

KENYA

50568

Rec'd  
Recd

13 OCT 20

50568

298

MR  
1025  
THEY

1920

MR PTT

recent paper

Trs copies with legal report. Points out it has become a law penalizing non-development and has lost much of its revenue-producing character. Considers it will be a useful piece of legislation and that a new and separate bill taxing gradually rising land values might reasonably be introduced later. Requests telegraphic reply.

Mr Batterbee

I would like to know if you can point to the act the body or individual the  
Land Tax on a tax levied about  
and justify the body -

App the necessary to make up some  
aspects - ~~but~~ - ~~but~~ - ~~but~~ - ~~but~~ - ~~but~~ -  
hope to get back - ~~but~~ - ~~but~~ - ~~but~~ - ~~but~~ -  
but in England -

Also, when did the bill appear &  
list the heads of the copy goes on,  
which every thing a central agency  
on the Council houses <sup>with</sup> ~~with~~ the  
the Colonial Secretary, one of the  
official members of the Committee was set  
away by the original body  
carrying and not after the bill can be  
take full control they consider some  
also, based by this action will be

600 14188-24 Op 188 26,000 8-20 W B A L

No letter

There are two reasons of the attack  
& myself attacked. I am doing what  
I have been able to consider a hope  
but my health has been pretty taken  
by the long & tiring work  
of the previous week.

MS

Box 20

Sir H. Fowler

Kenya has not been saved back with  
the fiscal legislation - the Indian Taxp. Bill  
was, say as off the will, <sup>of the</sup> because  
because it's revenue-producing character  
was important.

Kenya will be roundly satisfied when  
he sends the application within the  
anticipated yield for £30,000 to  
£10,000. He will be anxious to do  
what he can with Ceylon, but this  
is expected to be before the money  
arrives and for allowing 10 days  
would be for enough to fulfil your  
wishes.

I think we must tell Mr. E. G.  
that it does not open a window

No battery

There is no organization by the Parliament  
itself against it. It can be expected  
to have been able to find some opposition  
but very little has been put up.  
The only thing that would be  
of value to the English government  
after having work.

118

30 x 20

In H. P. Davis

Paraguay does not have much time with  
its fiscal legislation - the British Tax of 1882  
was only set off the will of the people  
because its revenue-producing character  
was apparent.

During this administration there is  
an anticipated yield from £90,000 to  
£100,000. The latter amount is to be  
reparted with Ceylon, Brazil &  
Peru. And before the money  
is reparted for collecting debts  
it would go through the financial  
commissions.

I think we must tell the English  
that the odds are on our side.

Opposition and members of Congress  
only opposed our going to Mexico  
to tell them to make him  
ready for his attack?

299

I do not think the opposition and  
the object is necessarily irreconcileable. The  
Mexican strong held the former  
in mind is not effective force to  
change. Time, as had a response of  
protection and right behavior on either  
party of the two who would be forced upon  
them who would be only too glad to  
develop if they could.

G. C. S.

May 20.

Closed meeting.

1000 ft. per sec.  
not bad.

May 20.

I think one of the main objections still - we  
must be entirely in the region.

On 1/1/4000

As the Governor states in his despatch, the Ordinance had, during its passage through the Legislative Council, entirely altered its character. It has ceased to be a revenue-producing measure, and has become a law penalizing non-development, but though the character of the Ordinance has been thus altered, it has retained its previous structure which, if the measure is to be retained at all, <sup>considerable</sup> wants ~~suitable~~ overhauling. In the first place the title itself wants altering - ? the title should be "An Ordinance relating to the Imposition, Assessment and Collection of a tax on Unimproved Land."

In spite of the change of the whole scope and character of the Ordinance, the machinery is left just as it was. Returns are furnished by all landowners every year without any exception - apparently the Indian owner of half an acre is to be required to furnish a return equally with the Company owning 10,000 acres. The work of sending out, collecting, and examining the prescribed returns must involve a great amount of labour and a large increase of staff and great expense if the work is to be done properly. The returns are to be considered by local Assessment Boards who are to report the valuation on the assessment to the Commissioner. The Boards are apparently to consist of unofficials either appointed by the Governor, or elected on some principle to be laid down. Will any local farmers, or other unofficials, be found willing to undertake so thankless a task, and if they are, will they be competent to do the work? They will certainly

certainly want expert advice, and who is to supply it? In the case of an appeal against the assessment of the District Assessment Board, there is to be constituted a Central Assessment Board, consisting of the Commissioner of Lands, the Attorney-General, the Director of Agriculture, and three non-officials. This seems open to two criticisms -

(1) Surely the three high officials mentioned are far too busy to be able to give their time to appeal work of this kind.

(2) In any case, the Commissioner of Lands and the Attorney General seem out of place on such a Board as being interested parties.

All this complicated machinery might be justifiable if it was designed to bring in a large amount of revenue, but it will bring in a merely negligible amount. The tax will only apply to a very small proportion of landowners - (1) it is not to apply if the landowner has carried out improvements to the value of 25 per cent on the unimproved value of the land; (2) in the case of owner of several estates, all the estates are ~~to~~ brought together for the purpose of the Ordinance so that the big landowner who develops one estate highly, can leave one or two wholly undeveloped. It does not seem likely, therefore, that the Ordinance will penalise the big speculator, and the only man hit will be the small man. The Government have dropped their proposal to impose the tax on the transfer of land, so that the owner of unimproved land can avoid the tax by transferring it to some other person - this, of course, opens the door to dummying and all sorts of secret arrangements.

certainly want expert advice, and who is to do it? In the case of an appeal against the assessment of the District Assessment Board, there is to be constituted a Central Assessment Board, consisting of the Commissioner of Lands, the Attorney General, the Director of Agriculture, and three non-officials. This seems open to two criticisms -

(1) Surely the three high officials mentioned are far too busy to be able to give their time to appeal work of this kind.

(2) In any case, the Commissioner of Lands and the Attorney General seem out of place on such a Board as being interested parties.

All this complicated machinery might be justifiable if it was designed to bring in a large amount of revenue, but it will bring in a merely negligible amount. The tax will only apply to a very small proportion of landowners - (1) it is not to apply if the landowner has carried out improvements to the value of 25 per cent on the unimproved value of the land; (2) in the case of an owner of several estates, all the estates are ~~to be looked~~ <sup>to be held</sup> together for the purpose of the Ordinance so that the big owner who develops one estate highly, can leave one or two wholly undeveloped. It does not seem likely, therefore, that the Ordinance will penalize the big speculator, and the only man hit will be the small man. The Government have dropped their proposal to impose the tax on the transfer of land, so that the owner of unimproved land can avoid the tax by transferring it to some other person - this, of course, opens the door to dummying and all sorts of secret arrangements.

As to the scale of assessment, it is proposed that the tax should be imposed on the basis of the unimproved value of the land whatever the amount of improvements may be. E.g., the man owning land whose unimproved value is £2,000, and having put in improvements to the value of £400, has to pay the same sum as the man who has put in no improvements at all. This does not seem fair.

We do not think it necessary to enlarge on all the difficulties attendant on the enforcement of legislation of this kind, and on the various shifts, dummying, etc., to which recourse may be had to evade it - evidence of that may be found in every country that has tried such legislation.

As regards the particular Ordinance now before us, it seems to us that the amount of good to be derived from it is so small as compared with the expense and trouble involved, and the friction, irritation and sense of injustice likely to be caused, that we do not think that the Secretary of State would be justified in assenting to the Ordinance. We suggest that the objections set out above should be explained to the Governor, and that he should be informed that, after careful consideration, the Secretary of State cannot see his way, in present circumstances, to approve the legislation.

There are various drafting points of varying importance to which attention might be called, but it does not seem worth while going into them at length until the Secretary of State has decided whether or not to approve the Ordinance.

MS

A.



303

My Lord.

With Reference to Your Lordship's telegram  
of the 28th July, I have the honour to transmit  
herewith six copies of the Land Tax Ordinance,  
together with a Comparative Table of Sections and  
a Statement of Objects and Reasons prepared by  
the Acting Solicitor-General.

2. As Your Lordship is aware, the bill was  
introduced primarily as a financial measure, and,  
as Your Lordship will observe on perusal of the  
attached Statement, it has now, by the exemption  
granted to beneficially occupied land, become in  
effect a law penalizing non-development and has  
lost much of its revenue-producing character.

3. In view of the fact that the prospective  
revenue so lost by this modification can be made  
up by land-owners now being unable, under the  
Income Tax Ordinance, to claim any reduction in  
respect of Land Tax paid by them, I consider that  
this Ordinance will become a useful piece of  
legislation and should greatly stimulate either  
the development by their present proprietors of  
large areas of idle land or the transfer of such  
areas to more enterprising owners. I would add

remark

HONOURABLE

SIR COUNT MILNER, P.C., G.C.B., G.C.M.G., A.C., &c.

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

remark that when, and if, this function is achieved it will be possible by a few simple amendments to restore the original financial character of this Ordinance, if that is considered desirable, without modifying machinery which should, by that time, be in smooth working order.

4. The excision of the clauses relating to taxing land-transfers does not, in view of the fact that only future increases of value would be liable, represent anything at present but a negligible loss of revenue. The principle of taxing incremental land values received the approval of members of the Legislative Council; but it was considered that the proposal immediately to tax transfers would be likely to have a more prejudicial effect on the influx of capital than, for some time to come, its importance warrants.

5. I consider that a new and separate bill taxing gradually rising land values might reasonably be introduced at a later stage.

6. I shall be grateful if Your Lordship will signify by telegram whether or not I may give my assent to this Ordinance.

I have the honour to be,

Your Lordship's

Humble, obedient servant,

Edward Nottley

GOVERNOR.

|    | Remarks.   |
|----|--|
| 1. | Short title.   |
| 2. | Division of Ordinances into Parts.   |
| 3. | Interpretation.  |
| 4. | Provisions for the collection of the tax and the necessary staff.  |
| 5. | New. Provides for the taxation of all land with certain exceptions, amongst which is all included land beneficially occupied. Also land which is not beneficially occupied for 2 years after first transfer; and land which has not been alienated by the Crown for a period of two years is exempted. This last exemption was intended to give farmers under the Soldier Settlement scheme time to develop their land without being hampered by taxation. Beneficial occupation is defined. |
| 6. | The levy and payment of the tax is provided for.   |
| 7. | The date at which ownership of land is determined for taxation purposes is fixed and the person who is shown as owner in any Register or instrument affecting the title to land is deemed to be the owner unless the Commissioner of Lands is notified. - For comparison of these sections 5, 6 and 7. See Part III Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia) and Part II Land and Income Assessment Act, 1910 (New Zealand).   |

Provisions as to joint tenants. Compare Section 33 Land Tax Assessment Act (Australia) 1910 and Section 59 Land and Income Assessment Act, 1906, (New Zealand).

Allows provision for the keeping of separate accounts of tax payable by persons in their individual right and a representative capacity.

Relates to the charging of amounts and trustees. Compare Section 62 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910 (Australia).

The Commissioner is given power to demand information and the production of title deeds etc.

The taxpayer is liable to the commissioner for the full amount of the tax.

Provides for the distribution of the burden of the tax proportionately between joint owners.

Compare Section 55 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Now, provides for returns to be made to district assessment boards. Compare Section 11 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910 (Australia).

Follows Section 16 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Now, provides for the constitution and establishment of the District Assessment Boards referred to in Section 15 and prescribes their duties and functions.

ation.

by January.

Provisions as to joint tenants. Compare Section 33 Land Tax Assessment Act, Australia, 1910 and Section 59 Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, New Zealand.

Allows provision for the keeping of separate accounts of tax payable by persons in their individual right and a representative capacity.

Relates to the charging of amounts on trustees. Compare Section 62 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910 (Australia).

The Commissioner is given power to demand information and the production of title deeds etc.

The taxpayer is liable to the commissioner for the full amount of the tax.

Provides for the distribution of the burden of the tax proportionately between joint owners.

Compare Section 55 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

New. Provides for returns which made to District Assessment Boards. Compare Section 15 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910 (Australia).

Follows Section 16 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

New. Provides for the constitution and establishment of the District Assessment Boards referred to in Section 15 and prescribes their duties and functions.

16. Provides an alternative procedure where no District Assessment Board has been appointed (Compare section 17 Land Tax Assessment Act, Australia, 1910).

Follows with modifications section 18 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia) but includes a new provision relating to the appointment of Secretaries to District Assessment Boards.

Follows with modifications section 19 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910 (Australia).

Follows as modified Section 20 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Follows as modified Section 21 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Follows Section 22 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Follows Section 23 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Follows Section 24 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Follows Section 25 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Compare Section 26 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Now, Establishes a Central Assessment Board to hear all objections to assessments of land.

Now, The constitution, duties and functions of the Central Assessment Board are provided for in this and the succeeding Sections 30 to 35.

## Remarks.

Compare and compare Section 23 of Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, (New Zealand).

Compare Section 24 Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, (New Zealand).

Compare Section 25 Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, (New Zealand).

Compare Section 26 Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, (New Zealand).

Note. An appeal from the High Court is allowed as though the matter arose in a civil suit.

Compare Section 49 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Compare Section 50 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Compare Section 51 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia). The latter portion of this section is in addition to the original Bill, and corresponds to a similar provision in the Income-tax Ordinance, 1920, which was taken from Section 37 of the Land and Income Taxation Act, 1910, (Australia).

Compare Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, (New Zealand) Section 34.

Compare Section 36 Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, (New Zealand).

Follow Section 39

ation.

Remarks.

Compare Section 57 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Follows Section 61 Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia) except that the penalty in this Section corresponds to £5, whereas under the Australian Act the penalty is £50.

Is a modification of Section 62 of the Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

Gives similar powers to the Central Assessment Board to grant relief from taxation on the advice of the Commissioners as are granted to a Board constituted under Section 66 of the Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, (Australia).

These Sections were modeled on the Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, Sections 106 and 108 sub-clause (a) of Section 108 being placed in Section 50 of this Ordinance because it was considered that a person obstructing an officer under the Ordinance should be subjected to a higher penalty than that prescribed by Section 51.

Fines etc made removable generally by a civil court.

Power is given to the Governor to make Regulations. The nature of the Regulations is not definitely described but it will be for the Legal Advisers to advise when such Regulations are made if they are within the powers granted by the Section.

Nairobi,

This 9th day of August, 1920.

Sd. K. M. MOIR MACKENZIE.

ACTING COMMISSIONER GENERAL

THE LAND TAX ORDINANCE, 1920.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCES AND REVENUE.

This Ordinance, as originally drafted, was introduced for the purpose of raising revenue by a tax on land and a land transfer tax.

The measure was based mainly upon the Land Tax Assessment Act, 1910, of the Commonwealth of Australia and also the Tasmania Land and Income Tax Act, 1910, and the New Zealand Land and Income Assessment Act, 1908, were consulted.

On the second reading of the Bill submitted to the Legislative Council it was referred to a select committee to consider and report.

The Committee, after considerable discussion, decided to recommend a drastic change in the Bill, by exempting all beneficially occupied land in the Colony from taxation and to place a rather high rate of tax on unoccupied land. Further at the same time they recommended the elimination of the deduction of land tax from income tax or vice versa which had previously been provided for. The Committee hoped thus to render the incidence of taxation as just as possible and penalise the land speculator.

The recommendations of the Committee were passed by the Legislative Council with the result that the original drafting of the Bill was largely amended for besides the recommendations above mentioned it was decided to introduce District Assessment Boards, where possible, to settle the returns on which assessments are made. An appeal is allowed from the District Assessment Board to a Central Assessment Board.

agent from whom, in its turn, an appeal is allowed to the High Court and thence to the Court of Appeal for "certiorari.

Agricultural assessment is defined as the value of improvements on the total unimproved value of a holding and this definition is further modified so as to meet cases arising both in regard to agricultural and urban holdings.

The Committee also recommended the deletion of the subsections relating to Land Transfer Tax and the recommendation was passed in the Legislative Council.

Whilst it was admitted that the principle of the taxation of improvemental values was in itself sound it was considered that the great importance of introducing no measure likely to deter the entry of capital into the Colony rendered the present time inopportune for the introduction of this form of tax.

It may be considered that the introduction of the amendment indicated above has rendered the Ordinance one which is not strictly applicable to its title, the subsection now amending the rate; but it is admitted that the title Land Tax is generic; and that whilst in its present form the measure is not an efficient piece of drafting, it will be found effective to achieve its object.

It should be noted that the subsections having been declared void prior to the passing of this ordinance an enabling Ordinance will be necessary to rectify the wording in places where the word "interpret" occurs, and in view of the introduction of the new currency "pounds" will have to be substituted for "shillings".

Nairobi,  
The 9th day of August, 1920.

Sd: K. J. MUIR MACKENZIE.

NOTICE OF DEATH OF MR. K. J. MUIR MACKENZIE.

312

4 November

Your despatch of 21st September,  
enclosed

No. 1028, Land Tax Ordinance seems to  
orderly program  
be open to serious objection on various  
grounds.) Thus, the ~~order~~ of Ordinance

DRAFT. TELEGRAM

PROB1

entirely altered no alteration made in  
framework, and considerable revision

would appear necessary. Complicated  
~~machinery~~ <sup>required</sup> ~~machinery~~ <sup>for</sup> ~~machinery~~ proposed to be set up and

## MINUTE.

Mr. Batterbee ~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> Mr. B.

Mr. Bottomley ~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> Mr. B.

Mr.

Mr. Grindall

Sir H. Lambert

Sir H. Read

Sir G. Wilson

Sir J. Murray

Sir Miller

involves great labour, expense, and ad-  
ditional staff, and any small revenue  
obtained will be derived likely to be more than

offset by the cost of working

Returns apparently to be furnished for  
flatting <sup>return to government</sup> ~~estates~~ <sup>return to government</sup>  
all land in the country though tax will  
not charge <sup>return to government</sup> ~~on~~ <sup>return to government</sup>  
apply to small proportion of land  
~~large~~ <sup>large</sup> ~~large~~ <sup>large</sup> ~~large~~ <sup>large</sup> ~~large~~ <sup>large</sup> ~~large~~  
owners. In case of ~~large~~ <sup>large</sup> ~~large~~ <sup>large</sup> ~~large~~ <sup>large</sup> ~~large~~  
estates all estates are to be lumped

together for purposes of the Ordinance  
So that big land owner who owns one

Lapponia, orchilla

estate highly can leave one or two others

Terroriser

~~surfed~~

holly undeveloped Ordinance therefore

beginning legislation

would not appear likely to penalise big

speculator

~~surfed~~

speculator but only hit small man Moreover,

downward

I doubt whether present moment ~~is~~ opportunity

Maxwell cancel

accident unfavourable

for such legislation when owing to high costs

soonest

deficit

of production and scarcity of labour diffi-

culties

development

are great unless

silver

taxable

plotting reduces incomes

you press for decision on ground of revenue

protection desirably

I propose by <sup>send</sup> means you by despatch stating

more fully the object of legislation

WILMER.