

EAST AFR. PROT.
UGANDA

533

REC^d
REG^d C JAN 12

538

Treasury

Date.
1912

5 Jan

previous Paper.

38682

Amalgamation of Postal & Telegraph Services

No objection to proposals as a whole. Resents to new post of additional Telegraph Engineers, but if they be only temporary, it must be abolished on vacancy occurring in either of the posts held by Mr. Cairns & Mr. Daughton. Cannot agree to increase of salary of Mr. Cairns. Agrees to other proposals, but requires to be furnished with which proposals apply are made in East.

W. Butler

I have referred to this in my memo on the estimate & have made two necessary alterations in Mr. Creighton's increment - which is the only amended and necessary thing to be done.

I asked to return to him what I could for further action

W.B.

W. Butler

As Mr. Butler's memo cannot be ready till Monday, I send this on to clear

2/15/12 by order of J. G. (M.A.) / J.G. (M.A.)
12/12/12

Copy placed for Capt 19 Jan
Copy above 25/10/12 W.B. Capt 25 Jan 12

subsequent Paper

4/14/07

to discuss the duty pay, emphasizing
these points - saying that Gov. fully
agrees that the emoluments sh^d be 33
considered as personal to W. Laine,
& subject to revision (we need not
actually accept the Treasury
figure, which gives perhaps rather an
inconvenient scale for the post) when
he vacates the post -

I agree
HAB

(3) As to the last paragraph I would
suggest that it be absolutely ignored.
It is a monstrous piece of interference
with administrative control on the
part of the Treasury. If they
press for an answer, it would
be a pretty good case on which
to attack them for stepping
outside their province.

~~What~~
? we should now send ~~off~~ to Gov
regarding a copy of the correspondence
for inf. & guidance saying that
the new arrangement should come
into force from 1 April 1912

[This seems a convenient date.]

Accl s/s P.T.O.

Sir J. Anderson

I trust that the R. V. will put his foot down on the last part.

I would like briefly to say :-

"As regards the last part of your letter

I am satisfied that the R. V. does not propose to discuss with this Dept. the principles on which appropriate statements should be made, or the nature of the steps which he takes towards the selection of appropriate forms, for whatever may be the proper definition of Treasury control over the finances of a State-owned Administration - a matter which, (from recent correspondence) ^{on} Mr. Hancock is inclined to think, may call for careful review in the near future - it is clear to him that such questions do not come within its scope.

Otherwise I agree. P.V. 8/1

The Treasury letter is crudely worded. But the part to which refer is made states that Mr. Caird had been allowed to appoint his own Staff (i.e.) the technical construction & maintenance staff and that they are

nr 70/22364

Wt. Beetle.

Wt. Wood has looked up the histories of the Tel. Staff

900

1000

Manwell	-	appointed locally	1905
Maack	-	do	1907
Tanlon	-	do	1909
Frey	-	By C.A.	1911
Wolf	-	appointed locally	1911
Podwin	-	do	1911
Wilkinson	-	[app'd. in P.O. days]	1912
Boyer	-	By C.A.	1911
Stratman	-	do	1910
Quincy	-	Family P.O.	1910
Duffy	-	appointed locally	1910
H.O. Moore	-	By C.A.	1910
Howley	-	appointed locally	1910
O'Meara	-	do	1910

Have worked the letter to the Treasury (page 6) as in Sir J. Anderson's minutes, but for such that some at any rate of the appts have been made here, where there is proper supervision by competent men.

? we might hopefully say to the Treasury instead

C O
538
REC^d
REF^d 6 JAN 12

36

In the reply to this Letter the following
Number should be quoted.

159
12

TREASURY CHAMBERS.

5th January 1912.

Sir,

I have laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury Mr. Lambert's letter of the 2nd instant (38682/1911) and the enclosed copy of correspondence with the Governor of the East Africa Protectorate relating to a proposed re-organisation of the Postal and Telegraph Departments of the East Africa and Uganda Protectorates.

My Lords while not disposed to offer objection to the re-organisation as a whole do not on the information before Them see sufficient reason for making it a ground for permanently increasing the strength of the Department or for raising the emoluments of existing officers.

They infer from Sir P. Girouard's despatch of the 12th June last that the appointment of an additional Telegraph Engineer is required to supply the technical knowledge and familiarity with latest improvements which is not possessed by Mr. Caine and Mr. Creighton and They can therefore only assent to the creation of this new post as a temporary expedient on the understanding that it will be abolished on the occurrence of a vacancy in either of the posts held by the officers named above.

For a similar reason They do not feel justified in authorising the increase of Mr. Caine's emoluments by the addition of the proposed Duty Allowance of £50. The salary at present enjoyed by this officer (£600) is in Their opinion

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

4
22364
11


opinion more than adequate to the duties which he will be called upon to perform in his future position as subordinate to a Postmaster General remunerated on a scale of £500 to £700 (with duty allowance of £50) and compares very favourably with the salary of the Postmaster General in Nyasaland or that of the Deputy Postmaster in Northern Nigeria. In these circumstances My Lords would suggest that Mr. Caine's salary should be regarded as personal to himself and should be so shewn in the Estimates, and that on the occurrence of a vacancy the pay of the post should be on a scale of £400 by £20 to £500 with a duty allowance of £40.

In all other respects My Lords are willing to accede to Mr. Secretary Harcourt's recommendations but They direct me to enquire, with regard to the remarks contained in the second and following paragraphs of the Governor's despatch already referred to, on what principle appointments to technical posts are made in the East Africa Protectorate and what steps are taken by the Secretary of State to control the selection of officials.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,



Memorandum 538 ^{Lat.} Uganda.

~~S. C.~~

DRAFT.

The Sec. to the Treasury

18 Jan. 1912

MINUTE.

- Mr. Parkman 15/1
- Mr. Bullen 16
- Mr. Fildes 16
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Emmott.
- Mr. Harcourt.

Sir,

I enclose to you the receipt of your letter No. 159/12 of the 5th of January on the subject of the reorganisation of the

Postal & Telegraph Dept. of the E. A. Uganda P.T.

to the Sec. of the Treasury. I am glad to hear that they approve of his recommendations generally.

Copy for Carl M. Jones

21/1
+ off. tel. on
1401

will approve of the post being
treated in the same way
as those of the female. 39

postal clerks & telegraphists
of ^{service in the post office} ~~the post office~~ regarded as
~~viz. that it shall be~~
feasible but for a
limited period only
~~and that~~ On the occurrence
of a vacancy in one
of the three senior
telegraph appointments,
W.H. will consider, in
consultation with F.L.,
whether the abolition of
the next of post can
be effected.

3. With regard to para 4,
of your letter,
W.H. ~~is of the opinion~~ agrees that
W. Cairns's salary as
Deputy P. M. G. & Chief
Tel.

Jan 19 5 30 PM 1912

10

O.D.
E 16 JAN

54C

DRAFT. Col Comd

Gen. Sir P. G. G. G. G.

19 Jan. 1912.

MINUTE.

Sir,

- Mr. Parkin 157.
- Mr. Butler 16
- Mr. Fiddes
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Emmott.
- Mr. Harcourt.

Sir G

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your conf. resp. of the 5th of Dec. respecting the amalgamation of the Postal & Telegraph Service in the

20 Dec 1911
3 10 22
20 Dec 1911
5 31
20 Dec 1911
5 31

La segunda de
conferencia
de la necesidad en which
I informed you that your
proposals had been approved
generally.

copy of this or send to you for info. for info. of your office.

20 Dec 1911

2

As regards the question
of pension rights for
this officer has been
settled, the P.O.
will be asked to record a
suitable note for the
appointment.

6. You will gather from
the correspondence that the
provision of a duty all is
for Mr. Cairne is not
approved.

5. With regard to the
recruiting of the technical
staff for the telegraph
dept. I have to invite
your attention to the
last para. of the letter
to the Treasury of the 18th
of January, to request
that the practice of
engaging ~~recruiting~~ the technical
staff locally ^{without express leave} may
be discontinued ^{and} ~~and~~ ^{of}
this