

EAST AFR. PROT.

7370

RECD  
REFD 9 MAR 12

7370

domestic

Date.  
1912

of March

at previous Paper.

Map 1: 500,000

Minutes as to printing

Subsequent  
Paper

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Subsequent Paper

7370

M<sup>r</sup> Batterbee

6

91

Please see Col. Hedges letter. Clearly it is to do  
what we & the Bank have anything to do with the maps, and  
we can if we want tell the CA to do what he wants.  
The leader, which seems good enough for the maps.

But I think Col. Hersey thinks a very "mean  
point" regarding boundaries. I have not seen the papers but  
you may be able to get <sup>the</sup> hand. If the modification  
of boundaries have not been accepted I don't think  
admissibility of using the new map broadcast [If  
they have, W.O. should surely have been informed].

There are some odd discrepancies of position of cell houses places. E.g. The meridian 30°E passes <sup>south</sup> of Njordstølen (Lat on GB 2542) and the station is on a different curve of the line. It may of course have been moved since 1900.

W.C.B. 73-2

W. Mead

I am afraid that my knowledge of  
the boundaries is not especially great.  
I would be glad to add to it if you  
would like me to do so.

long had I been lost or can the  
two Co. to point to the hole & amidst  
the worst kind of

128

The map is marked "Provisional" I think that we can stick it to the C.R. as it is & ask them to proceed as proposed by Dr. Battlebee. No S.R. see that the right maps get to the C.R. then we too in the bundle H. J. H. 7/10

6 April 1922

92

ALL COMMUNICATIONS  
TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE  
CROWN AGENTS FOR THE DOMINIES,  
THE ABOVE REFERENCE AND THE  
DATE OF THIS LETTER BEING QUOTED.

TEL AVIA CROWN, LONDON.

for [unclear]

W. H. Bottomley  
Mellish

C. A.

RECD  
WHITEHALL GARDENS, 9 MAR 12

LONDON S.W.

100 2

March

Refferring to our former letter on the subject  
of the missing, I attach one paper  
regarding the last agreed map in  
this line. The best offer we have from  
the Trade is £52.16 a fort London for  
delivery in 42 days. Ordnance Survey  
Office quotes £105 upwards 43 months.  
Lord of the Admiralty suggests a revision of the  
existing places of the £15.00 a day  
last agreed at a cost of £75.

The geographical section of naval staff  
at Admiralty Office, telephone now asking if they  
can go on with corrections & prints in maps  
at once. They suggest that the matter  
is should be dealt with through them, not  
through the Ordnance Survey office, and

ALL COMMUNICATIONS  
TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE  
CROWN AGENTS FOR THE COLONIES,  
THE ABOVE REFERENCE AND THE  
DATE OF THIS LETTER BEING QUOTED.

TEL. ADD. "CROWN, LONDON".

WHITEHALL GARDENS,

LONDON, S.W.

93

190

Mr. Bottinelli except expressed at all -  
having the matter in hand at all.  
Very much obliged to have agreed  
to come in early and would  
like us to have it to them either  
to amend the existing plates or  
present upon any new maps from  
new plate.

What had we better do in this case?

J.S. Smith

To Bottinelli

Will you kindly advise

Read

To Bottinelli

Ms. 5/3

I attach draft this being the same copy as J.S. got from which  
one he will take care by sending it to his office and asking for  
an answer. I have telephoned to Clegg Evans who confirm that  
the £75 was not paid but the £5 figure, that is probably

94 7370  
WHITEHORN LIBRARY  
REF. S.W.  
PAGE 9 MAR 12

represents the cost of printing of £3. cost of new plate,  
and that they conduct them off as many as 5,000 copies  
free of charge (they did not charge for ours) The trade  
estimate of £52.86.0 (2½ a copy) seems very low.

If it is better map I see no objection to having it in  
private hands, but after E.S.G.B. have been to the trouble of  
completing a map & sending it out for correction, it would be  
difficult to take off another out of their hands if it is the case.

This is just what of how water in the Amur is done by]

G.S.C.

b. m.

15 Mar

If you agree, let Postmaster see him  
at Cape Town & ask him whether it is  
the 4 £.96. map or not

17.5

at our  
A.Y.R.  
5/11

Mr. Butterbee

An original  
tracing of the 8 and 9  
maps now in question  
has just arrived from  
our Protectorate. This will  
be better for working purposes.  
Can you say what is to be  
done in the matter yet?

J. S. Tripp et al

Q.D.  
L 7 MAR  
D

95

73370

SAC

7 March 1912

DRAFT.

Re Cd  
for the Colonies

MINUTE.

Mr. Manning 7/3

Mr. Butler Read 7/8

Mr. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Rimott.

Mr. Harcourt.

map dated Jan 12

G

N<sup>o</sup>. E. Africa 3092 of the 5th of

March. I am to inform  
you that he approves of  
your proceeding with the  
reproduction of the accompa-

nying map of the E.A.F.

2. The work ~~may~~ be

given to a private firm &

the lowest tender ~~to be~~ be

The paper which accompanied  
your memo<sup>n</sup> as returned  
herewith

accepted.

Done

96

C O

GEOGRAPHICAL SECTION 7370

GENERAL STAFF.

RIVER OFFICE.

PROVOST MILITARY POLY.

Please reply to—

Offices in charge

No. 04/Africa/26

6th March, 1912.

Dear Bottomly,

*Copy in  
initial*

I have examined the E.A.P. map scale 1:1,500,000 which you sent me. It is undoubtedly based on our map but so many alterations and deletions have been made that I fear it would be impossible for us to correct the plates and print a revised edition of our map. Also it would appear that the Survey Department do not require so much detail as is shown on our map as they have left out a large amount, possibly for the sake of clearness. Under these circumstances the only alternatives are to get it reproduced de novo either at the Ordnance Survey or by an outside firm. The Crown Agents have already got an estimate for its reproduction by the Ordnance Survey. There are two or three minor points which I have noted about the map which I enclose on a separate paper but I do not know if you think that they are of importance. I am sorry that there has been so much trouble over this map, but it is

probably

probably due to the fact that this is the first map the Survey Department have asked to be reproduced elsewhere. All their maps have hitherto been done here. We shall of course have no responsibility for the new map.

Yours sincerely,

W C Hedley

86

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.



General Plan.

Scale 1:1,500,000.

Boundaries.

(1) Abyssinian Boundary.

This as shown is a mixture of Major Gwynn's proposed boundary and a suggested boundary of 1900.

I do not know whether this is the latest idea of the boundary, but if so we have heard nothing of it.

(2) German Boundary.

This, unless recently altered, should run along the eastern and northern shore of Lake Jipe and not through it.

(3) Uganda Boundary.

This agrees with a sketch map of the Eastern Province of Uganda, dated May 1911, but does not agree with the sketch map by Mr Ainsworth which accompanied the Description of the boundary sent by the Governor in his despatch No. 126 of 8th March 1910. Perhaps this has also been altered since but we have not been informed of it.