

18

EAST AFR. PHD.

20258

C O
20258
Rec'd 22 APR 20

386

O.A.G.
BOWLING 301

CONSERVATION OF CATTLE.

24th March

in previous paper.

IC
1986
S/

Tys memo by Dir of Agriculture re--Agrees generally but
proposal to earmark proceeds any cattle tax for purpose
of providing funds for carrying out scheme does not re-
commend itself as all available revenue reqd for genl
purposes.

See 20258/10
and

in previous paper.

0509
a

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI.

BRITISH EAST AFRICA

24th March, 1920.

387

Lord.

C O
20253
REC
Feb 22 APR 20

With reference to my telegram No. 116 of the 5th instant, I have the honour to enclose a memorandum by the Director of Agriculture dealing with the report on the subject of a scheme for the conservation of cattle in Eastern Africa which was forwarded in Colonel Avery's despatch No. 1341 of December 9th.

I have discussed the subject with Mr. Wohl and agree with the conclusions at which he has arrived. I have nothing to add to his remarks except that the proposal to earmark the proceeds of any cattle tax for the purpose of providing funds for carrying out the scheme does not commend itself to me at a time when all available Revenue is required for general purposes. Later on, when we are in a position to prepare a budget in which Revenue balances Expenditure after making due provision for any necessary loan charges, it might be possible to consider a readjustment of local taxation and to earmark any stock tax which can be levied for the purpose suggested, but I do not consider it would be wise to proceed on the assumption that the proceeds of such a tax will not be required as part of our general Revenue.

I have the honour to be,
Your obedient servant,


 ACTING GOVERNOR.

HONOURABLE
COUNT MILNER, P.C., G.C.B., G.C.M.G., &c. &c.
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES
DOWNING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

16476

15th March 1920

The Hon. Mr. Chief Secretary,

~~Copy to the Secretary of State~~Extension of Veterinary Services.

As requested in your minute 20093 of the 6th instant, I now submit my views on the memo presented by Veterinary Officers who met in Conference in London, in November last, also the information desired by the Secretary of State in his despatch 101341 of the 9th December last. Before referring to the points specified in that despatch I would review the position in some of its aspects.

(2) I agree generally with the views expressed by the Conference regarding the menace of stock diseases in the East African Protectorate. Since my arrival a short time ago in this country I have realised that the seriousness of the position cannot well be exaggerated. vast areas of the country are eminently suited to the raising of cattle for the production of beef and of dairy produce, but livestock breeding has recently been engaged in the progress due, in my opinion, chiefly to the risks involved. The factors which enter into this are the existing conditions of establishing herds, and a large, and apparently an increasing, number maintain only cows for drought purposes, animals which are immune to Rinderpest and East Coast fever. I am advised that the price offered for grain bullocks for winter purposes is so low as to make their breeding unprofitable.

unprofitable while native oxen bred in infected areas are preferred for transport purposes because of their immunity to the diseases referred to, and, as a consequence, are in greater demand and command higher prices.

389

3. During recent years when the staff of the Veterinary Division was curtailed and vacancies could not be filled, the Veterinary Officers have given their attention chiefly to the stock in the European settled areas. It has not been possible to deal with outbreaks of disease in the vast areas of the native reserves where by far the largest number of cattle is to be found - it is estimated that the number of cattle in European ownership is only 30,000 to 100,000 as against 2½ to 3 millions in the native reserves. In my opinion no measures of control and eradication of stock diseases in the European areas are likely to succeed unless similar ones are adopted in the native reserves. The means of introduction of disease from the areas to clean districts should be removed, and unless effect be given to such a policy the expenditure now incurred in the protection of the European owned cattle will be largely wasted.

4. The diseases which are the cause of most of the mortality can undoubtedly be successfully controlled by means largely the result of modern scientific research. It remains for an adequate well trained staff to be provided to carry these measures.

5. The number of Veterinary Officers required to cope with the animal diseases in this territory cannot easily be closely estimated as the position rapidly changes within comparatively short periods. On present information I would suggest that the services of 30 Veterinary Officers ("field") and 90 Stock Inspectors, as stated in the Memo under review, will be required for immediate needs; but, unless stock increase in numbers at a faster rate than may be expected, and assuming that the Veterinary Division succeed in a well concerted effort in eradicating Rinderpest and Filario parasitism, and the spread of West Coast fever is arrested

arrested, it is hoped that so large a staff would not be required permanently.

(5) I would recommend that, instead of a certain number of Veterinary officers and stock inspectors being regarded as "relief staff", 6 and 18 respectively, as suggested, that a certain number of posts in the Veterinary Division be pensionable and the remainder non-pensionable. The Government would then be protected against unnecessary commitments in the future, and there would be nothing to prevent officers holding non-pensionable posts being transferred to the pensionable establishment when vacancies occurred.

(7) I regret that I am unable to recommend the adoption of the proposal that "Senior Veterinary Officers" should be appointed "in charge of Provinces". I am of opinion that, having regard to the size of the country and the number of officers employed or to be employed, it is neither necessary nor advisable to have an intermediary between the District or Field Veterinary Officer and the Head Office of the Veterinary Division.

(8) I do not think that the Chief Veterinary Officer and his Deputy would find any difficulty in directing and controlling the whole staff and in administering the division from Headquarters.

(9) Your proposal would, in my opinion, cause unnecessary delay and in dealing with serious disease will agree that prompt action is essential. Matters which could not be disposed of direct by the District Veterinary Officer would, in most cases, have to be referred by the proposed Provincial Veterinary Officer to Headquarters. Further the proposal would increase the expenditure, because the Provincial Veterinary officers would not be available for ordinary "field"

"field" work as it would be incumbent upon them to remain almost constantly in their offices, and each would require a clerical officer to assist them in dealing with routine office work.

10. I understand that the proposal is made largely with the object of providing means for advancement of the Veterinary Staff. I entirely agree that there should be opportunities for advancement to higher scales of salaries, and there should be "Senior Veterinary Officers", but the heads of the case can and should be not simply appointees to take charge of Provinces.

11. It is not necessary for me to deal in this communication with the inadequate salaries now being paid to Veterinary Officers; I have already had occasion to make representations in the matter, and it is understood that the subject is receiving the attention of a special committee appointed by the Secretary of State.

12. With the object of exercising due economy in Veterinary administration it is desirable that the fullest use should be made of the services of trained stock inspectors, and Veterinary Officers should be relieved as far as possible of all work not demanding high professional skill and experience.

13. With regard to the points specified by the Secretary of State:

(a) (v. 1) I do not consider that the proposal to appoint a Director of Veterinary Services charged with a floating commission over the Veterinary Administration of several territories, each with its own Government, is practicable in the absence of a centralisation of the administrations, say through a High Commissioner or by other means. Under existing organisation the Director could do no more than act in an advisory capacity, and he could not exercise any executive power or control. I foresee that if such an appointment were made,

troonie

trouble would almost certainly arise with the Chief Veterinary Officers in the different Protectorates or Colonies and with their Governments. 392

(b) With the need for securing co-operation I entirely agree, and, under existing conditions, I would suggest that the best and most satisfactory means of achieving the end in view is to hold periodically a Veterinary Conference attended by the Chief Veterinary Officers in Eastern African territories falling under the Colonial Office administration.

(c) The Conference should be held at least once a year, and suitable instructions might be conveyed from the Colonial Office, that the Officers in question should either, or one of the Chief Veterinary Officers should be instructed to convene the first Conference and to make any arrangements necessary. I feel sure that conferences of this kind will be productive of valuable results. After reviewing the position regarding stock diseases in the different countries, the measures taken for their control and eradication, and the experience gained, the Conference should put up recommendations which should be submitted to all the Governments concerned.

(d) It is noted that the Memorandum does not dealt comprehensively with the important matter of Veterinary Research. There is opinion that the requirements can best be met by the establishment of a Central Laboratory for Higher Veterinary Research fully equipped and staffed by the most competent Research Officers obtainable. It should deal chiefly with the investigation of diseases of primary and economic importance, for the most part common to the areas under consideration. Other Laboratories should be established in the different territories for the diagnosis of diseases, for the production of sera and vaccines etc., and for research work on localised diseases demanding suitable conditions for investigation and re-

found at the Central Laboratory.

(e) Until a Central Laboratory such as that proposed be recognised it is feared that money may be wasted, in so far as each Administration may act independently, resulting in duplication of effort. Further, a difficulty would be found in securing Officers possessing high scientific attainments, and, if an attempt were made to establish a number of Laboratories quite independent of each other, it is unlikely that they would be staffed and equipped so well as the Central Laboratory proposed.

(f) I would suggest that the Administrations of the territories outside the one where the Central Laboratory is located should make a contribution towards its maintenance. That arrangement would associate them in the work, and the necessary general direction of effort and prevention of overlapping would be achieved through conferences previously suggested.

(g) Again it is very desirable that a Veterinary Research Laboratory should be relieved by far as possible from the manufacture of laboratory products; and for the deeper problems, more difficult of solution and requiring the application of the sciences, the staff should be freed as far as possible from the ordinary routine work of a Veterinary Laboratory.

(h) The staff of a Veterinary Research Laboratory to serve the needs of this colony should include a Biological Chemist, a Botanist, an Entomologist, a Pathologist, each of the subjects represented. These Officers have an important part to play in the investigation and treatment of animal diseases, and many of the problems are beyond the scope of an Officer trained in Veterinary science alone. In the estimates which follow I have therefore provided for these posts though they were not dealt with in the memo under consideration, also for four additional Veterinary

Research Officers.

i (Q 2) It is estimated that a "Stock tax" levied at Rs. 1 per head on "Great stock" and Cents 10 on "Small stock" would bring in a total Revenue of about three million rupees per annum under the following heads:-

Cattle	2,000,000	Rs. 1.	
Sheep	5,000,000	C. 10.	Rs. 2,000,000
Goats	4,000,000	C. 10.	600,000
Camels	10,000	R. 1.	400,000
			10,000
		Total	Rs. 3,010,000

(j) Deductions have been made on the assumption that young animals may be exempted from taxation.

(k) It is clear that this burden of taxation would fall upon the natives, and it is not unreasonable that they should bear their proper share of the cost of protecting their herds and flocks from losses from disease; but the wisdom of imposing generally upon the community a form of taxation on a particular industry, and of earmarking for specific purposes the revenue derived therefrom, seems to me doubtful.

Another point for consideration is, whether in the event of certain other taxes being levied on the European community, stock owners should also be called upon to pay a "Stock" tax. It should be understood that the natives would for some time to come only be able to pay their "Stock" tax if paid.

In the event of native herds of animals of the cattle bearing in particular, e.g., bovine foot-and-mouth disease, difficulties probably would be experienced in calculating the value of the stock without seriously disturbing the stock.

(l) Another point for consideration in connection with the proposed stock tax is the prevalence of cattle disease in some of the native reserves where large numbers of cattle are to be found. In order to rid the country of this infection it will be necessary to slaughter numerous cattle numbers of infected cattle, and it is not unlikely that the natives would resist the slaughter of their stock unless reasonable compensation were paid. If the revenue realised approaches

approaches the estimate now given, the exchequer may be in a position to pay out the considerable expenditure likely to be incurred on payment of that compensation.

(m) With the enactment of a compulsory dipping measure steps would require to be taken, as far as distant districts, to provide dipping tanks for native stock, and to improve the water supply for that purpose in the native reserves. A loan fund would seem to be the proper means for meeting the original cost. Claims for gauntational and other services, and for general development in native areas would absorb more money. It is therefore seen that considerable expenditure might have to be incurred in the native reserves following the imposition of a "stock" tax.

(n) In thus endeavouring to ventilate the subject and to point the issues involved, it should not be assumed that I am raising objections and insuperable difficulties to the imposition or collection of a stock tax from natives. My desire is that the subject should be considered in all its bearings before definite action is taken.

(o) (Q.S.) Enclosed are schedules prepared by the Veterinary Division showing the cost of carrying the proposal into effect in the districts, and for comparison one column shows the present expenditure. It should be noted that the suggested plan provides for salaries on the proposed increased scale. The figures of the Ethnological Survey show an increase of staff consisting of four Veterinary officers, two Veterinary assistants, three District Veterinary Assistants, and one Veterinary Sanitary Inspector. Ethnological and Veterinary staff provided.

(p) Summarising the position it is as follows, the amounts being shown in rupees:

Expenditure

Expenditure 1919-1920.

Vety. Division.	(a) Personal Documents	£15,500
Pathological Div.	(b) Other Charges	7,500
	(a) Personal Documents	6,000
	(b) Other Charges.	11,000
	Total.	<u>£40,000</u>

Under same heading.First year.

Vety. Division.	(a) Personal Documents	£36,000
Pathological Div.	(b) Other charges	14,500
	(a) Personal Documents	14,000
	(b) Other charges	16,000
	Total.	<u>£62,000</u>

Second Year.

Vety. Division.	(a) Personal Documents	£46,000
	(b) Other charges	16,000
Pathological Div.	(a) Personal Documents	20,500
	(b) Other Charges.	21,500
	Total.	<u>£106,000</u>

Third Year.

Vety. Division.	(a) Personal Documents.	£51,000
	(b) Other Charges	20,000
Pathological Div.	(a) Personal Documents	22,000
	(b) Other Charges	25,000
	Total.	<u>£110,000</u>

4. Capital expenditure spread over three to five years would, it is calculated amount approximately to £100,000 as follows:-

Veterinary Division £50,000.

Chiefly for houses for staff, and for transport

Pathological Division £50,000.

Chiefly for Laboratory extensions, houses for staff, and stabling for staff.

5. The revenue anticipated from the Veterinary and Pathological Divisions is £20,000 per annum, including that derived from Quarantine Stations, but not including any amounts which may be paid by other Governments for serum supplied to them from the Pathological Laboratory under this administration.


Director of Agriculture.

Subsidiary Statement of present & proposed Veterinary Expenditure in connection with Veterinary Services.

Veterinary Division	1919-20	Present Expenditure	Proposed Expenditure	Rate	Change
General Enrolments					
Native Clerks	200	200	-	-	200
Native Allowances	60	-	-	-	7
Native Travelling	500	200	-	-	200
Licences	50	7	-	-	7
Veterinary Officers	-	-	-	-	-
Native Officers	6240	5000	2000	1500	9000
Native Veterinary Officers	1200	-	-	-	-
Native Clerks	-	-	-	-	-
Native Allowances	40	100	20	20	210
Native Travelling	4	20	2	2	20
Native Licences	20	20	2	2	20
Year of Bands	200	-	-	-	-
Native Allowances	40	120	20	20	160
Stock Inspectors, 1st Grade	-	-	-	-	-
- do - 2nd Grade	4115	15000	4000	2700	22300
Native per	160	160	20	20	210
Native Allowances	40	-	-	-	-
Housekeeper	-	200	15	15	250
Native Housekeeper	-	40	-	-	40
Native Veterinary Assistants	427	-	-	-	-
Native Allowances	32	-	-	-	-
Trunks	550	700	90	90	960
Native House Allowances	120	120	-	-	120
Clerks 4th Grade	154	30	270	210	351
Labour	1100	500	500	50	1400
Total - Personal Enrolments.	10,435	25,470	7,272	2,410	36,452
Other Charges.					
Fines & Instruments	400	300	150	40	540
Op. of Quarantine Stations	200	100	50	50	250
Maintenance of Ships	1100	200	-	-	200
Native Allowances	300	400	100	100	700
Compensation payable under licences or Animal Ord.	500	-	-	-	-
Contingencies	200	150	100	50	300
Transport Passages	1200	1200	200	400	2400
- do - Local Travelling	2000	4000	1200	1200	4200
- do - Travelling allow.	600	300	300	300	1200
Carriage of Goods	200	100	50	50	250
Cost of Reference	40	120	20	20	220
Total Other Charges	7,170	7,900	2,000	1,000	12,900
Capital Expenditure (to be earned over a period of say 3 to 5 years)					
- Subsistence for Veterinary Officers 18 houses	11600	-	21000	-	-
- Stock Inspectors 40 houses	2800	-	22000	-	-
- Cost for Veterinary Officers & Stock Inspectors	11600	-	22000	-	-
Horses & C. 420	-	21000	-	-	-
Saddlery &c sets 4 610	610	-	-	-	-
Accessories 10 4 640	640	-	-	-	-
Typewriters 6 4 230	230	-	-	-	-
Total - Capital Expenditure	25,010	-	-	-	-

C.O. 533

231

RECORD SHEET
RECORDED IN
RECORD SHEET
NO. 10
RECORDED IN
RECORD SHEET
NO. 10
RECORDED IN
RECORD SHEET
NO. 10

VETERINARY STAFF BUDGET

Tabulated statement of current & anticipated requirements expenditure in connection with Veterinary Services.

Colonial Division
Personal Expenditure

	Current Expenditure	Ant. Inv.	Proposed Increases, 2nd year	3rd year	et-1 Expenditure	Remarks
I. VETERINARY PATHOLOGIST	600	300	-	-	300	
o. Junior Officers	20	7	-	-	7	
110. VET. PATHOLOGIST	250	125	-	-	250	
o. Junior Officers	900	125	120	150	300	1st year 2 Sen. Research Officers, 125 1st year 2 Junr. 3 Ass'tt (2 new appts.) 2nd year 3 Sen. Research Officers, 25 1st Junior + 200 (2 new appointments)
111. Pathologist	-	-	-	-	-	
o. Pathologist	-	-	600	25	625	
o. Assistant	-	-	600	25	625	New appointments
112. Veterinary Superintendent	310	110	600	25	625	
113. Veterinary Laboratory Assistant	140	110	600	25	625	
114. Junior Veterinary Assistant	140	110	600	25	625	
115. Yard Foreman	150	140	30	30	180	
o. Asst. Yard Foreman	-	25	15	15	20	
116. Clerks	770	750	310	310	184	New appointment 1st year 7 clerks, 1 150, 5 + 200 2 new appt.
117. VETERINARIAN	200	160	40	40	82	
o. Manager for Londonian Stock Farm	-	300	2	2	302	
118. Stock keeper	100	100	20	20	180	2 + 150 each, 1 new appt. for Stock keep appt. (included in Draft Budget 1949-51)
119. Economic	100	100	-	-	100	
o. caretaker	-	200	15	15	215	(1 new appt. already mentioned but shown in 1949-50 estimates) 1 new for Stock Lab.
114. Laborato y Studia	100	-	10	10	-	
115. Labour	200	-	100	100	100	New appointments
Total - Personal Expenditure	2001	1560	670	670	1870	

Other Charges

120. Apparatus, Machinery etc.	1350	820	30	270	160	
121. Purchase of Laboratory (Feed & Upkeep of Animals).	1900	1100	200	200	90	
122. Purchase of Antiseptics	3000	1500	1400	1400	600	
123. Production of Antiseptic Serum for other Departments	3000	1500	1400	1400	400	
124. Purchase of Scientific Books	100	50	50	50	100	
125. Typewriters	100	50	50	50	100	
126. Transport Expenses	200	100	100	100	200	
o. Local Travelling	100	50	50	50	100	
o. Purchase of Goods	100	50	50	50	100	
o. Travelling Abroad	-	100	50	50	100	
Total - Other Charges	861	4800	4620	2400	4160	

Capital Expenditure (to be apportioned over a period say 2 to 5 years)

127. Extension to Asbeste Laboratory	"	21000				
128. New Housing Accommodation for extra staff	"	17500				
129. Extension to Asbeste subsidiary buildings etc. etc. etc. at Asbeste	9000					
130. Extensions to Laboratory buildings, plant etc. at Nerek (most expensive)	11000					

£ 50,300

C.O. 34

162

PROVISIONS FOR
GENERAL EXPENSES
ON PROJECTS