

EAST AFR. PROT.  
25702

C. O.  
25702  
15 AUG

Mr. & Mrs. [illegible]

Date  
1912

MR. GOLDMAN'S CONCESSIONS  
TRANSFER TO MR. GROGAN

August

States that 5150 stamp duty was paid by 'omerset' House and that stamp duty is now claimed in the Prot. Thinks this should not be paid twice and if this view is concurred in asks that necessary instructions may be issued.

1865

Mr. Thompson has read  
Copy of the EAF for report, &  
w/ the writer that [illegible] has  
been done?

H. J. K

15/8

I agree. I fear they would get  
~~at~~ anything well here.

H. J. K

at once.

H. J. K

16/8

~~Stamp Duty~~  
Stamp Duty

Forwarded by Out [illegible]

Subsequent Paper

(3216)

A copy for [illegible]

C. O.  
15 AUG  
11/11 A & R W

24939

NO. 45, 442 - 11 - 40, 800

26 August 1912 -

Dear Sir In November 1911 Mr S S  
Goldman & I entered into an  
agreement with Mr S S Grogan  
whereby in consideration of  
£10000 paid by Mr Grogan  
to Mr Goldman all their interests  
or half share of Mr Goldman  
in certain properties, situated  
in or near Nairobi, British  
East Africa were conveyed  
by Mr Goldman to Mr Grogan  
The principal partnership  
assets dealt with by this  
agreement were two bearings

of 64000 acres of forest land  
in respect of which a Company  
known as Ngau Forest Ltd,  
has since been formed by  
Mr Grogan to work the concessions

When the Contract of  
November 1911 came to be  
stamped, Somerset House  
claimed full ad valorem of  
£1 per £100 on the whole  
£15000 and the claim was  
not contested, the £150 being  
paid under the belief that  
such ad valorem duty would  
not be claimed twice over  
by the British Government  
in respect of one of the shares

We have however had  
when the agreement was  
presented for negotiation in  
Paris, it was claimed that  
that as the subject matter of the  
agreement was extracted and  
there a double duty should  
be paid here.

We do not know on what  
side the duty should have  
been paid, but seeing that it  
was claimed here that duty  
paid it would not seem  
right that the officers of the  
Empire should receive payment  
twice over on one of the

same transaction.  
If you also take this into  
account, it may be said that  
should be sent to Paris.  
That the claim for a double  
duty here should be waived.  
We have the honour to  
remain,

Yours obedient servant

James M. Jones

The Secretary

The Colonial Office

W. St. John

W. St. John