

1921-22

KENYA

2435

GOVERNOR NORTHEY	S.C. COPY	DATE 31ST DECEMBER 1921	Ref 456
---------------------	--------------	----------------------------	------------

REGULATIONS

SUBJECT

COURT OF APPEAL FOR EAST AFRICAPRECEDENCE OF JUDGES

Protests against supersession of Judge Barth
as President by Judge Sir W.M.Carter and
such order should be revoked.

Mr. Freddie

Sir H. Lambert

Sir D. Wood

Mr. Messington Smith.

Mr. Wood

Mr. Churchill

Previous Paper

MINUTES

Co/53623/21

Mr. Riley

Will you please assist

[This is my crucial case
with a matter of this kind
you kindly.]

Mr. Ryall
Mr. Ottaway

6/1/21

The copy of the letter is sealed at "A"
in your minute with 53623/21

This explanation of Mr. W.M.Carter forward
them might be referred to Sir S. Northey
and Barth with an assurance that
the latter shall return the full confidence
of the S.C. also approves his
present work in "A" and will
allow him to act according to orders
to which he is well known very difficult
issues from the absence of the President
of the Court from its leading position
in view of 25A 15/1/22

Subsequent Paper

P.T.O.

The Barnt has this amount
of grounds for complaint - that
when he was appointed as
Chief Justice of Uganda no
indication was given & him
that personal precedence
would be given to Sir W. Carter,
then C.J. of Uganda. Not
then & when the pledge
was given to Sir W. Carter
(until 27 Aug 20 or no
47 in his file) the public
to look up the 1914 order of
precedence which, strictly,
gave the C.J. Uganda the
precedentship in point of
any personal claims.

In writing this & nothing
else was said the Right
Supts. or most persons
an apology on this point

as of
It is fully for the
at once.

to J.A.
19.7.22

No.

Confidential

C.C.

21st December 1921.

2495

157

R.F.

P.P.

Dear Bottomley,

623/1
I have been expecting a despatch from the Colonial Office in amplification of the telegram of November 2nd and giving reasons for the Secretary of State's decision to allot personal precedence in the Court of Appeal for Eastern Africa to Judge Carter of Tanganyika Territory. As nothing has arrived I feel that I can no longer delay transmitting the views of Judge Barth and of this Government on the supersession.

Judge Barth's personal protest is vehement. He has been President of the Court since August 1st 1920, and has now been superseded without being given either the reasons for this decision or an opportunity of stating his views. In 1914, the Secretary of State laid down the seniority of the Judges of the Court of Appeal by reference to posts and not to persons, and while he admits the justification of giving personal seniority on the inception of the principle, to two Judges (neither of whom is now in East Africa) he protests against any further exception being made now the principle is established.

This Government considers that the supersession is more than a personal matter. It presumes that the office of Chief Justice of Kenya is regarded...

No.

(3)

159

I am unable to guess the reasons which led to the decision but I submit that the order making Sir William Morris Carter President of the Court of Appeal should be revoked. If this cannot now be done, I should like to impress upon you the real nature of the personal grievance under which Judge Barth labours, and to suggest that it be made clear to him that he still retains the confidence of the Secretary of State. His work in this Colony is of the highest order and I am not myself aware that any adverse opinion has ever been passed on it, but you will readily understand that he regards his supercession without reasons given, as both arbitrary and derogatory.

Yours sincerely,

Edward Northey

W/2495/May 2

160



W.M.C.

9 February 1922

Personal
DRAFT.

General

Sir E. M. Borthwayg.
Sir G. R. Read
Sir J. Masterton Smith
Mr. Churchill

MINUTE

Mr. Borthwayg. P. 2. m
Mr. Read 9/2/22

Mr.

Mr. Grindle

Sir H. Lambert

Sir H. Read

Sir J. Masterton Smith

Mr. Wood

Mr. Churchill

* p. f.

I was sorry to learn from your letter of Dec 21st that Mr. Billings
Curtis general head of the Bureau
Member of the Court of Appeal has
given cause for no such protest, and
so far as Mr. Baile himself is
concerned he must admit that
there is ground for it. Tell you
please once, when our eyes that
he was not informed when he was at home
in 1920 that the majority of his
6 - designations and all the time
there arising being as it were unclassified
cases that he still retains the
full confidence of the S. U., who
appreciate his past work in that
Office and relies on his legal
competence to render to a
minimum any difficulties arising
from the absence of the President for
the time from its head office in

Kenyā.

as I say, the first of several
preceding his arrival in the
U.S., a fact of Carter can now
not be denied in any case &
it appears that he would like
to apologize for having done the
things he has brought up in the
Alfred report, he is bound to
if asked explain & both the full
confidence and no apology
would have been given, as the matter
does not affect in any way the
position of Kenya as the leading
countries of the Coast and were
recognised, the very much senior
of Carter in the East African political
staff, of which he has been a member
continuing since 1903, until now as
his father since 1912. He was the
very similarly senior member of the
Council constituted in 1895.

One agrees that there is no
charge of a reversal of the decision
without definite breach of faith with
Carter.

A point from the personal question,
I don't think there should be any
more explicit or wording - it is

an odd word all have
two mothers, but that is a
common phenomenon in the
country and probably to
be expected. 261

DRAFT.

(gd) with

MINUTE

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. Grindle

Sir H. Lambert.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Maaterton Smith.

Mr. Wood.

Mr. Churchill