



EAST AFR. PROT.
40261

C.O.
40261
REC'D
RFG 20 DEC 12

of Col. Audis

Annual Account 1911-12.

Date.
1912
19 Dec.
Last previous Paper.
Gov
26687

Calls attention to excess expense of £671 under Head 23a Agricultural Dept. Special Exp^{re}, Personal Emoluments. for which Treasury sanction has to be obtained.

Col. Jones by 105220 7 Feb 13 1177/13
Next subsequent Paper
2002 1177/13

W. Byrnes.
Then ought the Gov. to be asked to sign S.W. for these items of exp^{re} at the same as we ask for an explanation - I presume that it will be necessary to write out that we can hardly send the Treas^r this bare statement for covering approval: all
20/12

Mr Parkinson,
I consider that the question of a S.W need not be raised at this stage, although to do so would be in strict accordance with the practice now followed in Uganda.
In amplification of my previous min: I attach the

the Statements furnished to the Auditor by the Treasurer of E.A.P. showing the details of the Head 23a. Expenditure for 1911-12. Although the officers employed are not pensionable and therefore might be regarded as outside the C. Audit Dept. R. 59(iii), yet the payment of ^{non provided} 2^{large} duty allowances at £50 p.a each and the ^{large} excess on the pay of the Stock Inspectors, ~~which are~~ referred to in my previous min: are ~~extra~~ items which require to be supported by the formal sanction of the S/S. and, in my opinion, by Treas: authority - The other matters alluded to in my min: of 19th are less important and would not perhaps themselves call for special reference to the Treasury. ~~Directia has been referred to the Treasury~~ Possibly with the add: information ~~is before~~ on the question may be considered ripe for going to the Treasury?

Jal
30/12

W. Brittanley.

? was sent formal Treasury approval for the items in question - saying that J.C.A. has drawn attention to them - & sending the substance of the minute by W. Barnes of 19th December & keeping the full detail now supplied by W. Barnes in case of further enquiry - ask for very early reply. All effs

Eff. for answer - W. Barnes Gen. Off. (We may hope that the new system will render this unnecessary in future) W.B. 3 copy

please return



No East Africa Protectorate
Minute by the Director of Colonial Audit 18
ANNUAL ACCOUNT OF EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE 1911-12 40261
Mr. Read, Recd 20 DEC 12

My attention has been drawn to the expenditure of £671 on the Personal Emoluments Sub-head of Head 23a, Agricultural Department Special Expenditure, as shown in the Detailed Statement of Expenditure for the year ended the 31st of March last.

From a statement rendered to me by the Auditor it appears that this excess was partly caused by pay and allowances to the following persons in addition to that provided for in the original estimates for the year as sanctioned by the Treasury, viz:-

1 Clerk @ Rs: 100 per month.

Allowance of £50 p.a. to 1 Fencing Inspector

Allowance of £50 p.a. to 1 Quarantine Magistrate

and further by:-

i. Salary to Fencing Inspector @ £250 p.a. instead of £240 p.a. as provided in the approved Estimates.

ii. Emoluments to Stock Inspectors £1693 (about)

as against an estimated amount of £1152.

So far as can be seen from the papers sent to me no such authority has hitherto been asked for. It appears that under rule No: 59 (iii) of the Colonial Audit-Departmental Instructions this expenditure should receive specific Treasury sanction.

So far as can be seen from the papers sent to me no such authority has hitherto been asked for.

19 Dec. 1912

Jal
for DE to read
1912

Estimates

(11/12)

-14496-20

C. D.
B 1 JAN
D. 4



SC

DRAFT.

The Secretary
to the Treasury

4th January 1913.

MINUTE.

- Mr. Downie 3/1
- Mr. Bottomley 3
- Mr. Read 3
- Sir G. Fiddes. 3
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Emmott.
- Mr. Harecourt.

In Conson

Ans 1177/13

Sir,

I am directed by Mr
Secretary, Harcourt to request
you to inform the Lords Comm^r
of the Treasury that the Director

of Colonial Audit has drawn

your attention* to the ^{an} excess

expenditure of £671 on the Personal

Emoluments Subhead of Head 23

Agricultural Department Special

Expenditure, as shown on the

in connexion with the
Detailed Statement of

of the S.A.P.
Expenditure for the year

In view of what Mr. Downie
says we can try this on, but
the 47% excess for the Stock
Inspector would have deserved
full enquiry if we had heard
of it before.

WLS
3

* See No. 40261.

A. Estimates (11/13)

See 105 ans
1177/13

the 31st of March last,

his best help

2. It appears that this excess was partly caused by pay and allowances to the following persons, in addition to that provided for in the original estimates for the year, as sanctioned by the Treasury, viz:-

- 1 Clerk @ Rs. 100 per month
- Allowance of £50 p.a to 1 Fencing Inspector.
- Allowance of £50 p.a to 1 Quarantine Inspector

and further by:-

- i. Salary to Fencing Inspector @ £250 p.a instead of £240 p.a as provided in the approved Estimates
- ii. Emoluments to Stock Inspectors £1693 (about) as against an estimated amount of £1152.

3. Under Rule No. 59 (iii) of the Colonial Audit & Departmental Instructions this expenditure should receive

Specific Treasury sanction.

Mr. Harecourt regrets that he should not, until a date, have been in a position to bring the matter to their Lordships' notice, but he trusts that they will give their covering authority to the excess, and as the accounts for the year 1911-12 should be finally closed without delay, he will be glad to receive an answer at their earliest convenience.

I am to request accordingly that you will obtain their Lordships' approval of this excess expenditure, that you will send a very early reply, I am etc.

H. J. READ.
for the Under Secretary of State