was agreed to carry on for time with five nominated members, and this year' instructions

Subsequent Paper

instructions say "until members have been elected, there should be five nominated elected Indian members."

In order that the nominations may be as representative as possible, the Indians have held an informal election. Nothing, oe ined on that point, or can so, since the election has not been held under the Ordinance. Apparently, the result has been that some persons in the first five are not on the registered veters' roll, and some below the first five the troll, and seek to get on to the Council wen a technicality.

The point, as the Governor puts it to us, is this 1 - "Did the 1923 instructions contemplate that, facilities had been given for election, the errangements for nomination should come to an end?". On the face of the Instructions - "until such Indian elected members had been elected" - there is, I think, no doubt whatever the intention that the arrangements for nomination not only survive, but are categorically No question of the intention seems to imperative. me to arise, but I can say from memory that, even if it is not so recorded, the words as to nomination were put in deliberately. We foresaw the possibility of non-cooperation in the matter of election, and we did not wish it to be said that we had left the Indians without any machinery for their representation.

I think therefore that we can at once telegraph to the O.A.G. saying that both by intention and in form, the Instructions of 1923 and 1925 definitely contemplate nominated representation until elected members have actually been elected, and do not recognise any arrangements with a view to election which have not so far resulted in an election. and the provisions of the 1919 ordinance, relate only to the election of

elected members, and have no relevance to the selection

TELEGRAM: From the Officer Administering the Government of State for the Colonies.

(Dated 28th July.)

(Received Colonial Office 7 p.m. 29th July, 1925.)7

15825 15

Your telegram of 7th April. Indian Representation East African Indian Congress after informal election **held** by them to ascertain the views of the Indian community have now submitted to me five hames for nomination I am satisfied that these are representati of the views of the Indian community as a whole and recommand approval of their nomination. I will telegraph names to you for approval on obtaining your decision on the following question which has been raised by a petition from two Indians that candidates should be nominated from amongst the gazetted voters in accordance with section 17 of the Lagislative Council Ordinance 1919. There are 157 Indians on the roll but I am satisfied that the majority have registered under a misapprehension some possibly in order to raise this point. They are entirely unrepresentative of Indian opinion and contain no suitable candidate for nomination. The question arises whether the provise in the Royal Instructions 25th October 1923 namely that until Indians have been elected there should be four nominated Indian members empowers me to nominate persons proposed by the congress who are not on the register or whether section 17 of the 1919 Ordinance debars me from mominating any unregistered candidates. Additional Royal Instructions referred to in your telegram 7th April not yet received. Royal Instructions 1923 do not appear to have the contemplated extensions principle of nomination of Indian members after facilities have been provided for their election. If this view incorrect difficulty dis-

15

disappears but if it is correct new instructions should provide for continuance of nomination notwithstanding existence of elective provisions. I consider it very desirable to avoid introduction locally of amending legislation regarding this subject unless that course is the only way to escape from the present impasse. I should be glad to receive your opinion and instructions as early as possible. Session of Council commences on the 11th August.

DRAFT. Code Teet DANG Could a sale Neuror 8th August 8/8/25. Greenor Naviolo both Symbolian and in form No 336 - Royal Instructions MINUTE.

W. Che 7 afaid 1925 and 1925 definitely Mr Davis.

Contemplate homerated representate until de ded members have advally been elected and do not reco. any arrangement

with a new & election which have no rofa resulted in an election New p. Provision & 1919 (Admanie relate only to the election of

elected members and have

Mr. Ormsby Gore. Duke of Devonshire. Ch want the afternado

Sir G. Grindle.

A Straction Sir H. Read.

or J. Musierion Smith.

ho relevance to the selection of nominated members

decen