

8301 8301

ASSOCIATED PRODUCERS OF E. AFRICA,

4 BUCKINGHAM GATE,

S.W.

15th February 1923.

The Secretary of State for the Colonies, Whitehall, S.W.1.

Sir.

Writing on behalf of Lord Cranworth and myself I have the honour to enclose copy of telegram of the 2nd February 1923, which Lord Cranworth produced at our interview with you on the 10th instant. I see that the correct wording of the Imperial Conference Resolution on this nead was:

"The Conference while affirming the Resolution of the Imperial War Conference of 1918 that "each community of the British Commonwealth should enjoy complete control of the composition of its own population by means of restriction on immigration"

It then proceeds to state the opinion that in the interests of the solidarity of the British Commonwealth it is desirable that the rights to citizenship of Indians lawfully domiciled in other parts of the Empire than India should be recognised. On this Resolution follows the statement that the representatives of South Africa regretted their inability to accept this Resolution.

It will be seen that the right to control the composition of its population by each community was considered the prelude to the recognition of rights in Indians to citizenship limiting and conditioning this recognition. In this connection, I have received another cable from the Convention of Associations urging a decision upon this question as "it may be deciding factor in the whole controversy" and adding "that intense feeling is generally prevalent which may reach head at any moment, Leaders cannot hold Country much longer."

ORIA 6865.



ASSOCIATED PRODUCERS OF E. AFRICA,

4 BUCKINGHAM GATE,

S.W.

15th February 1923.

The Secretary of State for the Colonies, Whitehall. S.W.l.

Sir.

Writing on behalf of Lord Cranworth and myself I have the honour to enclose copy of telegram of the 2nd February 1923, which Lord Cranworth produced at our interview werding of the Imperial Conference Resolution on this nead was:

"The Conference while affirming the Resolution of the Imperial War Conference of 1918 that seach community of the British Commonwealth should enjoy complete control of the composition of

"its own population by means of restriction on "immigration"

It then proceeds to state the opinion that in the interests of the solidarity of the British Commonwealth it is desirable that the rights to citizenship of Indians lawfully domiciled in other parts of the Empire than India should be recognised. On this Resolution follows the statement that the representatives of South Africa regretted their inability to accept this Resolution.

It will be seen that the right to control the composition of its population by each community was considered the prelude to the recognition of rights in Indians to citizenship limiting and conditioning this recognition. In this connection, I have received another cable from the Convention of Associations urging a decision upon this question as "it may be deciding factor in the whole controversy" and adding "that intense feeling is generally prevalent which may reach head at any moment, Leaders cannot hold Country much longer."

TORIA 6865

THE ASSOCIATED PRODUCERS OF E. AFRICA,

4 BUCKINGHAM GATE,

SW

- 2 -

The European community will be deeply concerned to see that this restriction on immigration is effective, and in the hands of people who it knows will see that it is not evaded. May I ask that as soon as any terms of a measure directed towards restriction are allowed to be disclosed to unofficial members of the Legislative Council in Kenya Colony Lord Cranworth and I may be permitted to be acquainted with them.

You were good enough to say that we might have a copy of the Wood Winterton terms, and we should be glad to receive them.

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your obedient servant,

ich foreny

No.	
	113
spatched	
Time	
ce ived	2-2-23
Time	-
wd y	

ASSOCIATED PRODUCERS OF SAF AFRICA

	Date despatched	_	
4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ONFIRMATION OF CABLE	_	
· ·	Date received 222	3	
	Time		
From Haslewood Heirobi To Major Growdy			
Code			
- on et oye			
UGUVDOLCUL WENPAWIDEL ERDFAPYOVE	Referring to your telegram of the 30th proposals which you will have no doubt seen		
ULZ PORMPOH	therenghly discussed by		
PRASYKANIK	Representative(s) (a) Meeting(s)		
I PWYN CYKZE	invited by Governor		
OYIRBAPUFK	Rejected as		
IDJEMTUGNY	quite impossible stop Have informed Government		
IJOHTGYJWA VUYLNDIOSZ	we cannot continue		
KURFTVAWZE	negotiations unless it		
ICSCOIPLOZ	immediately introduce		
TUVOBICTUH	stringent immigration		
O YAWDNUW YE	regulations owing to		
HIURLI GCO Y	heavy increase of		
MIFOOIGODZ	2,900 from India		
IZJIHLELAF	months Have protested against		
KINRAOLYED	postponement Election		
OGJI JEZIGZ	ров вропешни в простои		

H SLEWOOD.

? 48301/23 Kenya.

S Se

DRAFT.

MAJOR W.M. CROWDY,

MINUTE.

Mr. Bottomlyy, 16.2.23

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. Davis.

Sir G. Grindle.

+ Sir H. Read. 16 11

Sir J. Masterton Smith. 16. L .

Mr. Ormsby-Gore.

+ Duke of Description. 16.2.

forcom

mary of proposals

Japas.

D. 16. 1

Downing Street,

/) February .1923.

Sir,

I am etc. to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15th 4 February arising out of the interview which you and Lord Cranworth had with the Secretary of State on the 10th A February. The question whether early action can be taken with regard to the restriction on immigration is still under consideration, but His Grace will not fail to bear in mind your request that, when the terms of a measure in this sense are allowed to be communicated to the Legislative Council in Kenya, you may be acquainted with

With regard to the last paragraph

of your letter, I am to enclose a summary of the proposals sent to the Governor of Kenya by the late Secretary of State on the 5th 4 Mit.
September I am, however, to request that you will take effery care to ensure that the memorandum is not published or communicated to Mast Africa at present, and that it will not, at any time, be allowed to be published in its present form. The question whether an announcement of the terms can be made in Kenya and what form it should take is now under His Grace's consideration.

(Sgd) H. Shuckburgh.



MORANDUM.

16.2.23

The Terms agreed upon between the Colonial
Office and the India Office for the purpose of ascertaining
the views of the Governments of Kenya and India, and teleSickful Country
graphed to the former on the 5th of September, 1922.

6.2.

- (1) Qualifications for franchise. Some form of a census, either complete or by way of a test, should be carried out with a view to adjusting qualifications so as to result in an Indian electorate of approximately 10% of the Indian population. A combined qualification of property and education had previously been contemplated, but, in order to obtain the percentage. this might have to be replaced by an alternative property or educational qualification, and it was to be understood that, if, in order to obtain Indian electorate, the qualification would be unreasonably low, then a reasonable standard would be laid down irrespective of the resulting percentage The qualifications must be settled in time to enable the Indian electorate to take part in the general election at the beginning of 1923.
 - (2) <u>Franchise</u>. A common electora of roll for all British subjects and protester cracks of see Il and upwards, irrespective of sex, who possessed the qualifications laid down under 'l) acove. All fresh applicants for registration whether Workpeans not registered under the Ordinance of lyly, or Indians, would be required to possess those qualifications, but the Europeans now on the register would be admitted to the new register whether they possessed the new qualifications or not.

(3) Constituencies. The India Office proposed that there should be four constituencies each returning three members (or possibly one returning two members and three returning three members) one seat in each constituency to be Indian, thus giving eight (or seven) Europeans and four Indians. Alternatively, there might should be seven constituencies, three of which should return one member (European) and four two members (one European and one Indian), thus giving seven Europeans and four Indians.

The first alternative would, it was thought, be more acceptable to the Indians as giving them representation in every constituency, but the Secretary foru(01000) of state pointed on that this principle might prove to be inconvenient when the necessity for the representation of Africans comes up for consideration.

(4) Municipalities. The Decimals to be given adequate

- (4) <u>Municipalities</u>. The Distant to be given adequate representation which should be un an elected basis where such table exists for Europeans. Detailed arrangements to be donesd red later.
- (5) Executive Council. The existing charitation mades no tistinotics with the made. There was no being one Alica mofficial member of the founcil and this arrangement was to continue.
- (6) <u>Segrepation</u>. There was to end adjre, at the residential of commercial on radial lines out the municipal authorities were to have power to impose, at their discretion sanitary police and thirly as regulations of a sind containing no radial fractimum tion.

(7) Immigration. In present circumstances no change was contemplated in the existing regulations. Secretary of State made it clear/in the discussions with the India Office as had maintained that, if the danger grose of a large influx of Indians, he was entirely free to take any action which might be necessary, but he stated that in view of the figures as to the arrivals and departures of Indians in 1921-22, he had not felt it necessary to insist on any immediate alteration of the law. Those figures showed for the twelve months an excess of departures over arrivals of about 1800, but since the date of the telegram figures have been received for the ensuing hine months, ended December 1922, which show an excess of arrivals over departures of 2,888. In buil of the (8) Highlands. It was to be announced that the Colonial

(8) fighlands. It was to be announced that the Colonial Office could not contemplate any change in the existing law and practice having regard to past policy and commitments, and that the India Office took note of this view but were unable to accept it and reserved the right to re-open the question, if need be, at some future date.

Senton of state in the to the state of the s