

I have always understood that with these Railway men we fixed ~~was~~ the minimum & maximum salaries & left it to the manager to give increments when & as he thought fit. I find nothing in the papers to show that increments in 1970 £300 - 450 will not be given during the first period of engagement: see however end of para 7 in encl. in 8022.

~~except that the question of increments is really in the hands of the local authorities that are responsible for the operation of the local authorities~~

I say that increments in the prescribed scale are given entirely at the discretion of the local authorities & that G.P. cannot therefore give any definite reply to their question.

ALB

27/4

As to the last point, unless they have a wording to cover it in other agreements, the C.A. had better insert a provision to the effect that increments in the scale named are given at the discretion of the Managers of the Railway & the Govt. Otherwise as proposed.

ALB. April 27 at once.

Mr Muller

165

I have spoken to you about him.

In spite of Mr Baring's letter (12/2/71)

it appears to me to be a most extraordinary thing to add the subordinate head rules to an officer on a scale of salary of £300 - 450 "who must be a gentleman".

There must, I think, be some mistake.

Mr Currie is now on his way home, and that possibly is the explanation.

In any case I cannot see any possible point in including in his agreement a clause as to contributing to the Provident Fund, when he is going to have a substantial pensionable service of a number of years after his first term.

Telegraph
from
Nairobi

uncompressed
Your letter of 26 April 1970 is it

sent 12:50 pm
27/4/75

Ans'd 14201

insomnia

slashed

includes that

Subordinate kan

alterant

rules shalls appl t new spec of

typologica

pyrologist

in rev of salary and Qualifications

mightiness

Currie

lts to St.

beheaded

gothicism

sluggard

shalls t peak

would suff that to

cantreth

aduanj has rules o in any case

does not provident kind clause

alogum

chaldorf unnecessary

annexant as he

would appear

may be re purchase after first time

unblissful

comport Confidential

In my dep of 16 April

1916. 3/5

3/5

May 4

at once.

O.D.
6 MAY

M.
5342.

ALL COMMUNICATIONS
TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE
CROWN AGENTS FOR THE COLONIES,
THE ABOVE REFERENCE AND THE
DATE OF THIS LETTER BEING QUOTED.

TELEGRAMS, "CROWN, LONDON."
TELEPHONE 1632 VICTORIA.

165
12342
REC'D
APR 27 1912

hc

WHITEHALL GARDENS,
LONDON, S. W.

26th April, 1912.

Uganda Railway.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 10410/1912,
of the 16th instant, respecting the engagement of an
Assistant Storekeeper for the Uganda Railway, I have
the honour to enquire whether the person engaged
should sign a first class Agreement on similar lines
to that signed by Clerks and Mechanics on the Railway,
as laid down in your letter No. 8897/1912, of the 8th
of April and previous correspondence, and if so
whether the period of engagement should be three years
or altered to thirty months in accordance with the
instructions contained in your letter of the 16th
April.

A

B

for 10410

C

2. It is presumed that increments of salary
will not accrue until the person engaged has completed
the period of his original engagement.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Perceval

for Crown Agents.

Under Secretary of State,

&c. &c. &c.

Colonial Office.

C.A. 7/12022 Cont.

D.
APR

~~10/2/21~~

Cancelled
by letter on
1/2/21

DRAFT.

C.A.

30 Apr 1902

MINUTE.

- Mr. ~~Keel~~ 29/4
- Mr. Boulton 29
- Sir G. Fiddes.
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Emmott.
- Mr. Harcourt.

I am etc. to ack: the receipt of
 your letter N 5362 of the 26th
 of April respecting the
 engagement of an Assistant
 Storekeeper for the Uganda Rly.
 stating you that the person
 engaged should sign a
 first class agreement similar
 to that signed by Clerks &
 Mechanics on the Railway
 as laid down in the letter
 from this Dept of the 8th of

Copy of 12022 order
 reply to C.A.G. (12)
 for inf.
 on 14/201

of April previous course,

(897)

that the period of
engagement should be
three years instead of
thirty months as stated

in the letter from this
dept. of the 16th of April.

(10210)

2. With regard to the

second para. of your
letter, ~~at Harcourt is not in a position to give a~~
~~definite reply to your~~

increments in the prescribed
scale of salary for this

app. are ~~fixed~~ ^{governed} at the
discretion of the manager

of the div. & the Governor
~~that but the~~ ^{cannot}

therefore give any definite
reply to your question.

In the absence of any
clause in the agreement
~~which covers this point,~~

Yours

~~You should insert a provision
as to increments accordingly.~~

h