

EAST AFR PROT

31161

REC  
REL 25 JUN 20

DIA OFFICE

1920

4th June

a previous Paper.

30908

## POSITION OF INDIANS

Trans para tel from Govt. of India commenting on policy in E.A. and trusts modifications will be secured

Sir R. G. M. S. Fiddes.

The general policy is to seek security & to do what we can with the maximum amount of time available. The cost of the proposed security is an obstacle. He understood that it would not be more than £100,000 in India, but I think there was a slight exaggeration. It is being communicated to the Navy, as has been done.

Assuming that the legislation to India followed the order of the numbered reason 1 is an application regulation with legislative Council to number 5 on an application.

No action seems to be taken.

M. 30. 6. 1920. P. 10. 6. 1920.

Attachment Paper.

12664

127

22 Wt 26659-35 50,000 11/19 H.S.C. G. 122/41

further communication on this subject should  
 be addressed to the Secretary of State for India,  
**Public** Department,  
 India Office,  
 London, S.W. 1.

Reference quoted  
 & P.4000/20.

INDIA OFFICE,

WHITEHALL,

C O L O N D O N , S . W . 1 .

31161<sup>st</sup> June, 1920.

REC'D

25 JUN 10

Sir,

With reference to previous correspondence regarding the position of Indians in British East Africa, I am directed by Mr. Secretary Montagu to enclose for the information of Viscount Milner paraphrase of a telegram that has been received from the Government of India in reply to one addressed to them on the 15th June communicating to them briefly the decisions embodied in Lord Milner's recent secret despatch.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Secretary,  
 Judicial & Public Department.

Under Secretary of State,  
 Colonial Office.

From Viceroy, Commercial  
19th J<sup>Y</sup>

Ministry Department

Clear the line.

P.—Indians in East Africa. ~~Ref~~ Your telegram dated 15th July 1919 tells us that of their publication of decisions, and that, in view of ~~the~~ ~~the~~ based on letter dated 26th January 1920 in the Indian Office to Colonial Office, on the 30th January 1920 in the Indian Council, our position will be very difficult. We trust that modifications, especially of decisions 1 and 5, will be secured. With respect to franchise we strongly agree with the views set forth in your letter dated 15th August 1919 to the Colonial Office. In our opinion franchise should be a common franchise on a suitable political basis together with an educational test, and there should be no discrimination against Indians, subject to these qualifications. There are, ~~no~~ considerable, strong reasons on grounds of general policy for deprecating the placing of Indians in a Protectorate in a worse position than that guaranteed to them in adjoining mandated territory. We are afraid that demands for retaliation, resistance to which will be difficult, may result from these decisions regarding East Africa, and that emigration to other colonies requiring Indian labour may be seriously reacted upon.