

THE STATE OF MONTANA

ARTICLES

1. That the people of the Territory of Montana do hereby severally and jointly ratify and confirm the

2. That the people of the Territory of Montana do hereby severally and jointly ratify and confirm the

1889

3. That the people of the Territory of Montana do hereby severally and jointly ratify and confirm the

5556
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI.
BRITISH EAST AFRICA
REG 24 MAR 21

14th April, 1921.

Handwritten:
Secretary
Ministry of
Agriculture and
Veterinary Dept.

In reply to my Confidential
despatch of the 2nd February informing you of
my decision in favour of the Veterinary
Department should remain in the hands of the
Director of Agriculture, I have the honour to
transmit herewith for your information printed
copies of the arguments put forward in favour of
this arrangement and those advanced by Messrs.
Kennedy stating the contrary point
of view.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble obedient servant,

Edward Northey

GOVERNOR.

HIS HIGHNESS THE GOVERNOR

COLONIES

It is urged that Veterinary work should be independent in the same way that the Medical service is independent of any other department. I submit that the cases are not parallel. In the one there is no parallelism of industry and in the other there is. The industry of the Veterinary service is not independent of the industry of the State. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public.

The Veterinary Department should be independent in the same way that the Medical service is independent of any other department. I submit that the cases are not parallel. In the one there is no parallelism of industry and in the other there is. The industry of the Veterinary service is not independent of the industry of the State. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public.

It is urged that Veterinary work should be independent in the same way that the Medical service is independent of any other department. I submit that the cases are not parallel. In the one there is no parallelism of industry and in the other there is. The industry of the Veterinary service is not independent of the industry of the State. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public.

The Veterinary Department should be independent in the same way that the Medical service is independent of any other department. I submit that the cases are not parallel. In the one there is no parallelism of industry and in the other there is. The industry of the Veterinary service is not independent of the industry of the State. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public.

The Veterinary Department should be independent in the same way that the Medical service is independent of any other department. I submit that the cases are not parallel. In the one there is no parallelism of industry and in the other there is. The industry of the Veterinary service is not independent of the industry of the State. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public.

The Veterinary Department should be independent in the same way that the Medical service is independent of any other department. I submit that the cases are not parallel. In the one there is no parallelism of industry and in the other there is. The industry of the Veterinary service is not independent of the industry of the State. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public. The Veterinary service is a part of the State and its work is done in the name of the State. It is not a private industry and its work is not done for profit. It is a public service and its work is done for the benefit of the public.

To The Honorable Mr. Chief Secretary.

I regret that I did it necessary to refer to the Memo. dated 25th October, submitted by Mr. R. Montgomery on the subject mentioned above.

Reference to practice in other countries. The inference apparently is that the Head of a Department of Agriculture is necessarily and only as a result of a long term to be appointed. I submit that it is entirely wrong. I cannot speak from personal knowledge of certain foreign countries, e.g. Argentine, Brazil, Japan, but in all

the services of the Department of the Colonies and Protectorates, the Director and Chief Administrator are in conflict of the right of appointment or Chief Administrator.

12. It may be noted that there is some duplication of office work but that is a matter of internal arrangement and Office accommodation and should not affect the main issue. With suitable Office accommodation all administrative branches of a Department of Agriculture should be under the same roof or in buildings in juxtaposition to one another, and in order to avoid duplication of office work there should be one central registry for the whole Department and files should pass direct from one Officer to another, and from the Head to each sectional Officer.

13. It is suggested that a few exceptions be made upon the subject of the proposed change.

If it would be made further reference to the papers dealing with the subject be laid on the table of the Legislative Council.

It is in conclusion that if the proposed change is to be made, it is desirable that professional officers who are, wherever possible, competent to advise on the question of a District Officer.

It is suggested that the matter be referred to the Non-Official members of the Legislative Council, also that the papers dealing with the subject be laid on the table of the Legislative Council.

ALEX. HOLM,
DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE.

Report of the Committee on the Colonies and Protectorates

Presented to the Secretary of State
August, 1923.

"The Committee found that in nearly all the Colonies and Protectorates which they have considered the Veterinary Departments are branches of the Agricultural Departments. They desire to recommend that in future Veterinary and Agricultural work should be regarded as distinct and that the Veterinary interests of the Colonies and Protectorates shall be entrusted to separate Veterinary Departments."

services should be provided by the Department of Agriculture.

11. The Director and Chief Administrator should see in connection with the right of appeal or Chief Administrator.

12. It must be understood that under existing conditions there is some duplication of office work but that is a matter of internal arrangement and Office accommodation and should not affect the main issue. With suitable Office accommodation all Administrative branches of a Department of Agriculture should be under the same roof or in buildings in juxtaposition to one another, and in order to avoid duplication of office work there should be one central registry for the whole Department and files should pass direct from one Officer to another, and from the Head to each sectional Officer.

I should like to say in conclusion that professional enable them to be competent to advise the Government on the subject of a Department.

If an Officer would be made to the Colony further reference of the papers dealing with the subject to the Legislative Council.

I should like to say in conclusion that professional enable them to be competent to advise the Government on the subject of a Department.

If an Officer would be made to the Colony further reference of the papers dealing with the subject to the Legislative Council.

ALEX HOLM,

DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE.

Report of the Royal Commission on the Veterinary Services in the Colonies and Protectorates.

Presented to the Secretary of State for the Colonies.

August, 1923.

"The Committee found that in nearly all the Colonies and Protectorates which they have considered the Veterinary Departments are branches of the Agricultural Departments. They desire to recommend that in future Veterinary and Agricultural work should be regarded as distinct and that the Veterinary interests of the Colonies and Protectorates shall be entrusted to separate Veterinary Departments."

ENCLOSURE

In No. of 30

In reply to No. 100

No. 100

No. 100

Hon'ble the Commissioner of Customs
Kamputh.

Reference four minutes on 27/1/1921
100

it was contrary to the regulations of the Government
a public notice from a Government department in England

to accept the regulations

to be made