

KENYA

CO
40810

40810

REC'D
17 AUG 20

311

TELEPHONE
TEL 450

CLEARANCE FEE ON PARCELS

1920

15 August

previous Paper.

For reasons stated does not propose to alter present charges unless rates from U.K. to E.A. are also altered States parcel exchanges with Italy negligible but to avoid misunderstanding recommends retention of present fees

36961

Ref. CO letter 2 19 June 20

28 19 1920 send copy of

letter to ...

only ... change

5 June ...

cc!

11/17/20

1/1/20

Remia ...

Copy cover ...
Copy cover ...
Copy cover ...
Copy of above to Gov 1501 19 Oct 20 7/1/20 1/20

ent Paper.

Memorandum from the Governor of the East Africa

Kenya

Memorandum to the Secretary of State for Colonies.

Dated 16th August

408

(Received Colonial Office S. 5. 2. 2. 15th August, 1920)

16th August your despatch 19th June
 identifies credits received on parcels from
 United Kingdom and Italy entirely inadequate to
 cover cost of handling and transport in this colony.
 A clearance fee was imposed as a simple immediate
 means of meeting loss. A fee of 25 centimes would
 contribute substantially towards loss and
 is therefore scarcely worth imposing. In view of
 financial position of colony unable to recommend
 retention or abolition of present fee unless United
 Kingdom prepared to revise its parcel post rates
 for this colony so as to allow of a contribution
 towards it and so bring them in line with rates
 levied from other overseas exporters. As 90%
 of foreign parcels come from United Kingdom
 credits would approximate to clearance loss of
 the arising abolition clearance fee and establish
 a working basis. Parcel exchange with Italy
 is possible but to avoid any question of specially
 favored treatment recommend retention of present
 rate on Italian parcels also pending general
 clearance fee question.

NOTHING.

XLA 35 P NALROBI 140/138 16 PQ GOVT

CHAPELRIES LN = 430 ^{16 Aug} ~~ACQUIS~~ ^{uncamp} ~~COMPENS~~ IS

CREDITS RECEIVED ON PARCELS FROM ~~TELE~~ AND
ITALY ENTIRELY INADEQUATE ^{cost of} ~~ORIGIN~~ ~~ORIGIN~~

HANDLING AND TRANSPORT ^{in the} ~~UNHEW~~ COLONY SILVERROOD

CLEARANCE FEE WAS IMPOSED ^{AS} ~~AN~~ ~~SANT~~ SIMPLE

IMMEDIATE ^{means of} ~~MATURE~~ MEETING LOSS SILVERROOD FEE

OF ~~WED~~ ²⁶ ~~WALST~~ ^{the} ~~CENT~~ ~~IMPS~~ ~~OOO~~ ~~OOO~~ ~~OOO~~ SUBSTANTIALLY

TOWARDS LOSS AND THEREFORE SCARCELY WORTH

RE IMPOSING ~~SILVERROOD~~ ^{the} ~~TRIPOLONA~~ FINANCIAL

provision of
~~PERFOVET~~ COLONY *unable to* PERSTARTA RECOMMEND REDUCTION

abolition of PRESENT FEE UNLESS ^{U.K.} TETONY

~~POSTHOUSE~~ REVISE ITS PARCEL POST RATES

to them ^{So as} COLONY *allow of* ~~SERVANTING~~ ^{and so} ~~AFESTUSSO~~ DOUBLING

LTS CREDITS ^{to it} ~~SURSFUR~~ ^{received from} ~~ALFELLON~~ BRING THEM

INTO LINE ^{with these} ~~TRACKSOUT~~ ^{So of} ~~RANGSCATE~~ OTHER OVERSEAS

EXCHANGES ~~SILVEROOD~~ AS ^{some from} ~~PARTRACOUT~~ RECEIVED

FOREIGN PARCELS ~~CHUMENKE~~ TETONY DOUBLE

CREDITS WOULD APPROXIMATELY BALANCE ^{loss of} ~~LIMITLESS~~

REVENUE ARISING ABOLITION CLEARANCE

K.A.P.
FEE AND ESTABLISH FALSER WORKING BASIS

SALVERSD PARCEL EXCHANGES WITH ITALY NEGOTIABLE

^{but is} SUPPADA AVOID ANY ^{variation} QUARRELOUS SPECIALLY

FAVOURED TREATMENT RECOMMEND ^{in letter of} REVIVATION

PRESENT FEE ON ITALIAN PARCELS ALSO

PENDING GENERAL SETTLEMENT CLEARANCE FEE

QUESTION - NOFLEY

~~Subject~~

2. Last Petition with
W. Alving with
~~the~~ will be so
been with
furnish this information
Vernon Express & Co

I am

(Signed) H. J. HEA.