

E. AFRICA

167

56451.

56451

Rec'd  
16.II.20

ITCHARD C.B.

WOOD PROCESS

1920

2 May

previous Paper.

56451.3

Trans copy letter from Cey and suggests that enquiries be commenced in Tanganyika now as to the suitability of the forests there for the introduction of the Pewell process, and that question of payment should be allowed to stand over for the present and requests that he may be informed of the results of such investigations

R.P.S.  
to H.R.G.

Also 56451

The time we have lost calls  
that although he has worked the  
process it was always with material  
he very much.

I suppose to reply & tell him that  
we can't send any they sent to T.T. or  
elsewhere unless he formulates his suggestion  
perhaps in writing.

(R.P.S. 16.1.20)

Colonel Amery.

This is surprising - He  
doesn't even know the forest &  
seems to be a man of straw,  
who is having word for some  
sort of a concession. I think  
that we had better drop him as soon  
as we can.

167  
P.O.

9th, 1920  
I do not know what to say but we have learnt  
with surprise that he is not a  
fully authorized agent for the  
Powell Wood-Process Syndicate &  
that, before we can put any further  
schemes of his before the local authorities,  
we must have his/her details in  
writing & also, if it is a question of  
a concession from you, definite info  
as to the financial outfit which he  
was furnished for the purpose of working  
it.

H. J. R.  
17/11/20  
In 12th inst

E A Dept.

C. F. Bottomley, Esq.,  
Colonial Office,  
London, S. W. 1.

C. O.  
56451

16.11.20

West Anstey,  
Bulverton, N. Devon,  
15th November, 1920.

Dear Sir,

In my letter of the 8th Inst. I informed you that I was awaiting certain decisions to be taken by the Powell of the Powell  
Syndicate.

I attach a letter from the Secretary, from which you will see  
the Board does not now put forward the three alternative  
proposals regarding the sale of the Powell Process for use in  
Tanganyika Territory, Kenya Colony and Uganda which I outlined  
to you on the 8th Inst.

You will see that the Board still decline to instruct me  
on the Process, although I have battled in their interests, at  
some expense, for more than four years.

I mention these points because, in the same letter, it is  
uggested that I may be disposed to continue to negotiate with  
the Colonial Office in respect of Tanganyika Territory, Kenya  
Colony and Uganda, but under the terms of an Agreement of March  
1920, which I very reluctantly intend to vary.

This means that, in addition to a very small share of anything  
that they may procure through my agency, I am left to bargain for  
myself with the Colonial Office, except the Government organization concerned.

I do not like this arrangement, as it was for that reason that  
I waived my rights to make my own bargains, and agreed to accept what  
I could get from the Powell people under any one of the three alter-  
native proposals already referred to; these proposals, as I have  
pointed out, are not now put forward.

You will probably take the Colonial Office many months to decide  
whether there are forests in Tanganyika Territory which can  
be worked on the lines I have suggested. I submit, therefore,  
that enquiries might be commenced now and that the question of  
agreement to the Powell Syndicate be allowed to stand over until I  
know whether my proposals can be carried out.

I beg that the results of such investigations be communicated  
to me at any address I may furnish.

I am, Dear Sir,  
Yours faithfully,

C. F. Bottomley

All communications to be addressed to the Firm and NOT to individuals.

# THE POWELL WOOD-PROCESS SYNDICATE, LIMITED.

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS  
POWELL LTD., AVB, LONDON

ABT 8TH EDITION  
LIEBERS

TELEPHONE NO.  
002 CENTRAL

108/718. SALISBURY HOUSE.

LUMSDEN WALK.

LONDON, E.C. 3.

Replies to your

In reply please quote K. 206

12th November 1920

108

A. PARTRIDGE Esq.,  
West Allatney Rectory,  
Duxterton, N. Devon.

Dear Sir,

Your letter of the 8th instant came duly to hand and a copy of it was immediately sent to each Director of the Syndicate for consideration.

At the Meeting of the Board yesterday, it was decided that your proposals were not acceptable.

The Board, however, see no objection to your continuing negotiations in regard to Tanganyka, Kenya Colony and Uganda if you are disposed to do so, under the existing terms of commission already agreed between yourself and them, as in the letter of the 15th March 1919, which terms they found, after fair and reasonable and regret they cannot see any objection.

With reference to your request to have the Proprietary of the Board in their fiduciary position towards the shareholders can only repeat what has already been explained to you, that they cannot entertain the proposal to disclose the working of the Process except on the justification of an actual contract or substantial consideration.

Yours faithfully,  
for THE POWELL WOOD PROCESS SYNDICATE LTD.

J. D. Green