

EAST AFR. PROT

C O
14682

20 MAR 20

14682

353

HOUSE OF
COMMONS.

SALE OF GOVT LAND IN NAIROBI. CONFINED TO EUROPEANS ONLY.

1920

5th March,

Previous Pt Mr. Bennett.—To ask the Under Secretary of State for the Colonies, if he has received information that a notification has been issued announcing the sale by auction at Nairobi, on the 4th of April, of 60 plots of Government land situated in the business centre of that town and surrounded by plots held by Indians; if the right of purchase, according to that notification, is to be confined to Europeans; and if instructions will in that case be given that a limitation so prejudicial to the rights of Indian settlers and to the revenue of British East Africa shall be removed.
(3873)

Your oral reply

22nd March 1920.

*Statement by Dr. R. P. Ryall, M.P.,
Member of the Indian Legislative Assembly*

See 14682/20 which gives a copy of a
td. from Mr. Wm. Andrew Bassett, to
the "European Indian delegation"
Indians are not to ~~reside~~ ^{live} in townsships
in E.A. within the zone demarcated
for Europeans: this is the segregation
policy (wh. Dr. Ryall will discuss
among other things with the Governor),
so strongly advocated by Prof. Surendran.

In Addy. went further than this
is referring to the Indians ~~intend~~ ^{intend} for themselves to live in

Subsequent Paper.
of P

14682

35 50,000 11/18 H. S. G. 122/4

action plots in the European business
area of Nairobi. See memo. in
475-20919 Part I (3) "Segregation
of races" off. listed green for
statement of position & O.A.S.C.'s reasons.
C.O. originally refrained from giving
explicit approval to this extension
of the segregation policy - but on the
other hand never made any objection.

As regards this question we can say
that we have received from E.A.
Indian Department in London
copy of a tel. from the Indian
Association in Nairobi respecting
this sale but have received no
other notification. But it is for
concern whether (i) S.Y.H. will simply
add that the policy of segregation
which is involved is matter for
concern & L.D. will then consult with the Governor
& that meantime he is not prepared
to take any action - or (ii) S.Y.H.
will instruct off. to telegraph
to suspend the sale according concern
of policy involved.

ccch

In my last despatch
in my own hand in the
European part of a town is such a matter
of concern (notably Germany). Further
a letter of 1st April 1926 from
the Secretary of State. London
regarding the sale of

No. Rec'd
11/1/26
India
- 11/1/26
11/1/26
11/1/26
11/1/26

give away as important principle,
we should get the result 261

and consider it of the same
importance. In addition David

(a) the need for European buildings & goods
only to the expense of the Indian
population. (b) the cost to

(b) Indians are specially
outbid, owing to ~~loss~~ profits.

(c) They have a great advantage and
money is remitted from India at
premium, & from England at a
discount, according to the
old conditions.

? Rely

I have received from
Indian Association in London
copy of a telegram relating to
the sale, which has had no other
notification than that in my
with this

With this I will speak with
regards to the matter. I have
had a talk with the Governor and found that
he is not prepared to take any action. He has been
advised by the Foreign Office for some time
not to do so.

London, 24th April 1926