

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS FILM

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

C0533/252

ORDER NO. ⇒ 721
CAMERA NO. ⇒ 19
OPERATOR. ⇒ CE
REDUCTION. ⇒ 12
EMULSION NO. ⇒ 292051
DATE. ⇒ 7/4/71

CROWN COPYRIGHT

THESE COPIES ARE SUPPLIED FOR INFORMATION
AND RESEARCH ONLY-NO REPRODUCTION MAY BE
MADE FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE ASSENT OF
THE PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

THE PAGES IN THIS VOLUME ARE TOO
TIGHTLY BOUND FOR ALL WORDS TO BE
REPRODUCED IN ENTIRETY

EAST AFR. PROT

C O
1801

1801

REC'D
REL. 10 JAN 20

g. l. l.
1920
ydw
Previous Paper
63924

AMOUNT DUE TO ESTATE OF LATE MR. F. J. SCHENKEL

Calls at tention to his letter of the 25th Sept and requests W.O. may be pressed to come to a decision in the matter.

to the effect,

The case is set out in 63927/99. It seems hardly worth while making any advance on account of probably pay able to the estate, as there is no record of the fact. The last part of this letter does not apply because the R & C authorising the guarantee is only dated 17/12/18.

Draft for his Provisional signature that the W.O. were communicated with re the matter on the 21/12/19 (63927) and that a further letter in reply was sent asking a reply may be sent to me that the matter be dealt with previous letters on the matter as soon as possible.

Copy of the letter to the O. pressing of case on 13/1/20 63927/99 ask for a reply copy of which better give them than set too 11/1/20 (20000 63) 12/1/20 (63927) [I think this is necessary for what I saw when I was at the W.O. about the case]

AFC
12/20

in Toronto

It is for the W.O. to say what
is payable.

We refused to take first on bank 10th
1919 after having obtained necessary
particulars as to source from E.O.

12/19/19

We received your letter of 10th 1919
and again on May 22nd 1919. Further
information was sent on the 10th of June
10th of July & Sept. 7th. You

12/19/19

wrote to E.O. & clearly for us
on Sept 30th. On Nov 7th we
received from the W.O. a written
letter which showed was irrelevant
& did not actually reply to our
original letter. In Grace with
several written notes to the W.O.

12/19/19

pointing this out & went over to see
the W.O. but without result & we
therefore again set out the case to
the W.O. ^{at length} at length on Dec. 30th.

There has been nothing further &
we can't take action till W.O. say
what, if anything, is payable.

cannot pay any to the W.O.
letter to P. I. Oct. 10. 1919

The W.O. suggested by the
Grace? The W.O. can only be
paid. I am afraid that the
last offer will come to a
decision. That we are again
unwilling to pay.

Dec 12/1/20

See letter from the
Toronto to the W.O.
(W.O.) & the W.O.
attached

C. O.
1801
RECD
10 JAN 20
1920

158

50 GOLD MEDALS
AND 5000 AWARDS



CASHING

6th January, 1920

IN REPLY PLEASE QUOTE

D.F.

ADJUST LEVER FOR WEIGHT
No. 500 Rock Ferry
BROTHERS LONDON

H. C. Thompson, Esq.,
Colonial Office,
Downing Street,
LONDON, S.W.1.

East Africa

Dear Sir,

Might I call your attention to a letter of mine to Lord Milner, dated 26th September 1917, on the subject of the neglect of the due Government authority to pay to a Mr. F. J. Schankel gratuities and other monies due to him from the estate of his son, who was killed in East Africa over two years ago? You replied to me on September 30th, stating that under Lord Milner's directions the Colonial Office were communicating with the War Office.

Having had some years' experience of the hopeless incapacity of the Finance Branch of the War Office, might I request please that the Colonial Office again press them to come to a decision? I am anxious to get questions asked in the House of Commons in order to urge the authorities to meet the just claims of unfortunate individuals, but it appears to me that taking over two years to adjust a claim passes the bounds of reason.

Yours very truly,

H. V. L.

13th January 1920.

PRESSING.

Dear Marsh,

I enclose you a copy of a letter which I have received from Messrs. Lever Bros. with reference to the money due to Mr. F.J. Schenkels from the estate of his son who was killed in East Africa.

May I ask you to do your best to get this matter settled as soon as possible? Would you kindly refer to our official letter of December 30th last on this subject? The reference of your last letter to us is SE/1095 (Accounts 4) dated 7th November 1919.

The history of our correspondence on this subject is as follows:- We first addressed you on the matter on March the 10th 1918. We sent further reminders on April 10th, May 23rd, June 16th, July 10th and September 17th. On September 30th I wrote personally to Creedy. On November 7th we received a letter from you.

13th January 1920.

of which I have given the reference number above, was quite irrelevant to the point at issue and did not actually reply to our original letter. Further, the Comptroller of the Office has written several uncourteous letters to the War Office, and has been to see the Department in the War Office concerned, personally, without result.

The delay in this matter is really a scandal and I do hope you will be able to let me have a final reply at once.

Yours sincerely,

F. MARKS Esq., C.B., C.N.G.

1801
Dear Mr. Long,

I have your letter of the 8th January, and I regret very much the delay that has occurred in paying the money due to Mr. F.J. Schenkel from the estate of his son. It is, of course, entirely for the War Office to inform us what is payable. We referred to them first on March 10th 1919 and have sent constant reminders since. I am now writing to Mr. Churchill's Private Secretary urging him to send us an immediate decision.

Yours truly,

L.L. Long Esq.