

1921

E. AFRICA

694

C.S.
64237

R.F.
REG. NO. 21

DATE

19TH DECEMBER 1921

OPERATION

SUBJECT

EXPERIMENTAL

MINUTES

See Previous Page

Subsequent page

M¹
14649/12

ADDITIONAL NO. 4730/10 90 100
PRINTED IN U.K. BY G. & J. LIND

I do not consider it necessary to repeat the details of my conversation with Mr. G. H. D. Smith, but I will add that the proposed scheme is to be as follows: the proposed scheme, but it will be possible to make a change for the second value.

to remain in operation
for 6 months at a time,
and for the 6 monthly periods
to end in the dead
season of the portuguese
commodity,

to require the government
to pay the amount
an ad valorem basis to
the master of fact of the
other ships during
the 13 flagged year
of the existence of

601
30/12/47
etc

to remain in operation
for 6 months, not less
than the 6 months previous
to end of the dead
season of the particular
commodity.

Any vessel registered
in any country shall be deemed
and taken to be a
representative of the
nation where it is
registered and
shall be subject to
all the laws and
regulations of such nation.

Given
30.12.40

British East Africa Corporation, Limited.

(incorporated in England)

GENERAL
P. T. PHILANDER
BANKS AVENUE, D.G.P.S. (U.L.U.A.)
TELEGRAMS: TANZ. LTD., LONDON
CABLES: UGANDA, KENYA,
DAR. CITY,
WEST. & EAST AFRICA
BROOKLYN, NEW YORK
AGENCIES:
AND AT
KARANGA, KENYA COLONY
LAMIA, AND MACHAKO, KENYA
MOMBASA, PORT OF
DAIWATI, AND MOMBASA
AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT

64237

Rec'd

Pic. 30

LONDON, 1. 1. 1921

35, CRUTCHED FRIARS,

LONDON, 29th November 1921.

To No.

65

b.c.p. 1921.
Sir,

The
same tax to be
paid with the same
percentage of
the
notified in the
the trader therefore
can realize for the produce in his
East Africa, and that he takes the tax into account as one of the
items in his expenses on the produce (just as he has to calculate
freight and so forth) and that it thus arrives at the maximum
price he can afford to pay the native grower of the article in
Kenya and Uganda.

But this assumption pre-supposes that the trader

can rely upon getting the produce down to the ocean port and shipped during the currency of the period to elapse until the next revision of the valuation on which the tax percentage is to be levied. No trader can be sure when the next alteration will be made, nor what tax per lb., or per ton he will have to pay after such alteration. Considering there are millions and millions, which are usually from Uganda, can be stated that it may be very rare indeed for the port tax to remain without alteration, the amount to be less than three or four months, and in such case, the trader is reduced to government in punishing, and cannot work in safe lines. He has to over allow rather than under allow for what the tax will be, and the native therefore pays.

On October 1st., 1914, the Kenya Government advanced the valuation of native grown cotton by Government Decree, on the 1st. of October, 1914, at 30 cents per lb. at port of shipment, this being the highest possible valuation to revive the native cotton, which had almost disappeared due to the war. Simultaneously we made firm sales to our agents calculating the tax. Frankly, the matter of fact, one of these sales was on 75 per ton C.I.F. BOSS, for a considerable quantity. There was no boat by which to ship this cotton until October, and much of them could not even reach the coast till November. On September 22nd, the Kenya Government advanced the valuation on which export tax was to be levied on and after October 1st., from 30 cents per lb. equals #70 per ton at port, up to 40 cents equals #95. 6. 8. per ton at port, thus increasing the tax money from 27 per ton to 29. 6. 8. per ton.

The increase of £2. 6. 8. per ton, say about £120 on our stock is simply a dead loss to us. We cannot recover it from parties to whom we had contracted to ship and sell, and we had already paid the native growers.

The same thing has now been repeated. We have cabled advice that from January 1st, the Government intend to levy tax on assumed value 50 cents per lb., equals £112 per ton at the port, i.e., an increase of £2. 6. 4. per ton on the export of whatever stock we now hold awaiting shipment. As that stock is, of course, sold forward, that increased tax is dead loss. As some of the unshipped stock was bought in September on basis of tax then ruling (~~at~~) the loss on that stock is £4.15. - per ton.

Trading margins cannot stand ~~the~~ even forced losses that no prudence can guard against. Trade is thus discouraged and in the long run the country and the Revenue suffer.

It is not the quantum of the tax that matters. If it were £50 per ton instead of £12 there would be no complaint. The complaint lies against the way these taxes are administered, by being arbitrarily altered practically without notice.

I went into this matter rather fully with H.M. Trade Commissioner on the spot last year, and I think I may say that he agreed fully with me that the revenue would be ~~visually~~ well protected, and trade be encouraged and put ~~into~~ business lines, if the changes in export tax quantum were notified, say, six months before an alteration comes into force, and if possible each alteration be made ahead of the buying season of each exportable article. Traders would then know how they stand, and can make selling contracts for forward delivery and base their local buying prices on less of a quicksand. Competition would then give the native producer better prices, and production would be encouraged.

It is worth mention perhaps that the Uganda Government, in contrast to the Kenya Authorities, has long ago adopted this

The increase of £2. 6. 8. per ton, say about \$120 on our stock is simply a dead loss to us. We cannot recover it from parties to whom we had contracted to ship and sell, and we had already paid the native growers.

The same thing has now been repeated. We have cabled advice that from January 1st, the Government intend to levy tax on assumed value 50 cents per lb., equals \$12 per ton at the port, i.e., an increase of £2. 8. 4. per ton on the export of whatever stock we now hold awaiting shipment. As that stock is, of course, sold forward, that increased tax is dead loss. As some of the unshipped stock was bought in September on basis of tax then ruling (~~at~~) the loss on that stock is £4.15. =. per ton.

Trading margins cannot stand these enforced losses that no prudence can guard against. Trade is thus discouraged and in the long run the country and the Revenue suffer.

It is not the quantum of the tax that matters. If it were \$50 per ton instead of \$12 there would be no complaint. The complaint lies against the way these taxes are administered, by being arbitrarily altered practically without notice.

I went into this matter rather fully with H.M. Trade Commissioner on the spot last year, and I think I may say that he agreed fully with me that the revenue would be ~~equally~~ well protected, and trade be encouraged and put ~~into~~ business lines, if the changes in export tax quantum were notified, say, six months before an alteration comes into force, and if possible each alteration be made ahead of the buying season of each exportable article. Traders would then know how they stand, and ~~can~~ make selling contracts for forward delivery and base their local buying prices on less of a quicksand. Competition would then give the native producer better prices, and production would be encouraged.

It is worth mention perhaps that the Uganda Government, in contrast to the Kenya authorities, has long ago adopted this

2. In business 24 months ago, he was a 20% owner of a company
3. He is now the sole owner of the company, which has a value of \$200,000.
4. He has been 12% owner of another company, which had a value of \$100,000.
5. He has been 10% owner of another company, which had a value of \$100,000.
6. He has been 10% owner of another company, which had a value of \$100,000.
7. He has been 10% owner of another company, which had a value of \$100,000.
8. He has been 10% owner of another company, which had a value of \$100,000.
9. He has been 10% owner of another company, which had a value of \$100,000.
10. He has been 10% owner of another company, which had a value of \$100,000.

RECORDED DATE: 1988

British East Africa Corporation, Limited

INCORPORATED IN ENGLAND.

HEAD OFFICE
F. T. PRESSLARD

TELEPHONE: AVENUE 8000 13 LINES.

TELEGRAMS: TAAL, P.M. LONDON.

TELEGRAMS: INCHMERE, LONDON.

CODES:

WESTERN UNION,
BROOKLYN'S COMMISSION.

AND AT

MOMBASA, NAIROBI, KIBERA,
KENYA COLONY.

KAMPALA, JIJJA, MAMBAKA,
UGANDA PROTECTORATE.

DAR ES SALAAM, TANZANIA, LINDI,
TANZANIA TERRITORY.

AND

ZANZIBAR.

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS:
HEAD OFFICE IN EAST AFRICA
MOMBASA.

LONDON HOUSE,

35, GROUPED FRIARS,

LONDON

E.C. 2

29/12/01

In your reply please refer

To No.

Dear Mr. Birkby,

Very prompt payment acknowledgement re
East African bankruptcy law.

I have written to Corlett & Co. to
ask their advice on the subject - or rather, as they
are a London based solicitors, as I am
foreign & don't find it easy to get the
information on this Ct Franklin, the local H.M.
Trade Commissioner, down to his finger tips. So
I have sent him a copy of the letter I have
written him, touched on some other points &
would a copy for you would.

Be a strong frost - which can all but
play a going again - we stay nearly
at a dead stop.

I heard from Giffrey Sowden today you had
met Sir T. Ramadan & others. They say things are
looking brighter when they called for home. They are
doing a lot of development - or rather, trying to, when
they are doing a bit of the same old business.

What do you think
about this?

D
forward to Mr. B. J. S.
Colonel Frankland
for your
opinion.

December 29th, 1921.

My dear Colonel,

I enclose you copy of an official letter I have written to the office of the very unrepresentative Native Dept., re export taxes on cotton, etc. for itself, and I leave you agree with me. I would like you to do at your ease by your own mind suggestions.

The vital need of the country is to stimulate production of exportable produce on the largest possible scale. I read with keen pleasure your own strong remarks of this in 2 months ago at the dinner given by the Associated Chambers of Commerce. The whole country is living, or barely living, on native produce, & also it would permit also the fact. The natives pay by hut tax, rail rates, taxation, etc. more than three quarters of the whole State Revenue of Kenya and practically 100% of the Revenue of Uganda. The European settlement in the Highlands, with all its paraphernalia of schools, roads, officials, etc. would be utterly impossible if the native taxation, paid for out of natives' own production, were not there to provide the revenue it does. What would be the cost of running the Railway and the rates on coffee and sisal and fine if the railway had no native produce traffic of coffee and maize and cotton and hides, etc.? The Europeans are blind.

General Frankland
HM Govt. of Kenya

London
December 29th, 1921.

To the Editor,

I enclose you copy of an official letter I have written to General Officer in the Treasury Subsidies and Customs Dept., re export taxes on chillico, etc. for itself, and I know you agree with me. I would now be glad at your aid by your own kind information.

The vital need of the country is to increase the production of exportable produce on the largest scale possible. I send with kind pleasure your own strong remarks in this regard made a month ago at the dinner given by the Associated Chambers of Commerce. The whole country is living, or barely living, on native products, if only it would recognize the fact. The natives pay by hut tax, poll tax, taxation, etc. More than three quarters of the whole State Revenue of Kenya and practically 100% of the Revenue of Uganda. The European Settlement in the Highlands, with all its paraphernalia of schools, roads, officials, etc. would be utterly impossible if the native taxation, paid for out of natives' own products, were not there to provide the revenue it does. What would be the cost of running the railway and the rates on coffee and sisal, if the railway had no native produce traffic of coffee and maize and chillico and hides, etc.? The Europeans are blind.

709

rights and the
privileges of such
persons as may be in it
and witness that the
same in public and private before the Bar
of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts
or before any other Court or Court martial
shall be held valid.

It is further ordered,

that the sum of one thousand dollars
be paid to the said John C. H. Smith,

for his services in the cause of the
Confederacy.

It is further ordered,

that the same be paid to the said John C. H. Smith,

as compensation for his services in the cause of the
Confederacy.

BRITISH EAST AFRICA CORPORATION LTD.

London House,
36 Crutched Friars,
London, E.C. 3.

December 20th, 1921,

The Secretary of State for the Colonies,

Colonial Office,

Powning Street, S.W.1.

EAST AFRICAN CORPORATION LTD.

We respectfully draw your attention to the system
providing in regard to the assessment of the ad valorem duties
levied on sundry produce of Kenya and Uganda. The present system,
we suggest, inflicts the maximum discouragement upon trade without
conferring advantages to the Government that could not be equally
well secured to the latter under a system that could take business
considerations into account.

The law provides for such and such an ad valorem percentage
tax to be levied upon certain produce, for example, chillies
at 10%, the rates 10%. The value of the article, on which the
percentage is to be levied, is then fixed, usually quarterly, and
notified in the Government Gazette. It is no doubt assumed
the trader thereupon makes his calculation as to what prices he
can realise for the produce in the markets to which he ships from
that African, and that he takes the tax into account as one of the
items in his expenses on the produce (just as he has to calculate
freight and so forth); and that he thus arrives at the maximum
price he can afford to pay the native grower of the article in

BRITISH EAST AFRICA CORPORATION LTD.

London House,
35 Crutched Friars,
London, E.C. 3.

[Redacted] 29th, 1921.

The Home Secretary or State for the Colonies,
COLONIAL OFFICE,
Downing Street, S.W.1.

EAST AFRICAN COMMODITY TAXATION SYSTEM.

May we respectfully draw your attention to the system
prevailing in regard to the assessment of the ad valorem duties
levied on sundry produce of Kenya and Uganda. The present system,
we suggest, inflicts the maximum discouragement upon trade without
conferring advantages to the revenue that could not be equally
well secured to the latter under a system that could take business
considerations into account.

The law provides for such and such an ad valorem percentage
tax to be levied upon certain produce, for example, shillings
on each rupee basis. The value of the article, on which the
percentage is to be levied, is then fixed, usually quarterly, and
notified in the Government Gazette. It is no doubt assumed
the trader thereupon makes his calculation as to what prices he
can realize for the produce in the markets to which he ships from
that location, and that he takes the tax into account as one of the
items in his expenses on the produce (just as he has to calculate
freight and so forth); and that he thus arrives at the maximum
price he can afford to pay the native grower of the article in

BRITISH EAST AFRICA CORPORATION LTD.

London House,
35 Crutched Friars,
London, E.C. 3.

December 29th, 1923.

THE MINISTRY OF TRADE FOR THE COLONIES,

Colonial Office,

Downing Street, S.W.1.

EAST AFRICAN DUTY FREE AREA TAXES.

May we respectfully draw your attention to the system
prevailing in regard to the assessment of the ad valorem duties
levied on native produce of Kenya and Uganda. The present system
we submit, inflicts the maximum discouragement upon trade without
conceding advantage to the revenue that could not be equally
well secured by the latter under a system that could take business
conditions into account.

The law provides for such and such an ad valorem percentage
tax to be levied upon certain produce, for example, chillies
on using the rateable loss. The value of the article, on which the
percentage is to be levied, is then fixed, usually quarterly, and
notified in the Government Gazette. It is no doubt assumed
the trader thereupon makes his calculation as to what prices he
can realize for the produce in the markets to which he ships from
that article, and that he takes the tax into account as one of the
items in his expenses on the produce (just as he has to calculate
freight and so forth) and that he thus arrives at the maximum
price he can afford to pay the native grower of the article in
Kenya and Uganda.

The increase of Sh. 6. S. per ton, say about £100 on our stock is simply a dead loss to us. We cannot recover it from parties whom we had contracted to ship and sell, and we had already paid the native growers.

The same thing has now been repeated. We have earliest information from January 1st, the Government intend to lay the same tax, plus 10 cents per lb., making £112 per ton at the port. This is an increase of Sh. 6. S. i. per ton on the export of coffee, and a real hold-up in trade. As this stock is of course held up, the increased tax is dead loss. An additional tax of 10 cents per lb. will add another 10 cents per ton.

At present we are holding 10,000 tons of coffee at 10 cents per lb. per ton, or £100 per ton. This is a dead loss of £100 per ton, or £10,000 per ton.

Trading companies do not pay the tax, but importers do. It is a dead loss to them, and a dead loss to us.

In the long run the country is bound to suffer.

It is not one quarter of a century since the last time that the tax was increased by 10 cents per ton instead of 60 cents there would be no complaint. The present idea against the way trade taxes are administered, is doing irreparably damage practically without notice.

I went into this matter rather fully with Mr. G. F. Frost, Commissioner of the port last year, and I think I may say that he agreed fully with me that the revenue would be equally well protected, and trade be encouraged and put into normal lines, if the changes in export tax quota were reduced, say, six months before an alteration comes into force, and if possible, each alteration be made during or the buying season of such exportable articles. Traders would then know how they stand, and can make selling contracts for forward delivery and bring their local buying prices up less of a quicksand. Competition would then give the native producer better prices, and production would be encouraged.

It is worth mention perhaps that the Uganda Government, in contrast to the Kenya Authorities, has ~~long ago~~ adopted this

more businesslike system in regard to its export tax on cotton. It notifies ahead of the season what the tax will be per lb. for export of the forthcoming season's crop. The result is full competitive prices to the growers, and some safe basis for the traders.

May we express the hope, on behalf of other buyers of native produce and of ourselves, that the Kenya Government may be induced to adopt the suggestions in this letter.

I remain the bearer to you

SIR,

SHL/DA.

Managing Director.

-4-

more businesslike system in regard to its export tax on cotton. It notifies ahead of the season what the tax will be per lb. for export of the forthcoming season's crop. The result is full competitive prices to the growers, and some safe basis for the traders.

May we express the hope, on behalf of other buyers of native produce out of ourselves, that the Kenya Government may be induced to adopt the suggestions in this letter.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

WML/DA.

11^a ✓ Managing Director



B.M.T. 4/14237 for Esafelion EN)

25 January 1923.

Mr. Gulliver,

DRAFT.

I enclose herewith the extract from your letter of the 24th of December.

S.A. Corporation Ltd

regarding the assessment of

MINUTE

Mr. Bullock 3/2/23.
Mr. Gulliver.

Sir H. Lumb.

Sir H. Bowes.

Sir J. Maitland Smith.

Mr. Ward.

Mr. Churchill

certain produce of Kenya and Uganda, and to inform you

that the alteration of the

assessments of Kenya will be drawn to your observations

on that matter.

Yours truly

3/2/23



B.M.T/64257 for Lefelin EN)

5 January 1923.

DRAFT

Mr. Bullock

I am at least the rest of

your letter of the 25th December

S. A. Corporation Ltd

regarding the assessment of

MINUTE

and returning the same to you

reducing it to £627.

Mr. Bullock

aff

certain produce of Kenya and

Mr. Grindall

Uganda and to inform you

Sir H. Lambert

Mr. Borden

Sir J. Moxon-Smith

Mr. Wood

Mr. Marshall

that the character of the

produce of Kenya will be

drawn to your attention

in that matter.

Yours
John H. Borden

3 dft



DRAFT

MINUTE

Mr. Buller

Mr.

Mr. Grindell

Sir H. Lambton

Mr. B. Bagot

Mr. J. Macmillan Smith

Mr. Ward

Mr. Churchill

2/25

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

END

TOTAL EXPOSURES →